#### ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS FOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

EIP Communications I, LLC 133 Blackwater Road (Map 257, Lot 24) Rochester, NH 03867

Respectfully submitted,

Brian S. Grossman, Esq. Bowditch & Dewey, LLP 200 Crossing Boulevard, Suite 300 Framingham, MA 01702 (508) 416-2410 bgrossman@bowditch.com



ATTORNEYS

April 10, 2020

#### ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS FOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

EIP Communications I, LLC 133 Blackwater Road (Map 257, Lot 24) Rochester, NH 03867

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1. Zoning Board of Adjustment Special Exception Application Form
- 2. Supporting Statement
- 3. Site Plans
- 4. Radio Frequency Report
- 5. Alternatives Analysis
- 6. FCC Radio Frequency Exposure Guidelines Compliance Report
- 7. FAA Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation
- 8. Professional Engineer's Certification Letter
- 9. Abutters List with List of Municipalities within 20 Miles



### City of Rochester, New Hampshire

Zoning Board of Adjustment

### **Special Exception Application Checklist**

- □ It must be determined that your proposed use is not permitted without a special exception.
- □ Complete the application form.
- □ Complete the 275.22 Special Exception sheet, addressing the five questions. If the special exception is for a garage, you must also complete the Garage Setbacks sheet.
- □ A narrative touching the five criteria and explaining what you are requesting a special exception for.
- □ If the applicant is not the property owner, he/she must supply a note signed by the property owner stating his/ her knowledge of the application being submitted to the Zoning Board of Adjustment. The property owner will receive a copy of the public hearing notice be certified mail along with the abutters.
- □ A sketch including the following:
  - Measurements of the distances from any existing structure to the lot lines. If the proposed structure is not attached to the building you will need the distance between buildings.
  - Dimensions of the lot. A certified plot plan of your property is required by the Zoning Board. If you do not have a certified plot plan you may request a waiver (see zoning clerk)
  - o If for a garage, all appropriate information on proposed garage
- D Photographs, if you have them.
- Abutter's list. This information must be obtained from the Zoning Clerk in the Building, Zoning, and Licensing Office. The applicant must pay the cost of the certified fee for each abutter, applicant and any other applicable person. (See Zoning Clerk for current fee)
- □ Application fee of \$175.00. Check made payable to City of Rochester, including abutters' fees.
- □ One *PDF form* of your application packet is due as well as *ten* paper copies.

# All of the above information must be completed and submitted to the Building, Zoning Department on or before the deadline date, or the application will be considered incomplete and will be postponed until the next scheduled meeting, or until all the requirements have been met.

**NOTE:** All applications will be allowed one postponement of the hearing in their application, and shall notify the Building, Zoning, and Licensing office in writing of their intent to postpone hearing at least two days prior to the meeting at which their application is to be considered. If the applicant requests a second postponement of the hearing, the application will be considered to have been withdrawn and the applicant must file a new application with the Board in order to receive a hearing. The provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to any postponement requested by an applicant as a result of the inability of the Zoning Board of Adjustment to provide the applicant with a five-member board for the hearing on the application.

### The applicant or their representative MUST attend the Zoning Board of Adjustment meeting to present their case, or no action will be taken.

If you have any questions with any of these requirements, please contact the Zoning Clerk, Dee Mondou.

Phone: (603)332-3508 x0

E-mail: dee.mondou@rochesternh.net



### **City of Rochester, New Hampshire**

Zoning Board of Adjustment

### **Special Exception Application**

TO: BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CITY OF ROCHESTER	DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE CASE NO. Z-20-9 DATE FILED 4/22/2020 Dee Mondou ZONING BOARD CLERK
Applicant:	
E-mail: Pho	ne:
Applicant Address:	
Property Owner:	
Property Owner Address:	
Variance Address:	

Description of Property (give length of lot lines):	
Proposed use or existing use affected:	
The undersigned hereby requests a special exception as provided in section	of the Zoning Ordinance to

Map Lot and Block No: \_\_\_\_\_\_

permit \_\_\_\_\_

The undersigned alleges that the following circumstances exist which prevent the proper enjoyment of his land under the strict terms of the Zoning Ordinance and thus constitute grounds for a variance.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_



### **City of Rochester, New Hampshire**

Zoning Board of Adjustment

### 275.22 Special Exception Sheet

#### (a) General Provisions

- (1) Certain uses, structures, or conditions are designed as Special Exceptions (E) in this ordinance. Upon application, the Board of Adjustment may, subject to the appropriate conditions and safeguards, grant a permit for these special exceptions and no others.
- (2) Special Exceptions, for which conformance to additional standards is required, may be permitted in their respective districts, subject to the satisfaction of the requirements and standards set forth in this section 275.22, in addition to all other requirements of this ordinance. All such uses are hereby declared to possess such special characteristics that each shall be considered as an individual case.
- (3) The Board of Adjustment may require that a site plan for development for a proposed special exception be submitted showing the location of all buildings, parking areas, traffic access, open spaces, landscaping, and any other pertinent information that may be necessary to determine if the proposed special exception is in harmony with the intent of this ordinance.

(b) <u>Considerations Governing Granting Special Exceptions</u>: In acting upon an application for a special exception, the Board of Adjustment shall take into consideration whether:

(1) The specific site is an appropriate location for the proposed use or structure. Yes No Reasoning: \_\_\_\_\_\_

(2) The proposal is detrimental, injurious, obnoxious, or offensive to the neighborhood. Yes No Reasoning: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) There will be undue nuisance or serious hazard to pedestrian or vehicular traffic, including the location and design of access ways and off street parking. Yes No Reasoning: \_\_\_\_\_

(4) Adequate and appropriate facilities and utilities will be provided to ensure the proper operation of the proposed use or structure. Yes No Reasoning: \_\_\_\_\_

(5) The proposed use or structure is consistent with the spirit of the ordinance and the intent of the Master Plan. Yes No Reasoning: \_\_\_\_\_\_

### Please check section 275.22 of the Zoning Ordinance for any additional specific conditions that apply to your Special Exception request.



Brian S. Grossman Direct telephone: 508-416-2410 Direct facsimile: 508-929-3120 Email: bgrossman@bowditch.com

April 10, 2020

Zoning Board of Adjustment City of Rochester 31 Wakefield Street Rochester, NH 03867

Re:	Applicant:	EIP Communications I, LLC
	Property Owners:	Jason A. Scruton & Katherine L. Carroll
	Property:	133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, New Hampshire
		Parcel ID 257-24
	Petition:	(1) Special Exception for a Wireless Communications Facility
		pursuant to Section 275-4.1(C), Section 275-18.5, Section 275-18-
		D (Use Table), and Section 275-22.2 of the Ordinance; and
		(2) Any other relief required within the jurisdiction of the Zoning
		Board of Adjustment (All relief is requested if and to the extent
		necessary, all rights reserved under the Federal
		Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("TCA") and otherwise).

Dear Members of the Zoning Board of Adjustment:

Pursuant to the applicable provisions of the City of Rochester Zoning Ordinance (the "Ordinance"), the New Hampshire Revised Statutes and the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, EIP Communications I, LLC ("Everest" or "Applicant") hereby applies to the City of Rochester Zoning Board of Adjustment (the "Board") for the above-captioned zoning relief to construct, operate and maintain a Wireless Communication Facility (the "Facility") on property located at 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, New Hampshire (the "Property"). The Property is in the City's Agricultural District and the Conservation Overlay District. The Facility will address significant gaps in wireless communications network coverage for Everest's tenant Verizon Wireless.

### I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

Everest builds, owns and operates the infrastructure that supports wireless telecommunications services and providers. Everest provides its customers, and the communities they serve, with creative, cost efficient solutions to the ever-growing demand for wireless ubiquity and bandwidth. Everest's founders, senior management and staff bring more than 50 years of wireless industry experience to the company, including leadership positions with wireless operators, tower companies, telecommunication infrastructure developers and



the FCC. Everest's exceptional human resources are augmented with equity capital from investors who share the long-term view of investing in responsible communications infrastructure.

Wireless telecommunications carriers are in the process of independently designing, constructing and upgrading wireless telecommunications networks to serve areas in and around the City of Rochester and throughout the State of New Hampshire. Such a network requires a grid of radio transmitting and receiving cell sites located at varying distances depending on the location of existing and proposed installations in relation to the surrounding topography. The radio transmitting and receiving facilities require a path from the facility to the user on the ground. This requires the antennas to be located in a location above the tree line where the signal is not obstructed or degraded by buildings or topographical features.

Once constructed, the proposed Facility will be unmanned and will involve only periodic maintenance visits. The only utilities required to operate the facility are electrical power as well as telephone service which are currently available at the property. The traffic generated by the facility will be one or two vehicle trips per month by maintenance and technical personnel to ensure the telecommunications site remains in good working order. These visits will not result in any material increase in traffic or disruption to patterns of access or egress that will cause congestion hazards or cause a substantial change in the established neighborhood character. The Applicant's maintenance personnel will make use of the existing access roads and parking at the Property. The proposed Facility will not obstruct existing rights-of-way or pedestrian access and will not change the daily conditions of access, egress, traffic, congestion hazard, or character of the neighborhood. The installation will not require the addition of any new parking or loading spaces.

The construction of the Applicant's Facility will enhance service coverage in the City of Rochester and surrounding communities. The enhancement of service coverage in the City of Rochester is desirable to the public convenience for personal use of wireless services and for community safety in times of public crisis and natural disaster. Wireless communications service also provides a convenience to residents and is an attractive feature and service to businesses. In addition, the requested use at this location will not result in a change in the appearance of the surrounding neighborhoods. The use is passive in nature and will not generate any traffic, smoke, dust, heat, glare, discharge of noxious substances, nor will it pollute waterways or groundwater. Once constructed, the facility will comply with all applicable local, state and federal safety regulation.

Most importantly:

1. The proposed Facility will promote and conserve the convenience and general welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Rochester by enhancing telecommunications services within the City.



2. The proposed Facility will lessen the danger from fire and natural disasters by providing emergency communications in the event of such fires and natural disasters.

3. The proposed Facility will preserve and increase the amenities of the City by enhancing telecommunications services.

4. The proposed Facility will facilitate the adequate provision of transportation by improving mobile telecommunications for business, personal and emergency uses.

Wireless service is important to public safety and convenience. As of the end of 2017, there were an estimated 411 million wireless telephone users in the United States. See FCC's *First Communications Marketplace Report*, p. 6 (December 26, 2018). There are now more wireless subscriptions than landline telephone subscriptions in the United States, and the number of landline telephone subscribers across the nation is declining each year while the number of wireless users increases. Moreover, it is forecasted that wireless connections will become more significant as network service providers facilitate increase connectivity directly between devices, sensors, monitors, etc., and their networks. *Id.* at p. 9.

For many Americans, wireless devices have become an indispensable replacement for traditional landline telephones. Even when Americans maintain both types of telephone service, Americans are opting increasingly to use wireless devices over their landline telephones. For Americans living in "wireless-only" homes and for those others while away from their homes, cell phones are often their only lifeline in emergencies. More than one-half of American households (54.9%) are now "wireless only."<sup>1</sup> Even among households with both a landline and wireless telephones, approximately 42% of those households "received all or almost all calls on wireless telephones."<sup>2</sup> The FCC estimates that approximately 70% of the millions of 911 calls made daily are placed from cell phones, and that percentage is growing. See <a href="http://www.fcc.gov/guides/wireless-911-services">http://www.fcc.gov/guides/wireless-911-services</a>.

#### II. THE PROPOSED FACILITY

As depicted on the Plans submitted with this application, Everest proposes to construct a 150 foot monopole tower (with a 6-foot lightning rod extending to 156 feet). The proposed Facility will structurally accommodate at least three wireless communications carriers and their associated antennas, electronic equipment and cabling; and fence at the base of the tower will be sufficient to accommodate ground based radio communications equipment. As shown on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/wireless201812.pdf</u>



the Plans that accompany this Application, Verizon Wireless's panel antennas will be located at a height of 145 feet (antenna centerline) on the tower.

Verizon Wireless's radio communications equipment cabinets will be located on a 12-foot by 20-foot concrete equipment pad located within and surrounded by a 6-foot high chain link fence topped with barbed wire to prevent unauthorized access. A power meter bank and telephone cabinet will also be installed within the fenced in compound. A pad-mounted transformer, protected by bollards, will be located just outside the fenced compound. Additional details for the proposed Facility are set forth below and in the enclosed plans.

Everest anticipates that in the future additional wireless communications providers may also co-locate wireless communications equipment at the Facility.

The Facility will be an unmanned, passive use, will not generate any appreciable noise, dust or odors and will not adversely affect existing developed and natural environments around the City of Rochester. The location of the Facility will mitigate adverse visual impacts. The Facility will enable users to access a state-of-the-art, fully digital system for voice communication, messaging, and data transmission and reception.

#### III. FEDERAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

Everest's application is governed by the provisions of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, which the United States Supreme Court has explained as follows:

Congress enacted the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (TCA) ... to promote competition and higher quality in American telecommunications services and to "encourage the rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies." ... One of the means by which it sought to accomplish these goals was reduction of the impediments imposed by local governments upon the installation of facilities for wireless communications, such as antenna towers. To this end, the TCA amended the Communications Act of 1934 ... to include § 332(c)(7), which imposes specific limitations on the traditional authority of state and local governments to regulate the location, construction, and modification of such facilities ... 47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(7). Under this provision, local governments may not "unreasonably discriminate among providers of functionally equivalent services," § 332(c)(7)(B)(i)(I), take actions that "prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services," § 332(c)(7)(B)(i)(II), or limit the placement of wireless facilities "on the basis of the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions," § 332(c)(7)(B)(iv). They must act on requests for authorization to locate wireless facilities "within a reasonable period of time," § 332(c)(7)(B)(ii), and each decision denying such a request must "be in writing and supported by substantial evidence contained in a written record," § 332(c)(7)(B)(iii).



*City of Rancho Palos Verdes, Cal. v. Abrams,* 544 U.S. 113, 115-116 (U.S. 2005) (internal citations omitted).

The TCA was intended to provide for a pro-competitive, deregulatory national policy framework designed to accelerate rapidly private sector deployment of advanced telecommunications and information technologies to all Americans. The proposed Facility will help bring advanced and improved telecommunications and information technologies to Rochester.

### IV. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>

Everest's proposed Facility satisfies the required findings for grant of the requested special exception as follows (Ordinance in **bold**):

A. The Facility Satisfies the Generally Applicable "Base Criteria" for a Special Exception as Set Forth in Section 275-22.2 of the Ordinance

The Facility satisfies the requirements of Section 275-22.2 of the Ordinance for the grant of a Special Exception as follows:

### (1) Location. The specific site is an appropriate location for the proposed use or structure;

The Property is a large plot of land and appropriate for the proposed Facility. The Facility is permitted by Special Exception within the Agricultural zoning district. As set forth in the Radio Frequency Report submitted herewith, the Property is uniquely situated to allow Verizon Wireless to address the significant gap in its wireless network coverage in the vicinity of the Property. Further, as set forth in the Alternatives Analysis submitted herewith, the Property is the only feasible location that will allow Verizon Wireless to address this significant gap in its wireless network.

The location of the proposed Facility utilizes significant setbacks from adjacent property lines and Blackwater Road and takes advantage of the existing vegetation and dense tree growth on and near the Property to help minimize any adverse visual impacts. The Facility is generally surrounded by wooded and agricultural land and the required vegetative buffer. The proposed use complies with the Ordinance to the extent reasonably feasible and will reduce the number of new structures ultimately needed to provide wireless communication services in the surrounding area by providing opportunities for co-location. The proposed Facility is an unmanned and passive in nature and will involve no overcrowding of land or undue concentration of population. In addition, the proposed Facility will involve



no adverse effects on drainage, schools, parks, open space, or other public requirements.

### (2) Neighborhood. The proposed use would not be detrimental, injurious, obnoxious, or offensive to the neighborhood;

The proposed Facility is not detrimental, injurious, obnoxious or offensive to the neighborhood. The location of the proposed Facility utilizes significant setbacks from adjacent property lines and Blackwater Road and takes advantage of the existing vegetation and dense tree growth on and near the Property to help minimize any adverse visual impacts. The Facility is generally surrounded by wooded and agricultural land and the required vegetative buffer.

The proposed Facility is unmanned and passive in nature. The Facility will only be visited one to two times per month by authorized personnel in an SUV-sized vehicle; therefore it will have no material impact on traffic near the Property. The Facility will not generate any excessive noise, heat, smoke, glare, effluent, odor or pollution. The proposed Facility will not result in the overcrowding of land or over-concentration of population. Since the Facility is unmanned it will not require or discharge water or sewerage, not generate any trash or rubbish, nor overburden other municipal services.

The Facility will comply with all lawful and applicable regulations concerning radio frequency exposure including those established by the Federal Communications Commission.

Further, the Facility will benefit the neighborhood and the City by providing enhanced wireless communications services to the residents, visitors and businesses in the vicinity of the Property. The enhancement of wireless network coverage in the City is desirable to the public convenience for personal use of wireless services and for community safety in times of public crisis or natural disaster. Wireless communications service also provides a convenience to residents and is an attractive feature and service to businesses.

### (3) Traffic. The proposed use would not create an undue hazard or nuisance to vehicular or pedestrian traffic;

The proposed Facility is unmanned and passive in nature. The Facility will only be visited one to two times per month by authorized personnel in an SUV-sized vehicle; therefore it will have no material impact on traffic near



the Property. As depicted on the Plans, the Facility will utilize the existing access to the Property. Everest will improve an existing construction driveway and dirt farm road with gravel and extend a portion with a new gravel access road to allow vehicular access to the Facility. Further, one turnaround area/parking space will be located near the proposed Facility for use by authorized personnel. As a result, the proposed Facility will not have any material impact on pedestrian or vehicular traffic and safety on or near the Property.

## (4) Public facilities. Adequate and appropriate facilities and utilities would be provided to ensure the proper operation of the proposed use or structure; and

Adequate and appropriate utilities are available to serve the Facility on or near the Property. As depicted on the Plans, electric and telephone utilities necessary for the Facility will be installed from the existing utilities on Blackwater Road and run to the Facility. The Facility is unmanned and does not require water or sewer services, nor does it generate any trash or rubbish or otherwise require services for their removal.

### (5) Master Plan. The proposed use or structure is consistent with the spirit of this chapter and the intent of the Master Plan.

The proposed Facility is consistent with the spirit of this chapter and the intent of the Master Plan. The proposed Facility will increase the amenities available within the City by providing enhanced wireless communications service to residents, visitors, and businesses in Rochester for personal, business and emergency purposes. By providing for increased availability of wireless communications services during emergencies, the proposed Facility will promote the health, safety and general welfare of the community, and will lessen the danger from fires or other natural disasters.

The unmanned Facility will not contribute to the overcrowding of land. In addition, it does not require any water or sewer services, nor will it increase the burden on other municipal services such as police, fire or schools. Therefore, the proposed Facility is consistent with the spirit of the Ordinance and Master Plan.

The Facility is also in compliance with the applicable provisions of the Land Use Master Plan as follows:



### Goal 1: Provide for a balanced and sustainable pattern of land use that meets the many needs of the City's stakeholders.

#### Goal 1 – Objective 6: Minimize costs for expansion of infrastructure

As discussed above, the proposed Facility is consistent with the Zoning Ordinance. The location of the proposed Facility utilizes significant setbacks from adjacent property lines and Blackwater Road and takes advantage of the existing vegetation and dense tree growth on and near the Property to help minimize any adverse visual impacts. The Facility is generally surrounded by wooded and agricultural land and the required vegetative buffer.

The Facility will help minimize the costs for expansion of infrastructure by providing enhanced wireless communications services to residents, visitors, and businesses in Rochester for personal, business and emergency purposes. As set forth in the RF Report submitted herewith, the Facility will address a significant gap in Verizon Wireless's communications network and benefit mobile devices to provide fast web browsing, media streaming, and other applications that require broadband connections. The mobile devices that benefit from these advanced networks are not limited to basic handheld phones, but also include devices such as smartphones, PDA's, tablets, and laptop air-cards. With the evolving rollout of 4G LTE services and devices, Verizon Wireless customers will have even faster connections to people, information, and entertainment.

#### **Goal 2:** Enhance the quality of development throughout the City

### Goal 2 - Objective 4: Develop regulations that mitigate adverse impacts such as noise, glare, odors, vibration, and undue traffic congestion.

The Facility will not generate any excessive noise, heat, smoke, glare, effluent, odor, vibration or pollution. The proposed Facility will not result in the overcrowding of land or over-concentration of population. The Facility will be setback from Blackwater Road by 647 feet and will be surrounded by dense tree growth. The Applicant has designed the Facility to minimize visual impact on the surrounding area.

The Facility will comply with all lawful and applicable regulations concerning radio frequency exposure including those established by the Federal



Communications Commission and will comply with all lawful and applicable state and federal safety codes.

The Facility is unmanned and passive in nature. Since the Facility is unmanned it will not require or discharge water or sewerage, not generate any trash or rubbish, nor overburden other municipal services. The proposed Facility will require no more than two (2) vehicle trips per month by a service technician for routine maintenance and will require no water, septic, or other municipal services. The proposed Facility will involve no adverse effects on public or private water supplies, drainage, schools, parks, open space, or other municipal interests.

#### **Goal 5:** Promote positive planning principles and techniques

Goal 5 - Objective 5: Encourage development that is responsive to the broad public interest, well designed, and harmonious with its surroundings.

The Property, is a large wooded parcel located in the AG Zoning District and is an appropriate location for the proposed Facility. The Property is surrounded by wooded and agricultural land and the proposed Facility will be surrounded by dense tree growth. Verizon Wireless has identified a significant gap in wireless coverage and a significant need for capacity relief in the City. The proposed Facility will benefit the City and promote and conserve the convenience and general welfare of its residents, businesses and travelers by enhancing telecommunication services and providing reliable state-of-the-art digital wireless voice and data services. The proposed Facility will contribute to securing safety from fire, flood, panic and other dangers by providing more reliable wireless coverage with E911 enhanced emergency service.

The proposed Facility will not have any adverse effect on the value of land and buildings in the neighborhood or on the amenities thereof. The use will be passive and require no employees on the premises. Specifically, the proposed Facility will require approximately two (2) vehicle trips per month by a service technician for routine maintenance and will require no water, septic, or other municipal services. Further, the proposed Facility is unmanned and passive in nature and will not contribute to overcrowding of land or undue concentration of population.

Goal 6: Craft ordinances, regulations, policies, and procedures that promote clarity, efficiency, economic value, responsibility, and equity in the development process.

#### Goal 6 - Objective 2: Support and enhance property values.

The proposed Facility will support and enhance property values. In an effort to minimize any alleged adverse impacts of the proposed Facility, the Applicant has located the Facility 647 feet from Blackwater Road. The proposed Facility will be surrounded by dense tree growth. Further, the Applicant has designed the Facility to minimize visual impact on the surrounding area.

The proposed Facility will not have any adverse effect on the value of land and buildings in the neighborhood or on the amenities thereof. The use will be passive and require no employees on the premises. Specifically, the proposed Facility will require approximately two (2) vehicle trips per month by a service technician for routine maintenance and will require no water, septic, or other municipal services. Further, the proposed Facility is unmanned and passive in nature and will not contribute to overcrowding of land or undue concentration of population. The proposed Facility will involve no adverse effects on public or private water supplies, drainage, schools, parks, open space, or other public requirements. The proposed Facility will involve no excessive noise or pollution to the environment.

The proposed Facility will also benefit the City and promote and conserve the convenience and general welfare of its residents, businesses and travelers by enhancing telecommunication services and providing reliable state-of-the-art digital wireless voice and data services. The proposed Facility will contribute to securing safety from fire, flood, panic and other dangers by providing more reliable wireless coverage with E911 enhanced emergency service.

### B. The Facility Satisfies the Criteria for a Special Exception for a Wireless Communications Facility as Set Forth in Section 275-22.2(N) of the Ordinance

The Facility satisfies the requirements of Section 275-22.2(N) of the Ordinance for the grant of a Special Exception for a Wireless Communications Facility as follows:

#### **N.** Wireless Communications Facilities

(1) Co-location/zoning district. Subject to a determination by the Zoning Board of Adjustment that the telecommunications equipment planned for the proposed site cannot be accommodated:

### a. Within a zoning district where these facilities are permitted by right; nor

The proposed Facility cannot be located within a zoning district where these facilities are permitted by-right while meeting Verizon Wireless's objectives to address this significant gap in its wireless network coverage. The Facility is permitted by right in the Granite Ridge (GR), General industrial (GI), and Recycling Industrial (RI) Zoning Districts. Based on Verizon Wireless's existing locations as set forth in the RF Report and depicted on the radio frequency propagation maps submitted therewith, the existing sites do not provide adequate coverage to the Coverage Objective. As by the Alternatives Analysis demonstrated submitted herewith, Everest has conducted a thorough search for alternatives, including the by-right zoning districts, and determined that there are no feasible alternatives to the Facility that would also allow Verizon Wireless to address this significant gap in its wireless network coverage.

### b. On any existing or approved antenna support structure in the City of Rochester; nor

The proposed Facility cannot be accommodated on any existing or approved antenna support structure in the City. Based on Verizon Wireless's existing locations as set forth in the RF Report and depicted on the radio frequency propagation maps submitted therewith, the existing sites do not provide adequate coverage to the Coverage Objective. As demonstrated by the Alternatives Analysis submitted herewith, Everest has conducted a thorough search for alternatives, including existing and approved antenna support structures, and determined that there are no feasible alternatives to the Facility that would also allow Verizon Wireless to address this significant gap in its wireless network coverage.

c. On any prospective alternative tower structure in the City of Rochester for one of the following reasons:

[1] Structural capacity. The planned equipment would exceed the structural capacity of the existing or approved antenna support structures, as documented by a qualified professional engineer, and the existing or approved tower cannot be reinforced, modified, or replaced to accommodate planned or equivalent equipment at a reasonable cost.

[2] Interference. The planned equipment would cause interference materially impacting the usability of other existing or planned equipment at the antenna support structure as documented by a qualified professional engineer and the interference cannot be prevented at a reasonable cost.

[3] Height constraints. Existing or approved antenna support structure within the required radius cannot accommodate the planned equipment at the necessary height as documented by a qualified professional engineer.

[4] Other reasons. Any other substantial reason that precludes the co-location. The burden of proof is upon the applicant to demonstrate that all reasonable alternatives to the erection of a new structure have been fully explored.

As set forth in the RF Report and Alternatives Analysis submitted herewith, there are no existing or approved antenna support structures, towers, or other structures located in the area within which Verizon Wireless has identified this significant gap in its wireless coverage network. As a result, the proposed Facility, including the proposed monopole, is necessary in order to address Verizon Wireless's significant gap in coverage.

### (2) Buffers.

a. In addition, for the purpose of buffering the proposed structure from neighboring properties and roads, the site proposed for the facility shall be surrounded by an area of dense tree growth, including a sufficient percentage of evergreen trees to partially screen the site in the winter, that extends continuously for a minimum distance equal to 1/2 the height of the proposed support structure.

In accordance with this provision of the Ordinance, the proposed Facility, including a 150-foot tower, is required to be surrounded by a buffer of dense tree growth of at least 75 feet. As depicted on the



Plans submitted herewith, Everest's proposed Facility is located within an area of dense tree growth, including a significant percentage of evergreen trees, that exceeds 75 feet and therefore complies with this requirement and

b. In locations where this dense tree growth is not presently in place the Zoning Board of Adjustment may, at its option, where it determines that the intent of this requirement can otherwise be met, waive or reduce this requirement due to other mitigating conditions on or off the site and/or approve a tree planting and landscaping plan for the site (alternatively, the Board may defer review and approval of this plan to the Planning Board as part of site plan review). An appropriate method, such as a deed restriction, shall be employed to ensure that the buffer remains in place as long as the support structure is in place.

As set forth above, Everest's proposed Facility complies with the buffering requirements. Everest will comply with any lawful and reasonable condition concerning the maintenance of the buffer area.

#### V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Everest respectfully requests the Board to grant the requested variance and any other zoning relief required for the proposed Facility. Everest respectfully requests that the Board schedule this application for a public hearing at its next meeting for which proper notice can be given.

If I can provide any further information regarding this application, please let me know.

Sincerely,

En Som

Brian S. Grossman

























C Squared Systems, LLC 65 Dartmouth Drive Auburn, NH 03032 Phone: (603) 644 2800 support@csquaredsystems.com

RF Report

Proposed Wireless Facility 133 Blackwater Road Rochester, NH 03867

# verizon

October 21, 2019

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Overview	1
2. Introduction	1
3. The Proposed Facility	3
4. Coverage and Capacity Objectives	4
5. Site Search and Selection Process	5
6. Pertinent Site Data	6
7. Coverage Analysis and Propagation Plots	7
8. Certification of Non-Interference	9
9. Summary	9
10. Statement of Certification	9
11. Attachments	10

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Verizon Wireless Site Information Used in Coverage Analysis	6
Table 2: Capacity Offload Summary	8

### ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Rochester 3 – Existing 700 MHz LTE Coverage
Attachment B: Rochester 3 – 700 MHz LTE Coverage with Proposed Site
Attachment C: Rochester 3 – Existing 700 MHz LTE Sector Footprints
Attachment D: Rochester 3 – 700 MHz LTE Sector Footprints with Proposed Site
Attachment E: Rochester 3 – Area Terrain Map

### 1. Overview

This RF Report has been prepared on behalf of Verizon Wireless in support of Varsity Wireless' application to the City of Rochester for the installation and operation of a wireless facility located 133 Blackwater Road in Rochester, NH. Verizon Wireless' component of the proposed facility consists of ground-based equipment cabinets along with antennas and associated equipment mounted on the proposed 150' monopole.

This report concludes that the proposed site will fill in coverage gaps and provide additional capacity to southern Rochester in order to improve deficient service areas along Route 16 (Spaulding Turnpike), and the surrounding roads and neighborhoods in the proximity of the proposed site.

Included in this report is: a brief summary of the site's objectives, maps showing Verizon Wireless' current network plan, and modeled Radio Frequency coverage of the subject site and the surrounding sites in Verizon Wireless' network.

### 2. Introduction

Verizon Wireless provides digital voice and data communications services using 3rd Generation (3G) CDMA/EVDO technology in the Cellular (800 MHz) and PCS (1900 MHz) frequency bands, and is in the midst of deploying advanced 4th Generation (4G) voice and data services over LTE technology in the 700 MHz, Cellular, PCS, and AWS (2100 MHz) frequency bands as allocated by the FCC. These networks are used by mobile devices for fast web browsing, media streaming, and other applications that require broadband connections. The mobile devices that benefit from these advanced networks are not limited to basic handheld phones, but also include devices such as smartphones, PDA's, tablets, and laptop air-cards. With the evolving rollout of 4G LTE services and devices, Verizon Wireless customers will have even faster connections to people, information, and entertainment.

As explained within this report, Verizon Wireless has identified the need to add a new facility to its existing network of sites in the Rochester area to improve coverage and capacity to a significant gap in service that exists in southern Rochester, in order to support reliable communications and meet the growing demand in the area.

To maintain a reliable and robust communications system for the individuals, businesses, public safety workers and others who use its network, Verizon Wireless deploys a network of cell sites (also called wireless communications facilities) throughout the areas in which it is licensed to provide service. These cell sites consist of antennas mounted on structures, such as buildings and towers, supported by radio and power equipment. The receivers and transmitters at each of these sites process signals within a limited geographic area known as a "cell."

Mobile subscriber handsets and wireless devices operate by transmitting and receiving low power radio frequency signals to and from these cell sites. Handset signals that reach the cell site are transferred through land lines (or other means of backhaul transport) and routed to their destinations by sophisticated electronic equipment. In order for Verizon Wireless' network to function effectively, there must be adequate overlapping coverage between the "serving cell" and adjoining cells. This not only allows a user to access the network initially, but also allows for the transfer or "hand-off" of calls and data transmissions from one cell to another, and prevents unintended disconnections or "dropped calls."

#### Verizon Wireless

Verizon Wireless' antennas also must be located high enough above ground level to allow transmission (a.k.a. propagation) of the radio frequency signals above trees, buildings, and other natural or man-made structures that may obstruct or diminish the signals. Areas without adequate radio frequency coverage have substandard service, characterized by dropped and blocked calls, slow data connections, or no wireless service at all, and are commonly referred to as coverage gaps.

The size of the area potentially served by each cell site depends on several factors including the number of antennas used, the height at which the antennas are deployed, the topography of the surrounding land, vegetative cover, and natural or man-made obstructions in the area. The actual service area at any given time also depends on the number of customers who are on the network in range of that cell site. As customers move throughout the service area, the transmission from the phone or other device is automatically transferred to the Verizon Wireless facility with the best reception, without interruption in service, provided that there is overlapping coverage between the cells.

Each cell site must be primarily designed to strike a balance between the overall geographic coverage area it will serve, and the site's capacity to support the usage within the coverage footprint. In rural areas, cell sites are generally designed to have broader coverage footprints because the potential traffic is sparser and distributed over a larger area. In more densely populated suburban and urban environments, the capacity to handle calls and data transmissions is of increasing concern, and cell sites must limit their coverage footprint to an area where the offered network traffic can be supported by the radio equipment and resources. Due to the aggressive historical and projected growth of mobile usage, particularly for mobile data (82% in 2017-2018 in the U.S.<sup>1</sup>, 43% in 2017-2018 and 36% CAGR 2017-2022 in North America<sup>2</sup>), instances arise where the usage demand can no longer be supported by the site(s) serving an area, and new facilities must be integrated to provide capacity relief to the overloaded sites.

We have concluded that by installing the proposed wireless communication facility at 133 Blackwater Road at an antenna centerline height of 145' AGL (above ground level), Verizon Wireless will be able to provide improved coverage and capacity to residents, businesses, and traffic corridors within southern Rochester that are currently located within a gap in service of Verizon Wireless' network.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "2019 Annual Survey Highlights", June 20, 2019, CTIA. https://www.ctia.org/news/2019-annual-survey-highlights

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Forecast and Trends, 2017-2022", November 26, 2018, Cisco Systems, Inc. <u>https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-index-vni/white-paper-c11-</u>741490.html#\_Toc529314192
# 3. The Proposed Facility

Verizon Wireless' plan for this would consist principally of the following elements:

- 1) A 12' x 20' equipment lease area within Varsity's proposed 65' x 65' fenced compound;
- 2) A concrete pad within Verizon's lease area with telecommunications equipment cabinets, propane fueled generator, and telco/power/fiber connections;
- 3) A 500-gallon liquid propane tank on a 4' x 10' concrete pad within the fenced compound;
- 4) Twelve (12) panel antennas (four per sector) mounted on the proposed 150' monopole, at a centerline elevation of 145' AGL;
- 5) Remote Radio Heads (RRHs) (three per sector) with accessory junction boxes and surge suppressors, mounted alongside the antennas;
- 6) An ice bridge from the proposed equipment cabinets to the proposed tower to protect cabling between Verizon Wireless' equipment and the cable entry port located near the base of the tower.

# 4. Coverage and Capacity Objectives

As mentioned above, Verizon Wireless is in the process of rolling out its 4G LTE high-speed wireless broadband system in the 700 MHz, Cellular, PCS, and AWS frequency bands, in accordance with its licenses from the FCC. In order to expand and enhance their wireless services throughout New England, Verizon Wireless must fill in existing coverage gaps and address capacity, interference, and high-speed broadband issues. As part of this effort, Verizon Wireless has determined that significant gaps in service exist in and around sections of the City of Rochester, NH, as described further below.

Verizon Wireless currently operates wireless facilities similar to the proposed facility within Rochester and the surrounding cities/towns. Due in large part to the distances between the existing sites, the intervening topography, and volume of user traffic in the area, these existing facilities do not provide sufficient coverage and capacity to portions of Rochester. Specifically, Verizon Wireless determined that much of southern Rochester is without reliable service in the following areas and City roads<sup>3</sup>, including but not limited to:

- Route 16 (Spaulding Turnpike);
  - o Serves ~ 29,000 vehicles per day, as measured between exits 9 & 11 (2018);
- The surrounding roads, neighborhoods and businesses in the proximity of the proposed site and the abovementioned roads.

The proposed site located at 133 Blackwater Road ("Rochester 3") is needed to fill in these targeted gaps in service, in order to improve network quality and reliability for Verizon Wireless subscribers traveling along these roads, as well as to the numerous residents, businesses, and visitors in this area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Traffic counts are sourced from the New Hampshire Department of Transportation, Transportation Data Management System.

C Squared Systems, LLC

# 5. Site Search and Selection Process

To find a site that provides acceptable coverage, adequate capacity, and fills the gaps in service, computer modeling software is used to define a search area. The search ring identifies the area within which a site could be located (assuming sufficient height is considered) that would have a high probability of addressing the significant coverage gap and/or meeting the capacity objectives established by the Verizon Wireless RF (Radio Frequency) engineers.

Once a search ring is determined, Verizon Wireless' real estate specialists search within the proximity of the defined area for existing buildings, towers, and other structures of sufficient height that would meet the defined objectives. If none are found, then the focus shifts to "raw land" sites. A suitable site must satisfy the technical requirements identified by the RF engineers, must be available for lease, and must have access to a road and be otherwise suitable for constructing a cell site of the required size and height. Every effort is made to use existing structures before pursuing a "raw land" build to minimize the number of new towers throughout the cities and towns being served.

Since no suitable existing structures in the area have been identified, Verizon Wireless determined that collocating on the planned wireless communications facility located at 133 Blackwater Road is the most appropriate solution to address the targeted coverage and capacity objectives with respect to its network requirements.

# 6. Pertinent Site Data

		<b>C</b> : (2	Loc	ation	Structure	Antenna	Status
Site Name	Address	City/State	Latitude	Longitude	Туре	Height (ft AGL)	
Barrington E	485 Route 125	Barrington, NH	43.2276	-70.9924	Lattice	137	On-Air
Dover DT	100 Main Street	Dover, NH	43.1959	-70.8724	Smokestack	115	On-Air
Dover Nw	304 Long Hill Road	Dover, NH	43.2287	-70.9252	Lattice	166	On-Air
Lee 5 Corners	11 Concord Road	Lee, NH	43.1515	-71.0139	Monopole	170	On-Air
Rollinsford E	40 Jessie Doe Road	Rollinsford, NH	43.2356	-70.8296	Monopole	140	On-Air
Rollinsford W	17 Highland Avenue	Rollinsford, NH	43.2097	-70.8692	Monopole	88	On-Air
Somersworth 2	157 Route 108	Somersworth, NH	43.2364	-70.8931	Guyed	115	On-Air
Somersworth	43 High Street	Somersworth, NH	43.2624	-70.8651	Steeple	68	On-Air
Lebanon S	216 Oak Hill Road	Lebanon, ME	43.3497	-70.9437	Lattice	230	On-Air
Rochester N	36 Cross Road	Rochester, NH	43.3484	-70.9838	Monopole	179	On-Air
Rochester C	29 Wadleigh Road	Rochester, NH	43.2853	-70.9831	Lattice	155	On-Air
Rochester	34 S Main Street	Rochester, NH	43.3037	-70.9750	Steeple	79	On-Air
Rochester 4	0 Walnut Street	Rochester, NH	43.3077	-70.9996	Lattice	172	On-Air
Rochester W	80 Dry Hill Road	Rochester, NH	43.2781	43.2781	Monopole	177	On-Air
Rochester 3	133 Blackwater Road	Rochester, NH	43.2601	-70.9515	Monopole	145	Proposed

Table 1 below details the site-specific information for the existing (on-air) and proposed Verizon Wireless sites used to perform the coverage analysis and generate the coverage plots provided herein.

Table 1: Verizon Wireless Site Information Used in Coverage Analysis<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some sites listed in this table are outside the plot view but are included for completeness of information.

# 7. Coverage Analysis and Propagation Plots

The signal propagation plots provided in this report were produced using deciBel Planner<sup>TM</sup>, a Windowsbased RF propagation computer modeling program and network planning tool. The software considers the topographical features of an area, land cover, antenna models, antenna heights, RF transmitting power and receiver thresholds to model coverage and other related RF parameters used in site design and network expansion.

The coverage plots included as attachments show coverage based on RSRP signal strengths of -95 dBm and above. All other areas (depicted in white) fall within coverage areas characterized by poor service quality, low data throughput, and the substantial likelihood of unreliable service.

Attachments A - E are discussed below:

<u>Attachment A</u> titled "<u>Rochester 3 – Existing 700 MHz LTE Coverage</u>" shows the coverage provided to areas of Rochester from the "On-Air" sites listed in Table 1. "On-Air" sites are existing Verizon Wireless facilities. The green areas represent the minimum desired level of coverage for much of this area. The deficient areas of coverage are defined by the unshaded or white areas. As shown in this plot and described in the Coverage and Capacity Objectives section of this report, portions of southern Rochester are in areas of deficient coverage. These coverage gaps include, but are not limited to, Route 16 (Spaulding Turnpike), Blackwater Road, Tebbetts Road, Pickering Road, and the surrounding roads and neighborhoods in the proximity of the proposed site.

<u>Attachment B</u> titled "<u>Rochester 3 - 700 MHz LTE Coverage with Proposed Site</u>" shows the composite coverage with the proposed "Rochester 3" facility. As shown by the <u>additional</u> areas of coverage, the proposed facility will provide coverage to:

- ~ 1.2 mi along Route 16 (Spaulding Turnpike);
- ~ 1.7 mi along Blackwater Road;
- $\sim 0.8$  mi along Tebbetts Road;
- $\sim 0.7$  mi a Pickering Road and Route 16B (Old Rochester Road);
- ~ 1,200 new residents<sup>5</sup> and ~ 200 employees<sup>6</sup> within the surrounding area;
- The surrounding roads and neighborhoods in the proximity of the proposed site and the above-mentioned roads.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Residential population counts referenced here and elsewhere in this report are based upon the 2010 U.S. Census data. Please note that this does not include employee, visitor, or vehicular counts in the area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Employee population counts referenced here and elsewhere in this report are based upon the 2015 U.S. Census Bureau LEHD database.

Attachment C titled "Rochester 3 – Existing 700 MHz LTE Sector Footprints" depicts the areas primarily served by the sectors (a.k.a. signal "footprints") of the "On-Air" Verizon Wireless macro sites in the area, which are shown by the unique color for each particular sector of interest. For clarity, all other sectors of less interest with respect to the proposed site are shown in grey. As demand for wireless voice and data services continues to grow, Verizon Wireless manages the footprint of each sector so that it can support the demand within the area it is primarily serving. In addition to improving coverage to the area, the proposed site will also serve existing and anticipated demand in the vicinity and thereby offload some of the burden experienced by the surrounding sites. In that way, those sites will be able to more adequately serve the demand for service in the areas nearer to those surrounding sites. Please note that the outer parts of each sector footprint may include areas that presently have signal strength below the targeted value required for reliable service to Verizon Wireless' customers. The fact that low-level signal may reach these areas does not mean that these areas experience adequate coverage. These unreliable areas of low signal level can impose a significant capacity burden on the sites primarily serving the area.

Attachment D titled "Rochester 3 - 700 MHz LTE Sector Footprints with Proposed Site" shows the composite coverage with the overall footprint of the proposed facility in dark green. As shown in this map, the proposed "Rochester 3" facility is an effective solution to provide capacity relief to the area, particularly to the "Rochester C" beta sector (red) and the "Dover Nw" alpha sector (orange). The proposed facility is centrally located in the area of deficient coverage making it particularly suited to distribute the traffic load across multiple sectors, and provide a dominant server to this pocket of usage. Table 2 below details the capacity relief based on the sector footprints shown in Attachments C and D.

	Current			With "Rochester 3"			Offload Summary		
Sector	Employee Pops	Residental Pops	Area (mi <sup>2</sup> )	Employee Pops	Residental Pops	Area (mi <sup>2</sup> )	Total Employee Pops Offloaded	Total Residential Pops Offloaded	Area Offloaded (mi <sup>2</sup> /%)
Rochester 3 Beta	540	2223	3.53	337	1539	1.85	203 ( 37.6%)	684 ( 30.8%)	1.68 ( 47.6%)
Dover Nw Alpha	339	1223	2.92	311	1055	2.35	28 ( 8.3%)	168 (13.7%)	0.57 (19.5%)

#### Table 2: Capacity Offload Summary

<u>Attachment E</u> titled "<u>Rochester 3 – Area Terrain Map</u>" details the topographical features around the proposed "Rochester 3" site. These terrain features play a key role in dictating both the unique coverage areas served from a given location, and the coverage gaps within the network. This map is included to provide a visual representation of the terrain variations that must be considered when determining the appropriate location and design of a proposed wireless facility. The blue and green shades correspond to lower elevations, whereas the orange, red, and grey shades indicate higher elevations.

# 8. Certification of Non-Interference

Verizon Wireless certifies that the proposed facility will not cause interference to any lawfully operating emergency communication system, television, telephone or radio, in the surrounding area. The FCC has licensed Verizon Wireless to transmit and receive in the Upper C-Block of the 700 MHz band, B Block of the Cellular (850 MHz) band, the C3, E, and F Blocks of the PCS (1900 MHz) band, and the B, D, and E Blocks of the AWS (2100 MHz) band of the RF spectrum. As a condition of the FCC licenses, Verizon Wireless is prohibited from interfering with other licensed devices that are being operated in a lawful manner. Furthermore, no emergency communication system, television, telephone, or radio is licensed to operate on these frequencies, and therefore interference is highly unlikely.

# 9. Summary

In undertaking its build-out of 4G LTE service in Strafford County, Verizon Wireless has determined that an additional facility is needed to provide reliable service and additional capacity throughout areas of southern Rochester, NH. Verizon Wireless determined that collocating on the proposed wireless communications facility at 133 Blackwater Road in Rochester at an antenna centerline height of 145 feet (AGL) will provide additional coverage and capacity needed in the targeted coverage areas including key roadways such as Route 16 (Spaulding Turnpike), and the surrounding roads and neighborhoods in the proximity of the proposed site. Without the installation of the proposed site, Verizon Wireless will be unable to improve and expand their existing 4G LTE wireless communication services in this area of Rochester; therefore, Verizon Wireless respectfully requests that the City of Rochester act favorably upon the proposed facility.

# 10. Statement of Certification

I certify to the best of my knowledge that the statements in this report are true and accurate.

Keith Vellante

Keith Vellante RF Engineer C Squared Systems, LLC October 21, 2018 Date

# 11. Attachments

#### Attachment A: Rochester 3 - Existing 700 MHz LTE Coverage



#### Attachment B: Rochester 3 - 700 MHz LTE Coverage with Proposed Site



#### Attachment C: Rochester 3 - Existing 700 MHz LTE Sector Footprints



## Attachment D: Rochester 3 - 700 MHz LTE Sector Footprints with Proposed Site



## Attachment E: Rochester 3 - Area Terrain Map





Rochester, NH -



# **Overall Aerial Map Existing Structures in Area**



## **Existing Structure:**

Structure type: Lattice Tower Address: Off Wadleigh Road, Rochester, NH Lat/Lon: 43-17-07, -70-58-19 Owner: Crown Castle International Available AGL: Up to 105'' Ground space available: Yes Existing Carriers: Yes

Notes: 3 Major Carriers on tower. Approximately 2.3 miles from proposed site



#### **Aerial View**

# Street View Wadleigh Road Tower



## **Existing Structure Parsons Lane Somersworth, NH:**

Structure type: Existing Tower Address: Off Parsons Lane, Somersworth, NH Lat/Lon: 43-14-25, -70-55-06 Owner: American Tower Available AGL100' Ground space available: Yes Existing Carriers: Yes

Notes: Existing Lattice Tower. 3 Major Carriers on Tower. Approximately 2.1 miles away from proposed site



# **Aerial View**

# Aerial View Parsons Lane



## Analysis of locations in closest by-right districts



There are 2 districts in somewhat proximity to the proposed site which towers are allowed as of right. One district is the RI district located approximately 1 mile south. It consists primarily of the Waste Management Recycling Facility. This district does not provide the required coverage to Route 16 due to its location 1 mile south. In addition, this district is approximately 85' +/- lower in elevation to the proposed property (120' AMSL compared to 204' for subject property. While a tower could be built in this district, its coverage would not change the need to a tower at the subject location.

## **<u>RI District in relation to Proposed Site</u>**



The other district in proximity to the proposed site is the Industrial district located to the South and the West. The closest realistic locations for a tower would be <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile away from the proposed location. This district suffers from the same issues as the RI district. The district moves away from the coverage objective, it has a elevation 40' to 50' below the proposed location. This would require a much taller tower than the 145' tower proposed at Blackwater Road. In addition, this district moves closer to an existing facility that is providing coverage (tower off Wadleigh Road).





# DONALD L. HAES, JR., CHP, CLSO

 Radiation Safety Specialist

 PO Box 198, Hampstead, NH 03841
 617-680-6262
 Email: donald\_haes\_chp@comcast.net

March 10, 2020

**RE:** Installation of antennas and associated equipment for the PWS facility to be mounted on a proposed monopole at 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, NH.

## **PURPOSE**

I have reviewed the information pertinent to the proposed installation at the above location. To determine regulatory compliance, theoretical calculations of maximal radio-frequency (RF) fields have been prepared. The physical conditions are that Everest Infrastructure Partners proposes to install a proposed 150' monopole at 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, NH (See Figure 2). Verizon Wireless has proposed to mount their personal wireless services (PWS) antennas with remote radio head units in three (3) sectors aimed about 120° apart at a centerline mounting height of 145' above ground level (AGL). The monopole will be designed to host two (2) additional PWS directional antennas and associated radio equipment mounted at centerline heights at 10-foot intervals down the monopole.

The theoretical calculations consider the contributions of the proposed Verizon Wireless and twoadditional PWS providers' transmitters operating at their proposed FCC licensed capacity. The calculated RF field values are presented as a percent of current Maximum Permissible Exposures (%MPE) as adopted by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).<sup>i,ii</sup>

#### **SUMMARY**

This report is intended to provide written evidence that RF fields on the ground from the proposed Verizon Wireless PWS facility would comply with the FCC RF exposure guidelines. The resulting data indicate the summation of the proposed Verizon Wireless PWS RF contributions would be within the established RF exposure guidelines in all accessible areas on the ground (see Figure 3). Supplementary calculations for the installation of <u>two (2) additional</u> PWS installations further indicates compliance with the established RF exposure guidelines in all accessible areas on the ground as well (see Figure 4). The results support compliance with the pertinent sections of the FCC's guidelines for RF exposure.

Based on the results of the theoretical RF fields I have calculated; it is my expert opinion that this facility would comply with all regulatory guidelines for RF exposure with the proposed Verizon Wireless antenna and transmitter installations, and even if the monopole were to be "fully loaded" with two (2) additional PWS providers.

Note: The analyses, conclusions and professional opinions are based upon the precise parameters and conditions of this particular site; VERIZON WIRELESS PWS facility mounted on the proposed monopole at 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, NH. Utilization of these analyses, conclusions and professional opinions for any personal wireless services installation, existing or proposed, other than the aforementioned has not been sanctioned by the author, and therefore should not be accepted as evidence of regulatory compliance.

## **EXPOSURE LIMITS AND GUIDELINES**

RF exposure guidelines enforced by the FCC were established by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI)<sup>iii</sup> and the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurement (NCRP).<sup>iv</sup> The RF exposure guidelines are listed for RF workers and members of the public. The applicable FCC RF exposure guidelines for the public are listed in Table 1 and depicted in Figure 1. All listed values are intended to be averaged over any contiguous 30-minute period. Note that RF exposure guidelines for trained "RF workers" are five (5) times the values for the general public.

Table 1: Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Values in Public Areas							
Frequency Bands	Electric Fields Magnetic Fields		Equivalent Power Density				
0.3–1.34 MHz	614 (V/m)	1.63 (A/m)	(100) mW/cm <sup>2</sup>				
1.34-30 MHz	824/f (V/m)	2.19/f (A/m)	(100) mW/cm <sup>2</sup>				
30-300 MHz	27.5 (V/m)	0.073 (A/m)	$0.2 \text{ mW/cm}^2$				
300-1500 MHz			<i>f</i> / 1500 mW/cm <sup>2</sup>				
1500-100,000 MHz			<b>1.0 mW/cm<sup>2</sup></b>				



**NOTE: FCC 5% Rule** – At multiple transmitter sites, actions necessary to bring the area into compliance with the RF exposure guidelines are the shared responsibility of all licensees whose transmitters produce RF field levels in excess of 5% of the applicable FCC MPEs.



Figure 2: Proposed Monopole Location 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, NH (Picture courtesy Google Earth<sup>®</sup>)

# **OBSERVATIONS IN CONSIDERATION WITH FCC RULES §1.1307(B) & §1.1310**

Will it be physically possible to stand next to or touch any omnidirectional antenna and/or stand in front of a directional antenna?

**NO**; access to the proposed monopole will be restricted, and the site will adhere to established RF safety guidelines regarding the transmitting antennas, including the appropriate signage.

# THEORETICAL RF FIELD CALCULATIONS - GROUND LEVELS METHODOLOGY

These calculations are based on what are called "worst-case" estimates. That is, the estimates assume 100% use of all transmitters simultaneously. For these calculations, the surrounding area was assumed to be a flat plane, even though there is a general slope away from the monopole. Note that any loss along the horizontal direction was neglected which means the results would be the maximum values in any direction. The resultant values are thus conservative in that they over-predict actual resultant power densities. The data used to prepare the theoretical RF field calculations are outlined in Tables 2 and 3 for the proposed Verizon Wireless and future PWS transmitters, respectively.

#### The calculations are based on the following information:

- 1. Effective Radiated Power (ERP): See Tables 2 and 3 Appendix A data).
- 2. Antenna Height (Centerline, Above Ground Level (AGL): Simple trigonometry was used to determine the resultant "RANGE" and the antenna depression angles.
- **3. Antenna Vertical Energy Patterns**: The source of the negative gain (G) values, see Appendix B. Omni-directional antennas are designed to send out relatively equal power in all directions. "Directional" antennas are designed to focus the RF signal, resulting in "patterns" of signal loss and gain. Antenna vertical energy patterns display the loss of signal strength relative to the direction of propagation due to elevation angle changes.

The magnitude of the RF field (the power density (S)) from an isotropic RF source is calculated making use of the power density formula as outlined in FCC's OET Bulletin 65, Edition 97-01:  $^{v}$ 

$\mathbf{S} = \underline{\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{G}}$	Where:	$P \rightarrow Power to antenna (Watts)$
$4 \cdot \pi \cdot \mathbf{R}^2$		$G \rightarrow Gain of antenna$
		$R$ $\rightarrow$ Distance (range) from antenna source to point of
		intersection with the ground (feet)
		$R^2 = (Height)^2 + (Horizontal distance)^2$

Since:  $P \cdot G = EIRP$  (Effective Isotropic Radiated Power), and for the situation of off-axis power density calculations, apply the negative elevation gain (G <sup>E</sup>) value from the vertical energy patterns with the following formula:

$$\mathbf{S} = \underline{\mathbf{EIRP} \cdot \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{E}}}{\mathbf{4} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \mathbf{R}^2}$$

Ground reflections may add in-phase with the direct wave, and essentially double the electric field intensity. Because power density is proportional to the *square* of the electric field, the power density may quadruple, that is, increase by a factor of four (4).

Since ERP is routinely used, convert ERP into EIRP by multiplying by the factor of 1.64 (the gain of a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-wave dipole relative to an isotropic radiator).

 $S = \underbrace{4 \cdot (ERP \cdot 1.64) \cdot G^{E}}_{4 \cdot \pi \cdot R^{2}} = \underbrace{ERP \cdot 1.64 \cdot G^{E}}_{\pi \cdot R^{2}} = \underbrace{0.522 \cdot ERP \cdot G^{E}}_{R^{2}}$ 

To calculate the % MPE, use the formula:

% MPE =  $\underline{S}$  · 100 MPE

# THEORETICAL RF FIELD CALCULATIONS – DATA

For Tables 2 and 3, the following abbreviations were used:

Personal Wireless Services (PWS) Technologies
AWS: Advanced Wireless Services
CDMA: Code Division Multiple Access (a.k.a. "Cellular")
LTE: Long Term Evolution (a.k.a. "4G")
ERLTE: Extended Range LTE (600 MHz).
PCS: Personal Communication System
UMTS: Universal Mobile Telecommunications Services
WCS: Wireless Communication Service

Note that Table 2 contains data for the proposed Verizon Wireless installation at this specific location. The additional PWS providers contained in Table 3 are purely speculative as far as location on the monopole. The accompanying information, however, is actual PWS data used for the "typical" installation in similar circumstances, and represents a good faith estimate of the additional equipment on the monopole.

Table 2: Transmitter and Antenna Data and Supporting Parameters for Proposed Verizon         Wireless PWS Installation. Monopole at 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, NH									
Remote Radio Head Unit (RRH or RRU; See Appendix A)			Antenna See Appendix B for Energy Patterns						
Model	Frequency (MHz) <sup>†</sup> / Technology	# Tx X Output Power (Watts) <sup>‡</sup>	Manufacturer/ Model	Gain (dBd)	ERP (Watts)**	Centerline Height ('AGL)			
ANY SECTOR (A, B, or C)									
RFV01U- D2A (B13)	777 / LTE	2 X 60	CommScope/ NHH-45A-R2B	13.14	2,473	145.0'			
RFV01U- D2A (B5)	850 / CDMA	4 X 40	CommScope/ NHH-45A-R2B	14.15	4,160	145.0'			
RFV01U- D1A (B2)	1948 / LTE	4 X 40	CommScope/ NHH-45A-R2B	16.37	6,936	145.0'			
RFV01U- D1A (B66)	2100 / LTE	4 X 60	CommScope/ NHH-45A-R2B	16.92	11,809	145.0'			

#### **Table Notes**

<sup>†</sup>Transmitter (Tx) Frequency: Central transmit frequency used to account for multiple channels.

<sup>‡</sup> Maximum rated output power (per channel).

**\*ERP**: Effective Radiated Power is the directional (RF) power (in Watts) that would have to be radiated by a half-wave dipole antenna to give the same radiation intensity as the actual source at a distant receiver located in the direction of the antenna's strongest beam (main lobe). ERP measures the combination of the power emitted by the transmitter and the ability of the antenna to direct that power in a given direction. It is equal to the input power to the antenna multiplied by the gain of the antenna. (Source Wiki).

Table 3: Transmitter and Antenna Data and Supporting Parameters for         Additional PWS Providers: Monopole at 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, NH								
Remote Radio Head Unit (RRH or RRU) (See Appendix A)		Antenna See Appendix B for Energy Patterns						
Model	Frequency(MHz) <sup>†</sup> /Technology	Tx X Output Power (watts) <sup>‡</sup>	Manufacturer/ Model	Gain (dBd)	<b>ERP</b> (watts)**	Centerline Height ('AGL)		
Additional PWS Provider (e.g. AT&T Mobility)								
RRUS-4478	720 / LTE-700	4 X 40	KMW/EPBQ-654L8	15.5	5,677	135.0'		
RRUS-8843	2130 / AWS-2100	4 X 60	KMW/EPBQ-654L8	18.1	15,496	135.0'		
RRUS-4449	2330 / WCS-2300	1 X 60	KMW/EPBQ-654L8	15.5	10,330	135.0'		
RRUS-4449	850 / ERLTE-850	1 X 60	KMW/EPBQ-654L8	16.1	2,444	135.0'		
RRUS-8843	1930 / PCS	4 X 40	KMW/EPBQ-654L8	17.5	8.997	135.0'		
Additional PWS Provider (e.g. T-Mobile)								
RRUS-4478	1945-1950,1965- 1970 MHz / PCS	2 X 40	RFS/APXVAARR24	15.1	2,570	125.0'		
RRUS-8843	2140 / AWS-2100	2 X 40	RFS/APXVAARR24	14.5	2,239	125.0'		
RRUS-4449	720 / LTE-700	2 X 20	RFS/APXVAARR24	15.5	1,413	125.0'		

## **RESULTS**

The results of the theoretical Cumulative Maximum Percent MPE - vs. - Distance calculations are shown in Figure 3 for the *proposed Verizon Wireless* RF transmitters. The values have been plotted against linear distance from the base of the proposed monopole representing the highest possible values in any direction. The values have been calculated for a height of six feet above ground level in accordance with regulatory rationale. Values for 16' AGL have also been calculated to represent values on the top floor of a typical two-story structure. Note that a logarithmic scale was used to plot the calculated values in order to compare with the MPE of 100%, which is so much larger that it would be off the page in a linear plot.







**Figure 4:** Theoretical Cumulative Maximum Percent MPE - vs. – Distance; Highest Values at Ground Level In Any Direction *from Proposed Verizon Wireless PLUS Two Additional PWS Providers* 'RF Emissions.

## CONCLUSION

This report is intended to provide written evidence that RF fields on the ground from the proposed Verizon Wireless PWS facility would comply with the FCC RF exposure guidelines. The resulting data indicate the summation of the proposed Verizon Wireless PWS RF contributions would be within the established RF exposure guidelines in all accessible areas on the ground (see Figure 3). Supplementary calculations for the installation of <u>two (2) additional</u> PWS installations further indicates compliance with the established RF exposure guidelines in all accessible areas on the ground as well (see Figure 4). The results support compliance with the pertinent sections of the FCC's guidelines for RF exposure.

The number and duration of calls passing through PWS facilities cannot be accurately predicted. Thus, to estimate the highest RF fields possible from operation of these installations, the maximal amount of usage was considered. Even in this so-called "worst-case," the resultant increase in RF field levels are far below established levels considered safe.

Based on the results of the theoretical RF fields I have calculated; it is my expert opinion that this facility would comply with all regulatory guidelines for RF exposure with the proposed Verizon Wireless antenna and transmitter installations, and even if the monopole were to be "fully loaded" with two (2) additional PWS providers.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Donald L. Haes, Jr.

Certified Health Physicist

Note: The analyses, conclusions and professional opinions are based upon the precise parameters and conditions of this particular site; VERIZON WIRELESS PWS facility mounted on the proposed monopole at 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, NH. Utilization of these analyses, conclusions and professional opinions for any personal wireless services installation, existing or proposed, other than the aforementioned has not been sanctioned by the author, and therefore should not be accepted as evidence of regulatory compliance.

# DONALD L. HAES, JR., CHP, CLSO

Radiation Safety SpecialistPO Box 198, Hampstead, NH 03841617-680-6262Email: donald\_haes\_chp@comcast.net

## STATEMENT OF CERTIFICATION

- 1. I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief, the statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- 2. The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are personal, unbiased professional analyses, opinions and conclusions.
- 3. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and I have no personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved.
- 4. My compensation is not contingent upon the reporting of a predetermined energy level or direction in energy level that favors the cause of the client, the amount of energy level estimate, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event.
- 5. This assignment was not based on a requested minimum environmental energy level or specific power density.
- 6. My compensation is not contingent on an action or event resulting from the analyses, opinions, or conclusions in, or the use of, this report.
- 7. The consultant has accepted this assessment assignment having the knowledge and experience necessary to complete the assignment competently.
- 8. My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the *American Board of Health Physics* (ABHP) statements of standards of professional responsibility for Certified Health Physicists.

Date: March 10, 2020

Donald L. Haes, Jr. / Certified Health Physicist

# DONALD L. HAES, JR., CHP, CLSO

Radiation Safety SpecialistPO Box 198, Hampstead, NH 03841617-680-6262Email: donald\_haes\_chp@comcast.net

## SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS

#### • Academic Training -

- Graduated from Chelmsford High School, Chelmsford, MA; June 1973.
- Completed Naval Nuclear Naval Nuclear Power School, 6-12/1976.
- Completed Naval Nuclear Reactor Plant Mechanical Operator and Engineering Laboratory Technician (ELT) schools and qualifications, Prototype Training Unit, Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, Windsor, Connecticut, 1-9/1977.
- Graduated Magna Cum Laude from University of Lowell with a Bachelor of Science Degree in *Radiological Health Physics*; 5/1987.
- Graduated from University of Lowell with a Master of Science Degree in *Radiological Sciences and Protection*; 5/1988.

#### • Certification -

- Board Certified by the American Board of Health Physics 1994; renewed 1998, 2002, 2006, 2010, 2014, and 2018. Expiration 12/31/2022.
- Board Certified by the Board of Laser Safety 2008; renewed 2011, 2014, 2017. Expiration 12/31/2020.

#### • Employment History -

- o Consulting Health Physicist; Ionizing/Nonionizing Radiation, 1988 present.
- Radiation, RF and Laser Safety Officer; BAE Systems, 2005–2018 (retired).
- Assistant Radiation Safety Officer; MIT, 1988 2005 (retired).
- Radiopharmaceutical Production Supervisor DuPont/NEN, 1981 1988 (retired).
- United States Navy; Nuclear Power Qualifications, 1975 1981 (Honorably Discharged).

#### • Professional Societies -

- Health Physics Society [HPS].
- American Academy of Health Physics [AAHP]
- Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers [IEEE];
- o International Committee on Electromagnetic Safety [ICES] (ANSI C95 series).
- Laser Institute of America [LIA].
- Board of Laser Safety [BLS].
- o American National Standards Institute Accredited Standards Committee [ASC Z136].
- Committee on Man and Radiation [COMAR].
### APPENDIX A REMOTE RADIO HEAD UNITS VERIZON WIRELESS RFV01U-D1A RRU & RFV01U-D2A RRU

CTK Co., Ltd. CTK Co., Ltd. CTK Co., Ltd. CTK Co., Ltd. CTK Co., Ltd. CTK Co., Ltd. CTK Co., Ltd.	o., Ltd. 113, Yejik-ro, Cheoin-gu, iyeonggi-do, Korea -339-9970 1-624-9501	Report No.: CTK-2017-01618 Page (4) / (608) Pages	HCT CO.,LTD. 74, Seoicheon-ro 578beon-gil, Majang-myeon, Icheon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 17383, Rep. of KOREA TEC: +82-31-645-6300 FAX: +82-31-645-6401 FCC REPORT FCC Certification
1. General Inform 1.1 Client Informatio	ation n		Applicant Name: Date of Issue: SAMSUNG Electronics Co.,Ltd. August 7, 2017 Test Site/Location: HCT CO. LTD.
6	Provide Provide State		Aduless. Holocatana du Suyan si 74 Saciadan ya 570ban di Majaga
Company	329. Samsung Electronic	eonatona-au, Suwon-si,	129, Sanisung-to, Teorigiong-gu, Suwoin-si, 74, Seotcheon-to Stabeon-gu, Majang-
Contact Person	Gyeonggi-do, 1667 Name : Kim, Jong-i E-mail : jered.kimi Tel : +82-31-279-3	r, Rep. of Korea Samsung.com 196	Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Rep. of Korea Icheon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 17383, Rep. of KOREA
1.2 Product Informat	ion		Report No.: HCT-R-1707-F009-2 HCT FRN: 0005866421
800.10	ATCRESIONLEDTA		FCC ID: A3LRFV01 U-D2A
Product Description	REU (REVOLU-DIA		APPLICANT: SAMSUNG Electronics Co.,Ltd.
Model name	EFV01U-D1A		FCC Model: RFV01U-D2A
Power Supply	-48 Vdc		EUT Type: RRU(RFVOI U)
Operating Frequency	- Band 66 DL : 2110 MHz- 2180 M - Band 2 DL : 1970 MHz- 1980 M	Hz, UL 1710 MHz - 1780 MHz	Frequency Range: TX : 746 756 MHz (Band 13) / 369 - 894 MHz (Band 5) RX : 777 787 MHz (Band 13) / 824 - 849 MHz (Band 5)
RF Output Power	<ul> <li>Bord 66</li> <li>2T(Single)_BW S MHz : 4</li> <li>2T(Single)_BW S MHz : 5</li> <li>4T(Single)_BW S MHz : 6</li> <li>2T(Mult, Contiguous, B</li> <li>4T(Mult, Non-Contiguous, B</li> <li>4T(Single)_BW S MHz : 4</li> <li>2T(Single)_BW S MHz : 4</li> <li>4T(Single)_BW S MHz : 6</li> <li>4T(Single)_BW S MHz : 6</li> <li>4T(Mult, Non-Contiguous, B</li> <li>4T(Mult, Contiguous)_B</li> <li>4T(Mult, Non-Contiguous)_B</li> </ul>	0 W / path(Tittel 80 W) 08 W / path(Tittel 80 W) 08 W / path(Tittel 180 W) 06 W / path(Tittel 180 W) 66 W / path(Tittel 180 W) 66 W / path(Tittel 180 W) 56 Mitz - 51 Mitz : 60 W / path(Tittel 120 W) 57 Mitz - 51 Mitz : 60 W / path(Tittel 176 W) 58 Mitz - 51 Mitz : 60 W / path(Tittel 120 W) 50 Mitz - 51 Mitz : 60 W / path(Tittel 120 W) 50 Mitz - 51 Mitz : 60 W / path(Tittel 120 W) 50 W / path(Tittel 80 W) 50 W / path(Tittel 120 W) 50 Mitz - 51 Mitz : 60 W / path(Tittel 120 W) 50	ower:       :160W)         FCC Rule Part(s):       160W)         Data of Test:       :160W)         Data of Test:       :160W)         Data of Test:       :160W)         Engineering Statement;       :160W         The measurements shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures indicated, and the emissions from this equipment were found to be within the limits applicable. I assume full responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of these measurements, and for the qualifications of all persons taking them. It is further stated that upon the basis of the measurements made, the equipment use and maintenance.         Approved by: Jong Seok Lee
Channel Bandwidth	5 MHz, 10 MHz, 15 MHz,	20 MHz	Engineer of Telecommunication testing center Manager of Telecommunication testing center
Modulation Type	QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM, 2	SEQAM	This report only responds to the tested sample and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of
Antenna Specification	Maximum Peak Gain   be	nw 19.7 dB	this report only responds to the tested sample and may not be reproduced, except in run, without written approval of the HCT Co. 1 id
FCC Rule	Pert 2 : PREQUENCY ALL GENERAL RULES AND RE Pert 24 : PERSONAL COP Part 27 : MISCELLANEOU KDB Publication 662911	ICATIONS AND RADIO TREATY MATTERS; GULATIONS MUNICATIONS SERVICES S WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES 301 Multiple Transmitter Output y02/01	
CTK-D151-06		R104 Rev.	0

### REMOTE RADIO HEAD UNITS ADDITIONAL PWS

## **R**EMOTE RADIO HEAD UNIT: RADIO 4478

RI. Se	REPORT	Dom Reference Page 2017-06-29 7P04388-P90 5(72)
	Description of the	test object
	Equipment:	Radio equipment Radio 4478 B14 Product number KRC 161 669/3 FCC ID: TA8AKRC161669-3
	Hardware revision state:	RIB
	Tested configuration:	Single RAT LTE
	Frequency bands: 3GPP B7:	TX: 758 – 768 MHz RX: 788 – 798 MHz
	IBW:	10 MHz
	Output power:	Max 40 W/ antenna port
	Antenna ports:	4 TX / 4 RX ports
	Antenna:	No dedicated antenna, handled during licensing
	RF configurations:	Single and multi-carrier, 1-2 carriers/ port TX Diversity, 2x2 MIMO, 4x4 MIMO, Contiguous Spectrum (CS), Carrier Aggregation (CA)
	Channel bandwidths:	5 MHz and 10 MHz
	Modulations:	QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM and 256QAM
	RF power Tolerance:	+0.6/ -2.0 dB
	CPRI Speed	Up to 10.1 Gbit/s
	The information above is	supplied by the manufacturer.

## **REMOTE RADIO HEAD UNIT: RADIO 4449**

Receipt date	November 15, 2017
Nemko sample ID number	None
1.2 EUT information	
Product name	Radio 4440
Model	Radio 4449
Part number	KPC 161 749/1
Revision	P1A
Sarial number	B1A B440501479
Astessa soft	6 TV/DV Deste
	4 TAYNA POILS
RF BW/ IBW	85: 25 MHz 813: 10 MHz
100	B13: 10 WHZ
100	D13: 21 Mile
BS Erequency range	TY (D) - 860-804 Mile
oo rrequency range	RY (11): 824-849 MHz
P12 Freewoord store	TV (DL): 746-766 MHz
bro Frequency range	PY (11) - 777_787 MHz
Nominal O/P per antenna port	Config 1: BE: Single Carrier Batte & through D: 1 x 40 W (46 dBm)
Nominal O/P per antenna port	Config 1: B13: Single Carrier, Ports & through D: 1 x 40 W (46 dBm)
	Config 2: PE: Single Carrier, Ports A and D: 1 × 6014 (47 78 dBm)
	Config 2: B13: Single Carrier, Ports & and D: 1 x 60W (47.78 dBm)
Accuracy (nominal)	+01 ppm
Nominal voltage	2 x -48 V~ @ 20 A
PAT	
Modulation	ITE OPSK 16 DAM 64 DAM 256DAM
Channel handwidth	TE: 5 MHz (PS) 10 MHz (P13)
Maximum combined OBW ner nort	15 MHz
CDBI	10 Ghns
Channel raster	10 dbps
Regulatory requirements	Padiat ECC Part 2, 22, 27
Regulatory requirements	Fault, FCC Part 15, 22, 27
	EMC: FCC Part 15, ICES-003
	Safety: IEC/EN 62368-1, UL/CSA 62368-1
Ended an Annual and	1EC/EN 60950-22, IEC/EN 60529, UL 50E
Emission Designator:	SMOUW/D (BS), 10MOW/D (B13)
supported configuration	SC, MC, Single Antenna, IX Diversity, MIMU, Carrier Aggrégation
Operating temperature	-40 °C to +55 °C
Total Power based on IBW	Config 1: 4 × 40 W (B5) + 4 × 40 W (B13)
Constant on the Local	Connig 2: 2 × 60 W (B3) + 2 × 60 W (B13)
Supported carrier / port	LTE BW, B5: 5 (1-3), 10 (1-2); LTE BW, B13: 5 (2), 10 (1)
Optional Fan Tray	N/A

# **REMOTE RADIO HEAD UNIT: RADIO 8843**

RI.		2018-04-23 8P02716-L 5 (199)
SE	Description of the t	test object
	Description of the	
	Equipment:	Radio equipment Radio 8843 B2 B66A Product number KRC 161 707/2 and KRC 161 707/1 FCC ID: TA8AKRC161707-2
	Hardware revision state:	R1B (KRC 161 707/2) R2A (KRC 161 707/1)
	Tested configuration:	Single RAT LTE
	Frequency bands: 3GPP	B2: TX: 1930 – 1990 MHz RX: 1850 – 1910 MHz
		B66: TX: 2110 – 2180 MHz RX: 1710 – 1780 MHz
	IBW:	B2: 60 MHz B66A: 70 MHz
	Output power:	Maximum output power: B2: 40 W/ port (port A,B,C,D) 60 W/ port (port A,D) port B and C not used in this configuration
		B66A: 60 W/ port (port E,F,G,H) 80 W/ port (port E,H) port F and G not used in this configuration.
	Antenna ports B2:	A-D: 4 TX / 4 RX ports
	Antenna ports B66A:	E-H: 4 TX / 4 RX ports
	Antenna:	No dedicated antenna, handled during licensing
	RF configurations:	Single and multi-carrier, 1-3 carriers/ port TX Diversity, 2x2 MIMO, 4x4 MIMO, Non-Contiguous Spectrum (NCS), Contiguous Spectrum (CS), Carrier Aggregation (CA) intra- band and inter-band supported

## **APPENDIX B**

## ANTENNA ENERGY PATTERNS VERIZON WIRELESS CommScope / NHH-45A-R2B



# ANTENNA ENERGY PATTERNS

### ADDITIONAL PWS











### **ENDNOTES**

<sup>i</sup>. Federal Register, Federal Communications Commission Rules; *Radiofrequency radiation; environmental effects evaluation guidelines* Volume 1, No. 153, 41006-41199, August 7, 1996. (47 CFR Part 1; Federal Communications Commission).

<sup>ii</sup>. Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC; Second Session of the 104<sup>th</sup> Congress of the United States of America, January 3, 1996.

<sup>iii</sup>. ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999: American National Standard, *Safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, from 3 kHz to 300 GHz* (**Updated in 2020**).

<sup>iv</sup>. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP); *Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, NCRP Report 86, 1986.

<sup>v</sup>. OET Bulletin 65: Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering and Technology, *Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*; Edition 97-01, August 1999.



21 B Street Burlington, MA 01803 Tel: (781) 273-2500 Fax: (781) 273-3311 www.ebiconsulting.com

January 22, 2020

Ms. Michael Ashley Culbert EIP Communications I, LLC 1435 Bedford Avenue, Suite 108 Pittsburgh, PA 15219

#### Subject: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Compliance Results Proposed EIP Communications I, LLC Project Site No. 701651/VW2 NH 0043A / Rochester 3 133 Blackwater Road Rochester, NH 03867 EBI Project No. 6120000036

Dear Ms. Culbert:

Enclosed please find the results of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Compliance studies for the abovereferenced site. The studies were completed based on information provided by EIP Communications I, LLC and gathered from the Site Plans, attached as Appendix A.

EBI utilized both commercially available and publicly accessible software that runs algorithms in accordance with FAA glide-slope calculations, referenced in Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 77 and FCC Rulepart 47 CFR 17, to determine the need for filing with the FAA and if registration with the FCC are required. For this site, our analysis demonstrated that notice to the FAA and an Antenna Structure Registration with the FCC are not required. Thus, the site may proceed from an FAA Compliance perspective.

If the proposed project location or proposed height of the structure changes, please notify EBI Consulting, and we will be happy to conduct FAA Compliance studies based on the changes.

#### Land Survey and Site Plans – Appendix A

Latitude (NAD 83): 43° 15' 36.28" N Longitude (NAD 83): 70° 57' 5.35" W Site Ground Elevation (AMSL): 204.5 feet Proposed Structure Height (AGL): 160 feet

#### <u>Airspace© Studies – Appendix B</u>

**PASS.** This site passed all Airspace studies, and notice to the FAA is not required.

#### FCC TowAir – Appendix C

**PASS.** This site passed all FCC slope guidelines. It does not need to be registered with the FCC, and it does not require notice to the FAA. This determination expires on July 22, 2021.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to EIP Communications I, LLC, and please don't hesitate to contact us with any questions or if we can assist with any of your future regulatory needs.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mr. Chris Adams Author/FAA Specialist TEL: 603-845-6596

Drago & Rolla

Mr. Gregory J. Ritter EIP Communications I, LLC Program Manager TEL: 717-756-8647

Appendix A: Site Plans Appendix B: Airspace© Studies Appendix C: FCC TowAir Results APPENDIX A: Site Plans



CA-1 TO CA-2 5 EC-1

DETAILS

A-2 A-3

SHEET

C-2

 $^{\rm A-1}$ 

11



















## APPENDIX B: Airspace© Studies

### Study: VW2 NH 0043A

Rochester, NH

### **Site Information**

Ground Elevation:..... 204.5

Structure Height:..... 160 feet AGL

Overall Height:..... 364.5

Structure Type:..... Antenna Structure (New Construction)

### **City Information**

Nearest City:..... Rochester, NH

Distance:..... 3.1 Statute Miles

Direction:...... 333 Degrees (true bearing)

### **Nearest Landing Facility Information**

Analyzed by Airspace® on: 01-22-2020. Using Airspace® Version 20.1.546

Nearest Public Use landing facility is: DAW: SKYHAVEN

Distance to ARP is: 10560 ft. or 1.7379 nm.

Direction to ARP is: 33.76 degrees (true bearing)

Distance to the nearest runway is: 10504 ft. or 1.7287 nm.

DNE FAR 77.9(a). DNE FAR 77.9(b). Within IFR Offset IAP Notice Area. NTE 364' AMSL.

DNE FAR 77.9 (EMI Navaid Notification).

## LOWEST MOCA FOUND: 2200 AMSL ON AIRWAY V106 INFORMATION ONLY Private use landing facilities are not studied under FAR Part 77. Nearest Private Use landing facility is: ME61: SALMON FALLS

Distance to this facility is: **3.07 NM** Direction to this facility is: **47.88 degrees True.** 

Date Printed: 01-22-2020

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020 Federal Airways & Airspace®

Federal Airways & Airspace \* Summary Report: New Construction \* \* Antenna Structure \* Airspace User: Not Identified File: VW2 NH 0043A Location: Rochester, NH Latitude: 43°-15'-36.28" Longitude: 70°-57'-5.35" SITE ELEVATION AMSL.....204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. NOTICE CRITERIA FAR 77.9(a): NNR (DNE 200 ft AGL) FAR 77.9(b): NNR (DNE Notice Slope) FAR 77.9(c): NNR (Not a Traverse Way) NNR FAR 77.9 IFR Straight-In Notice Criteria for DAW FAR 77.9: FAR 77.9: NNR FAR 77.9 IFR Straight-In Notice Criteria for 3B4 FAR 77.9(d): NNR (Off Airport Construction) NR = Notice Required NNR = Notice Not Required PNR = Possible Notice Required (depends upon actual IFR procedure) For new construction review Air Navigation Facilities at bottom of this report. Notice to the FAA is not required at the analyzed location and height for slope, height or Straight-In procedures. Please review the 'Air Navigation' section for notice requirements for offset IFR procedures and EMI. OBSTRUCTION STANDARDS FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE 499 ft AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Airport Surface FAR 77.19(a): DNE - Horizontal Surface FAR 77.19(b): DNE - Conical Surface FAR77.19(b):DNE - Conical SurfaceFAR77.19(c):DNE - Primary SurfaceFAR77.19(d):DNE - Approach SurfaceFAR77.19(e):DNE - Approach Transitional SurfaceFAR77.19(e):DNE - Abeam Transitional Surface VFR TRAFFIC PATTERN AIRSPACE FOR: DAW: SKYHAVEN Type: A RD: 10504.21 RE: 321.5 

 FAR 77.17(a)(1):
 DNE

 FAR 77.17(a)(2):
 DNE - Height No Greater Than 200 feet AGL.

 VFR Horizontal Surface: DNE

VFR Conical Surface: DNE VFR Primary Surface: DNE VFR Approach Surface: DNE VFR Primary Surface: VFR Transitional Surface: DNE The structure is within VFR - Traffic Pattern Airspace Runway Side Area. Structures that exceed horizontal, conical, and/or 500' AGL will receive a hazard determination from the FAA. VFR TRAFFIC PATTERN AIRSPACE FOR: 3B4: LITTLEBROOK AIR PARK Type: A RD: 62545.49 RE: 123.6 FAR 77.17(a)(1):DNEFAR 77.17(a)(2):Does Not Apply. VFR Horizontal Surface: DNE VFR Conical Surface: DNE VFR Primary Surface: DNE VFR Approach Surface: DNE VFR Transitional Surface: DNE TERPS DEPARTURE PROCEDURE (FAA Order 8260.3, Volume 4) FAR 77.17(a)(3) Departure Surface Criteria (40:1) DNE Departure Surface MINIMUM OBSTACLE CLEARANCE ALTITUDE (MOCA) FAR 77.17(a)(4) MOCA Altitude Enroute Criteria The Maximum Height Permitted is 1200 ft AMSL PRIVATE LANDING FACILITIES FACILBEARINGRANGEDELTAARPFAAIDENT TYP NAMETo FACILIN NMELEVATIONIFR-------------------------47.88 3.07 +174 ME61 AIR SALMON FALLS No Impact to VFR Transitional Surface. Below surface height of 207 ft above ARP. NH56 HEL WENTWORTH-DOUGLASS HOSPITAL 128.94 4.36 +217 IFR No Impact to Private Landing Facility Structure is beyond notice limit by 21492 feet. 43NH HEL TRAYPORT 112.95 5.7 +256 No Impact to Private Landing Facility Structure is beyond notice limit by 29634 feet. AIR NAVIGATION ELECTRONIC FACILITIES FAC ST DIST DELTA GRND APCH IDNT TYPE AT FREQ VECTOR (ft) ELEVA ST LOCATION ANGLE BEAR \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ DAW CO Y 122,7 39.23 9937 +2 NH SKYHAVEN UNICOM .01 Notice Not Required for Stations operating with an ERP no greater than 3500 watts and frequencies are within the FAA/FCC co-location policy frequency

bands. If ERP of 3500 watts is exceeded notice to the FAA is required.

DAW CO Y 135.2 45.83 10102 +6 NH SKYHAVEN ASOS .03 Notice Not Required for Stations operating with an ERP no greater than 3500 watts and frequencies are within the FAA/FCC co-location policy frequency bands. If ERP of 3500 watts is exceeded notice to the FAA is required.

ESG NDB R 26 113.93 35893 +292 NH ROLLINS .47 Alert! IFR Notice is not required for this structure. Predict within Final Segment of Approach plus Fix Error Area. Within FAR 77.9 IFR Notice Requirement Area for DAW: NDB RWY 33 The maximum IFR No Notice Height for new construction is: 510' AMSL.

PSM VOR/DME R 116.5 153.56 71516 +256 NH PEASE .21 Alert! IFR Notice is not required for this structure. Predict within Final Segment of Approach plus Fix Error Area. Within FAR 77.9 IFR Notice Requirement Area for DAW: VOR/DME-A The maximum IFR No Notice Height for new construction is: 720' AMSL.

ENE	VOR/DME	I	117.1	56.01	108295	+172	ΜE	KENNEBUNK	.09
MHT	RADAR	ON	2805.	219.29	146275	-352	NH	MANCHESTER	14
CON	VOR/DME	R	112.9	264.74	166923	-350	NH	CONCORD	12
MHT	VOR/DME	R	114.4	217.91	181239	-106	NH	MANCHESTER	03
LWM	VOR/DME	I	112.5	191.4	193245	+58	MA	LAWRENCE	.02

#### CFR Title 47, \$1.30000-\$1.30004

AM STUDY REQUIRED: Structure is within specified wavelength(s) of a FCC licensed AM radio station. AM Study is required for new construction or significantly modify an existing tower within the FCC mandated critical distance. Notice to the AM station is required at least 30 days prior to the start of construction. Movement Method Proof specified in §73.151(c) is required to determine if the proposed construction would have a negative impact to the AM station. Please review AM Station Report for details.

Nearest AM Station: WPKX @ 2998 meters.

Airspace<sup>®</sup> Summary Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:45

IDENT TYP NAME TO FACIL IN N.M. ELEVATION P77 DAW AIR SKYHAVEN 33.76 1.738 +41.9 YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

Below FAR 77.9(b)(1) Notice Criteria by: 63 feet.

You are 10504 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 322 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has both Circling and Straight-In Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility.

DNE FAR 77.9 IFR Straight-In Notice Criteria for DAW

Category 'C' Circling Approach Area extends 2.89 NM from each runway.

FACIL IDENT	TYP	NAME	BEARING To FACIL	DISTANCE IN N.M.	DELTA ARP ELEVATION	FAR P77
NH56	HEL	WENTWORTH-DOUGLASS HOSPITAL	128.94	4.358	+217	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 21479.64 feet.

FACIL

FACIL

BEARING DISTANCE DELTA ARP FAR

1

IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
3В4	AIR	LITTLEBROOK AIR PARK	131.98	10.507	+239.2	YES

This facility does not have a runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 62545 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 124 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has both Circling and Straight-In Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility.

DNE 77.9 IFR Straight-In Notice Criteria 3B4

Category 'B' Circling Approach Area extends 1.84 NM from each runway.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
PSM	AIR	PORTSMOUTH INTL AT PEASE	152.78	12.283	+263.6	YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 69027 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 80 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has both Circling and Straight-In Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility.

DNE 77.9 IFR Straight-In Notice Criteria PSM

Category 'E' Circling Approach Area extends 4.73 NM from each runway.

IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR

SFM AIR SANFORD SEACOAST RGNL 52.94 13.345 +119.9 YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 77022 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 241 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility to determine impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
3NH4	HEL	PORTSMOUTH RGNL HOSPITAL	149.09	13.666	+302	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 78035.98 feet.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
NH57	HEL	EXETER HOSPITAL	177.69	16.698	+286	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 96458.72 feet.

FACIL IDENT	TYP	NAME	BEARING To FACIL	DISTANCE IN N.M.	DELTA ARP ELEVATION	FAR P77
B18	SEA	ALTON BAY	316.33	18.061	-140	YES
FACIL IDENT	TYP	NAME	BEARING To FACIL	DISTANCE IN N.M.	DELTA ARP ELEVATION	FAR P77
7ВЗ	AIR	HAMPTON AIRFIELD	163.48	18.692	+271	YES

This facility does not have a runway over 3,200 feet in length. Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this

3

airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 112615 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 92 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

No Circling or Straight-In Instrument Approach Procedures were found for this landing facility or your proposed location is greater than 10 nautical miles from the airport. No Expected TERPS® impact.

FACIL IDENT	ТҮР	NAME	BEARING To FACIL	DISTANCE IN N.M.	DELTA ARP ELEVATION	FAR P77
1031	HEL	PROPOSED 1031	40.19	20.488	+46	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 119487.1 feet.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
NH27	HEL	HUGGINS HOSPITAL	330.57	22.207	-206	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 129932 feet.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ТҮР	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
B19	AIR	BIDDEFORD MUNI	59.55	24.278	+207	YES

This facility does not have a runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 146115 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 147 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility to determine impact.

FACIL		BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR	
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
CON	AIR	CONCORD MUNI	261.71	24.401	+22.3	YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 146305 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 342 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility to determine impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
98M	AIR	GREATON AIRFIELD	44.81	26.77	+194	YES

This facility does not have a runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 159383 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 158 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

No Circling or Straight-In Instrument Approach Procedures were found for this landing facility or your proposed location is greater than 10 nautical miles from the airport. No Expected TERPS® impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
NH13	HEL	CONCORD HOSPITAL	261.79	26.98	-47	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 158933.2 feet.

FACIL IDENT TYP NAME		BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR D77	
			TO FACIE			
NH48	HEL	ELLIOT HOSPITAL	232.16	26.998	+35	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 159042.5 feet.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
44NH	HEL	LAKES REGION GENERAL HOSPITA	305.81	27.595	-233	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 162670 feet.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
LCI	AIR	LACONIA MUNI	312.8	27.718	-181.4	YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 167203 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 516 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility to determine impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
NH54	HEL	PARKLAND MEDICAL CENTER	214.74	27.989	+90	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 165064 feet.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
18NH	HEL	CATHOLIC MEDICAL CENTER	234.79	28.048	+112	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 165422.5 feet.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
2B2	AIR	PLUM ISLAND	170.01	28.314	+353	YES

This facility does not have a runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 170612 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 8 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

No Circling or Straight-In Instrument Approach Procedures were found for this landing facility or your proposed location is greater than 10 nautical miles from the airport. No Expected TERPS® impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
MHT	AIR	MANCHESTER	227.16	28.962	+97.6	YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 172073 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 242 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility to determine impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
63B	AIR	LIMINGTON-HARMON	21.89	32.54	+71.7	YES

This facility does not have a runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 197226 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 292 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

No Circling or Straight-In Instrument Approach Procedures were found for this landing facility or your proposed location is greater than 10 nautical miles from the airport. No Expected TERPS® impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
LWM	AIR	LAWRENCE MUNI	193.04	33.45	+216.4	YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 201181 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 134 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility to determine impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
PWM	AIR	PORTLAND INTL JETPORT	50.32	36.389	+288.3	YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum,

please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 217615 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 76 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility to determine impact.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	TYP	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
NH37	HEL	SOUTHERN NH MEDICAL CENTER	216.41	37.687	+172	YES

Your structure DOES NOT EXCEED FAR 77.9(b)(3) Notice Criteria for this heliport. You are not locating within 5,000 feet of facility. You are beyond limit by: 223990 feet.

FACIL			BEARING	DISTANCE	DELTA ARP	FAR
IDENT	ΤΥΡ	NAME	To FACIL	IN N.M.	ELEVATION	P77
ASH	AIR	BOIRE FIELD	220.65	37.868	+163.6	YES

This facility has at least one runway over 3,200 feet in length.

Your structure DNE FAR 77.9(a) or 77.9(b) Notice Criteria for this airport. However, you may EXCEED other Notice Standards. As a minimum, please review reports for FAR Part 77 Obstruction Surfaces, Air Navigation and Communication facilities.

You are 229708 feet from the nearest runway threshold and the threshold elevation is 192 feet. Please review runway analysis for remaining airport surfaces.

This airport has Instrument Procedures. Please review published US Terminal (TERPS®) Approach Procedures for this landing facility to determine impact.

THE NEAREST AIRPORT TO CASE COORDINATES IS: DAW

SKYHAVEN is an Airport type landing facility and is associated with the city of ROCHESTER, NH. The facility is eligible for Study under FAR Part 77 sub-Part C.

Its Reference Point (ARP) elevation is: 322.1 feet AMSL and you are locating 10562 feet from this landing facility.

Airspace® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered  $\circledast$  trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright  $\circledcirc$  1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:24

The mathematical algorithms used by this program are derived directly from Federal Aviation Regulations Part 77, sub-part C.

* * * * * * * * * * * * *	**************************************			
IDENT	TYPE	DESCRIPTION		
DAW	IAP	VOR/DME-A		
DAW	IAP	NDB RWY 33		
DAW	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 33		
DAW	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
DAW	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS		
3B4	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 30		
3B4	IAP	VOR-A		
3B4	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
PSM	APD	AIRPORT DIAGRAM		
PSM	DP	PEASE THREE		
PSM	DP	TANKER TWO		
PSM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 34		
PSM	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 34		
PSM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 16		
PSM	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 16		
PSM	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
PSM	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS		
PSM	MIN	RADAR MINIMUMS		
SFM	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 07		
SFM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 07		
SFM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 25		
SFM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 32		
SFM	IAP	VOR RWY 25		
SFM	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS		
SFM	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
B19	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 06		
B19	IAP	VOR RWY 06		
B19	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
CON	IAP	VOR-A		
-----	------	---------------------------		
CON	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 35		
CON	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 17		
CON	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 12		
CON	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 35		
CON	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS		
CON	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
LCI	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 08		
LCI	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 08		
LCI	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 26		
LCI	IAP	NDB RWY 08		
LCI	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS		
LCI	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
MHT	APD	AIRPORT DIAGRAM		
MHT	DP	MANCHESTER NINE		
MHT	DP	PPORT THREE (RNAV)		
MHT	HOT	HOT SPOT		
MHT	IAP	RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 35		
MHT	IAP	RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 17		
MHT	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 24		
MHT	IAP	RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 35		
MHT	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 06		
MHT	IAP	RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 17		
MHT	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 06		
MHT	IAP	ILS RWY 35 (SA CAT I)		
MHT	IAP	ILS RWY 35 (CAT II - III)		
MHT	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 17		
MHT	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 35		
MHT	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS		
MHT	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
MHT	STAR	ROZZE TWO (RNAV) CONT.1		
MHT	STAR	ROZZE TWO (RNAV)		
MHT	STAR	POPPP ONE		
LWM	APD	AIRPORT DIAGRAM		
LWM	DP	LAWRENCE NINE		
LWM	DP	LAWRENCE NINE CONT.1		
LWM	HOT	HOT SPOT		
LWM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 23		
LWM	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 05		
LWM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 05		
LWM	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS		
LWM	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS		
LWM	STAR	DREEM TWO (RNAV)		
LWM	STAR	GRAYM SIX		
LWM	STAR	ZELKA TWO (RNAV)		
PWM	APD	AIRPORT DIAGRAM		
PWM	DP	PORTLAND FIVE		

PWM	DP	HSKEL THREE (RNAV)
PWM	DP	NUBLE FOUR (RNAV)
PWM	HOT	HOT SPOT
PWM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 36
PWM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 18
PWM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 29
PWM	IAP	ILS RWY 11 (CAT II - III)
PWM	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 11
PWM	IAP	ILS RWY 29 (SA CAT I - II)
PWM	IAP	HARBOR VISUAL RWY 29
PWM	IAP	ILS RWY 11 (SA CAT I)
PWM	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 29
PWM	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 11
PWM	LAH	LAHSO
PWM	MIN	TAKEOFF MINIMUMS
PWM	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS
PWM	STAR	CDOGG THREE (RNAV)
PWM	STAR	SCOGS THREE (RNAV)
ASH	APD	AIRPORT DIAGRAM
ASH	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 14
ASH	IAP	VOR-A
ASH	IAP	VOR RWY 32
ASH	IAP	RNAV (GPS) RWY 32
ASH	IAP	ILS OR LOC RWY 14
ASH	MIN	ALTERNATE MINIMUMS

Traffic Pattern Airspace, a structure that exceed any of the following maximum allowable heights is considered to constitute a hazard to air navigation:

- The height of the transition surface (other than abeam the runway), the approach slope, the horizontal surface, and the conical surface (as applied to visual approach runways).
- (2) Beyond the lateral limits of the conical surface and in the climb/descent area - 350' above airport elevation or the height of part 77.17(a)(2), whichever is greater not to exceed 500' above ground level (AGL). The climb/descent area begins abeam the runway threshold being used and is the area where the pilot is either descending to land on the runway or climbing to pattern altitude after departure.
- (3) Beyond the lateral limits of the conical surface and NOT in the climb/descent area of any runway 500' above Airport Elevation not to exceed 500' AGL.

FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Height Not Greater Than 200 feet AGL. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface.

The structure is within VFR - Traffic Pattern Airspace Runway Side Area. Structures that exceed horizontal, conical, and/or 500' AGL will receive a hazard determination from the FAA.

FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): Does Not Apply. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 12/30 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. PSM FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 16/34 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. SFM FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Runway 07/25 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. Existing Runway 14/32 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. B18 FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): Does Not Apply. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 01/19 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface.

Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. 7B3 FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): Does Not Apply. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 02/20Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. Proposed Runway 02/20 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. B19 FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): Does Not Apply. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 06/24Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. CON FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 12/30 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. Existing Runway 17/35 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. 

FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): Does Not Apply. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 06/24 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. LCI FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 08/26 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. 2B2 FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): Does Not Apply. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 10/28 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. Existing Runway 14/32Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. MHT FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface.

98M

Existing

Runway 06/24 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. Existing Runway 17/35 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. 63B FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): Does Not Apply. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 11/29 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. LWM FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 05/23 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. Existing Runway 14/32 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. PWM FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 11/29 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface.

Existing Runway 18/36 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. ASH FAR 77.17(a)(1): DNE - Maximum Height Less Than 499 feet AGL FAR 77.17(a)(2): DNE - Greater Than 5.99 NM. Does Not Exceed VFR Horizontal Surface. Does Not Exceed VFR Conical Surface. Existing Runway 14/32 Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. Proposed Runway 14/32Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Approach Runway Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Transitional Surface. Does Not Exceed Runway VFR Primary Surface. \* The above analysis was conducted using default parameters - Category C \* \* aircraft and a maximum of 4 like category aircraft in the VFR -Traffic \* \* Pattern at one time. \* \* \* \* To view a graphical image of VFR - Traffic Pattern Airspace for these \* \* airports use Terps® Professional Software. Open the airport and Aispace® \* \* study. From the Map Menu select 'VFR - Traffic Pattern Airspace'. The \* proposed structure, airport, and the traffic pattern will now be shown \* \* together. Use this information to locate an alternate site if necessary. \* Airspace® Version 20.1.546 Copyright © 1989 - 2020 Federal Airways & Airspace® all rights reserved Date: 01-22-2020 Time: 18:40:24

\* PRIVATE LANDING FACILITIES IN PROXIMITY OF CASE \* 

Airspace User: Not Identified

FILE: VW2 NH 0043A

LATITUDE: 43°-15'-36.28" LONGITUDE: 70°-57'-5.35"

SITE ELEVATION AMSL..... 204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. 

FACIL IDENT	TYP	NAME	BEARING To FACIL	RANGE IN NM	DELTA ARP ELEVATION	FAR P77	FAA IFR	PROTECTED PROCEDURE
ME61	AIR	SALMON FALLS	47.88	3.07	+174	NO		
NH56	HEL	WENTWORTH-DOUGLASS HOSPITAL	128.94	4.36	+217	YES	IFR	Procedure
43NH	HEL	TRAYPORT	112.95	5.7	+256	NO		
09ME	AIR	PERROTTI SKYRANCH AIRFIELD	41.16	6.44	+104	NO		
ME 6 4	AIR	SKYDIVE LEBANON	8.06	6.96	-1	NO		
ONH7	HEL	LEINSING	144.77	7.94	+354	NO		
NH01	SEA	BELLAMY RIVER SEAPLANE BASE	146.97	8.48	+364	NO		
NH43	AIR	MURPHY-SHERWOOD PARK	239.21	9.47	+164	NO		
ME57	SEA	BAUNEG BEG	57.89	11.17	+59	NO		
NH84	AIR	NORTHWOOD	254.98	12.24	-276	NO		
98NH	HEL	SKYBAST	256.83	12.99	-201	NO		
31NH	HEL	JOHNSON'S	260.31	13.23	-211	NO		
ME 8 7	HEL	SOUTHERN MAINE HEALTH CARE/S	35.23	13.86	-11	NO		
56NH	AIR	THERRIAULTS LANDING	340.84	14.04	-311	NO		
NH15	AIR	LOCKE LAKE	301.69	14.49	-338	NO		
ME 94	HEL	YORK HOSPITAL	118.38	15.00	+292	NO		
NH79	HEL	FALCON STATION	210.08	15.23	+204	NO		
51NH	HEL	BLUE PUFFIN FARM	250.5	15.42	-499	NO		
13NH	HEL	COFFIN BROOK LANDING	307.17	16.3	-341	NO		
NH68	SEA	MERRYMEETING LAKE	324.1	16.3	-283	NO		
02ME	AIR	NADEAU'S AIRFIELD	3.31	16.65	-336	NO		
NH57	HEL	EXETER HOSPITAL	177.69	16.7	+286	YES	IFR	Procedure
NH32	HEL	BENTLEY	175.07	16.78	+298	NO		
NH67	AIR	WINTERWOOD	188.55	17.09	+234	NO		
NH02	HEL	PUZZO-LAKEWOOD	316.16	18.53	-336	NO		
NH35	HEL	LIBERTY LANE	167.39	19.05	+304	NO		
45NH	HEL	HAYES	211.08	19.26	+212	NO		
58NH	HEL	WALLACE	198.78	19.32	+229	NO		
06NH	AIR	COLE FARM	182.72	19.92	+204	NO		
NH45	HEL	FOSS	166.13	19.93	+344	NO		
05NH	HEL	STUMPFIELD	179.99	20.17	+164	NO		
NH61	AIR	NEW POVERTY FLATS	209.82	20.24	+176	NO		
70ME	SEA	SWN POND SPLASH IN GO	40.19	20.49	+46	NO		
21NH	AIR	PROPWASH	178.02	20.6	+174	NO		

NH98	HEL BRADY-CANDIA	230.95	20.62	-106	NO		
ME 8 9	AIR BUZZY'S FIELD	358.02	20.9	-286	NO		
NH10	HEL SPRINGFIELD COVE	329.48	20.92	-164	NO		
3NH9	HEL LONGVIEW	325.15	21.03	-196	NO		
NH07	AIR COOPER FARM	273.31	22.18	-121	NO		
NH09	HEL SEABROOK STATION	169.72	22.21	+323	NO		
NH27	HEL HUGGINS HOSPITAL	330.57	22.21	-206	YES	IFR	Procedure
76NH	HEL HARRIS HOMESTEAD	285.53	22.24	-286	NO		
NH25	HEL TSAM	250.13	22.75	+62	NO		
2NH6	HEL SPEEDWAY NORTHSIDE	286.19	22.99	-196	NO		
29NH	ATR CLEARY	226.94	23.03	+64	NO		
NH59	HEL SPEEDWAY	284.9	23.18	-127	NO		
NH74	HEL CROWLEY	282.2	23.26	-206	NO		
NH33	HEL BRIGHAM	260.33	23.38	+4	NO		
50NH	HEL SMITH POINT	318.8	23.6	-135	NO		
NH52	HEL WASTE	261.77	23.6	+32	NO		
6MA0	SEA LAKE GARDNER	179.14	23.92	+2.77	NO		
ME46	ATR BACK ACRES	67.39	23.92	+304	NO		
ME 90	SEA LITTLE OSSIPEE LAKE	27.69	23.93	+53	NO		
6MA2	ATR MEADOWBROOK	184.72	24.08	+247	NO		
48NH	SEA WINTER HARBOR	326.02	24.18	-140	NO		
90NH	HEL DAISY'S LANDING	338.79	24.28	-237	NO		
ME45	ATR GOOSEFATR	68 83	24 36	+324	NO		
37NH	SEA HOOKSETT'S SEAPLANE LANDING	245 03	24 93	+189	NO		
NH31	ATR MOUNTAIN VIEW FIELD	339 77	24 94	-601	NO		
61 NH	HEL HOOKSETT SAFETY CENTER	240 47	24 95	+64	NO		
10NH	HEL CLARK	203 37	25 23	+ 4 4	NO		
MA35	HEL HAT FACTORY	177 99	25.20	+354	NO		
2NH3	HEL SCOTT	249 81	25 54	-216	NO		
70NH	HEL SURETTE	270.85	26.17	-48	NO		
NH63	HEL WESTON STREET	206.33	26.35	+140	NO		
NH12	SEA EVANS	239.41	26.41	+182	NO		
NH50	HEL GORDON BROWN	199.96	26.71	+34	NO		
MA 98	HEL ANNA JAOUES HOSPITAL	174.4	26.89	+264	NO		
NH55	HEL CHOPPER ONE	205.3	27.43	+124	NO		
ME83	SEA PICKEREL POND SEAPLANE BASE	15.99	27.53	+24	NO		
NH34	HEL BRADY SULLIVAN-ELM HELISTOP	234.41	27.7	+114	NO		
0.3NH	HEL LORDEN	195.87	27.73	-36	NO		
18NH	HEL CATHOLIC MEDICAL CENTER	234.79	28.05	+112	YES	TFR	Procedure
04MA	ATR GODDARD	196.94	28.25	+164	NO		1100004410
44ME	ATR HEACOCK FIELD	30.18	28.98	+169	NO		
NH06	HEL DYNASTY FARMS	200.3	29.23	+69	NO		
NH18	ATR CHICKVILLE	343	29.7	-196	NO		
71NH	HEL BRADY-LACONTA	312.42	30.41	-1.52	NO		
80ME	ATR BAYLEY'S FIELD	55.57	30.53	+340	NO		
3.5NH	SEA COBBETTS POND	207.59	30.7	+187	NO		
NH88	AIR COUNTRY CLUB ATR PARK	248	31.19	-416	NO		
NH83	HEL CHIEFS HUT	253.08	31.48	-2.52	NO		
60MA	HEL GRANDVIEW FARM	173.34	31.75	+353	NO		
NH30	HEL AVERY POINT	321.81	31.78	-141	NO		
NH65	HEL DEAN KAMEN II	230.19	31.78	-136	NÖ		
NH58	HEL D W	287.55	31.79	-76	NÖ		

17NH	HEL POMROY	214.67	31.87	+110	NO		
ME91	AIR WEBSTER FIELD	38.52	31.88	+164	NO		
16NH	SEA BOSSEY'S	310.28	32.06	-260	NO		
NH44	HEL DEAN KAMEN	229.91	32.12	+114	NO		
ME51	SEA DOUGLASS	27.38	32.25	+94	NO		
NH81	HEL FRANKLIN RGNL HOSPITAL	290.63	32.41	-116	NO		
NH26	HEL DRAGONWINGS	237.03	32.47	-266	NO		
18MA	HEL HOLY FAMILY HOSPITAL	196.67	33.31	+162	NO		
NH03	HEL GRANTTE	213.11	33.42	+147	NO		
NH20	ATR WARD FIELD	294.86	33.42	-76	NO		
2NH2	HEL WESTPORT	223.21	33.44	+1.59	NO		
NH73	HEL MEADER'S	342.94	33.44	-56	NO		
60NH	HEL SHERWOOD FOREST INC	349.52	33.47	-69	NO		
ME66	AIR SCOTTOW BOG FLIGHTPARK	50 66	33 49	+334	NO		
2NH1	HEL FOOTE	224 32	33 65	+129	NO		
12NH	ATR GILE POND	294 88	33 84	-121	NO		
NHQ3	HFL SFAN	208 11	33 86	+214	NO		
21MF	ATR MOODY FIFLD	42 54	34 07	+216	NO		
Δ 1 ΜΔ Ο 5 ΜΔ	HEL BENTLEY	177 11	34 17	+284	NO		
1 6 M D	HEL LAWRENCE GENERAL HOSPITAL	194 64	34 2	+304	NO		
	HEL SMILING JACK	309 79	34.46	-366	NO		
02NU	HEL SHILING OACH	315 59	35 37	-356	NO		
57NU	HEL FIVING DIDCE	310	35 11	-421	NO		
NUGQ	NID WINDSOCK VILLACE	343 30 210	35 45	-96	NO		
NU53	NIK WINDSOCK VILLAGE UFI NODDEN SVSTEMS	242.59	35 62	-90 -164	NO		
NUGO	NTE HURE MEMORIAI	223.51	35 7	-176	NO		
NH 00 NH 70	AIR HUFF MEMORIAL	239.34	35 QA	-470	NO		
MD 36	AIR SIECK FARM	173 00	35.04	+303	NO		
MAJO 52MU	AIR SNOW	1/3.09 220 11	25 01	-212	NO		
	HEL MEDDIMACK	JZO.II 222.22	35.91		NO		
	HEL MERRIMACK	222.JZ 172.10	30.00	+224	NO		
1 ONU	NEL KIDEK HEI LODDEN II	1/2.19	26 74	7324	NO		
	NEL LORDEN II Hei Ahrmin e landing	242.50	26.74	-330	NO		
	NEL AUSIIN'S LANDING	242.00	27 02	-256	NO		
	AIR INIERVALE	201.79	37.02	-01	NO		
2 O N H	HEL A AND A	230.2	37.04	-401	NO		
94NH	AIR IUCKER FARM	290.7	37.10 27.4F	-236	NO		
	HEL SEACOASI HELICOPIERS WESI	234.40	37.43	-236	NO		
MASS	HEL SAGAMORE HILL	1/1.0	37.48	+104	NU	TED	Dueseeduuse
NH37	HEL SOUTHERN NH MEDICAL CENTER	216.41	37.69	+1/2	ILS	IFR	Procedure
68ME	HEL MAINE MEDICAL CENTER	51.06	37.78	+182	NO		
92MA	HEL AMES	1/4.26	37.89	+300	NO		
51MA	HEL BSAS# 2	157.74	38.11	+324	NO		
36NH	HEL LONG POND LANDING	208.71	38.3	+1/9	NO		
6/ME	HEL STA-KEL	43.3/	38.32	+118	NO		
4/MA	HEL DEVON GLEN	1/4.4	38.38	+264	NO		
MAL4	HEL WHEELER'S POINT	162.3	39.12	+329	NO		
NH42	HEL C S S	216.44	39.12	+152	NO		
ZMA9	HEL DIGITAL	197.8	39.14	+234	NO		
U/ME	HEL WESTBROOK	42./8	39.22	+114	NO		
UIMA	HEL COMPAQ ANDOVER	194.73	39.4	+224	NO		
57ME	AIR DYER'S LANDING	6.09	39.68	- / /	NO		
6MA4	HEL FERNCROFT VILLAGE	181.19	39.77	+164	NO		

MA74	SEA	LARSON'	S		210.91	39.82	+274	NO
15NH	HEL	NASHUA	TECHNOLOGY	PARK	213.83	39.92	+129	NO

THE NEAREST PRIVATE USE LANDING FACILITY IS: SALMON FALLS

SALMON FALLS is an Airport type landing facility. Landing facilities with IFR procedures are protected under FAR 77.17(a)(3).

Airspace® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:31

The mathematical algorithms used by this program are derived directly from Federal Aviation Regulations Part 77, sub-part C.

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> DAW <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 15/33

- 77.19(e) A height exceeding a transitional surface abeam runway.

SITE GREATER THAN 10,000 FT FROM RUNWAY CENTERLINE

PROPOSED RUNWAY 15/33 77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.

- 77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 12/30

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 12.

THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS...... 312.503 degrees THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS..... 200.18 degrees THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS..... 290.1832 degrees THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS..... 23682.6 ft.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 7737.32 feet

## 

- 77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> PSM <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 16/34

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 16.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 18666.19 feet

- 77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

EXISTING RUNWAY 07/25

- 77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 07. THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS..... 233.104 degrees THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS..... 148.36 degrees THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS..... 238.3582 degrees THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS..... 6823.2 ft.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 26501.02 feet

EXISTING RUNWAY 14/32

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 14.

THE SLOPE OF RUNWAY 14 IS: 20 TO 1.

The FAA has defined this runway as a utility runway. It has a visual approach. The obstacle surface extends 5000 feet (20:1 Slope) symmetrically centered along the runway centerline extended. This airport may have a circling approach. Please review the US Terminal Procedures volume associated with this airport. If a procedure for this airport and/or this runway exist, use Terps® Professional software to determine the height limits (if any) the procedure will have on the proposed structure. A circling approach to the airport or any runway can extend out up to 4.5 NM from every runway end.

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> B18 <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 01/19

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 01.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 35117.55 feet

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> 7B3 <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 02/20

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 02.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 57647.4 feet

PROPOSED RUNWAY 02/20

77.19(e) A height exceeding a transitional surface abeam runway.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY ABEAM TRANSITIONAL SURFACE

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 20.

GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 58686.14 feet

# 

- 77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> B19 <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 06/24

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 06.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 87955.88 feet

## 

- 77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> CON <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 12/30

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 30.

THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS...... 81.955 degrees THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS...... 195.04 degrees THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS..... 105.0361 degrees THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS..... 57286.7 ft.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 84795.86 feet

EXISTING RUNWAY 17/35

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 35.

The FAA has defined this runway as a non-utility runway. It has a precision approach. The obstacle surface extends 50,000 feet with a 50:1 Slope for the first 10,000 feet and a 40:1 Slope for 40,000 feet. The obstacle approach surface is centered symmetrically along the runway centerline extended. Please review the US Terminal Procedures volume associated with this airport. If a procedure for this airport and/or runway exist use Terps® Professional software to determine the height limits (if any) the procedure will have on the proposed structure. Precision instrument procedures will have the

greatest impact between the final approach fix (FAF) and the runway end. The FAF is located approximately 5 NM from the runway end. This type of approach usually has a non-precision and a circling approach also. A circling approach to the airport or runway can extend out up to 4.5 NM from every runway end.

## 

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> 98M <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 06/24

77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE

77.19(e) A height exceeding a transitional surface abeam runway.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY ABEAM TRANSITIONAL SURFACE

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 06.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 107059.03 feet

# 

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> LCI <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 08/26

> CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 17358 feet

### 

77.17(a)(2) A height AGL or airport elevation, whichever is higher.

BECAUSE: Location studied is further than 5.99 NM from ARP.

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> 2B2 <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft.

THE SLOPE OF RUNWAY 10 IS: 20 TO 1.

The FAA has defined this runway as a utility runway. It has a visual approach. The obstacle surface extends 5000 feet (20:1 Slope) symmetrically centered along the runway centerline extended. This airport may have a circling approach. Please review the US Terminal Procedures volume associated with this airport. If a procedure for this airport and/or this runway exist, use Terps® Professional software to determine the height limits (if any) the procedure will have on the proposed structure. A circling approach to the airport or any runway can extend out up to 4.5 NM from every runway end.

EXISTING RUNWAY 14/32

77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE

- 77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 14. THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS...... 350.667 degrees

THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS..... 220.16 degrees THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS..... 310.1605 degrees THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS..... 110719.9 ft.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 79743.86 feet

- 77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

EXISTING RUNWAY 06/24

- 77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 24. THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS..... 47.78 degrees THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS..... 132.42 degrees THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS..... 42.4188 degrees

THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS..... 16058.9 ft.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 121030.97 feet

EXISTING RUNWAY 17/35

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 17.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 3843 feet

- 77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

EXISTING RUNWAY 11/29

- 77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 11. THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS..... 201.429 degrees THE ABEAM BEARING TO THE CENTERLINE IS..... 182.92 degrees THE CENTERLINE OUTBOUND TRUE BEARING IS..... 272.9209 degrees THE ABEAM DISTANCE TO CENTERLINE FROM CASE IS..... 186759.5 ft.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 12586.77 feet

# 

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> LWM <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 05/23

77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE

77.19(e) A height exceeding a transitional surface abeam runway.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY ABEAM TRANSITIONAL SURFACE

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 23.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 131604.17 feet

EXISTING RUNWAY 14/32

77.19(e) A height exceeding a transitional surface abeam runway.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY ABEAM TRANSITIONAL SURFACE

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 14.
GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 12321.11 feet

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> PWM <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 11/29

77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY ABEAM TRANSITIONAL SURFACE

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 11.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 101546.7 feet

EXISTING RUNWAY 18/36

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 36.

CASE MEETS ANGULAR CRITERIA BUT IS LOCATED GREATER THAN 50,000 ft. FROM THE START OF ANY APPROACH TYPE, OUT BY 32738.89 feet

77.17(a)(2) A height AGL or airport elevation, whichever is higher.

BECAUSE: Location studied is further than 5.99 NM from ARP.

77.19 (a) A height exceeding a horizontal surface 150 ft. above airport elevation within a radius of >> ASH <<.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED HORIZONTAL SURFACE AREA

77.19(b) A height exceeding a conical surface (a slope outward 4000 ft. from the horizontal surface at 20/1 ratio).

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED CONICAL SURFACE AREA

EXISTING RUNWAY 14/32

77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE

- 77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 32. THE BEARING TO THE CASE FROM THE THRESHOLD IS..... 39.86 degrees

The FAA has defined this runway as a non-utility runway. It has a non-precision approach. The obstacle surface extends 10,000 feet (34:1 Slope) symmetrically centered along the runway centerline extended. Please review the US Terminal Procedures volume associated with this airport. If a procedure for this airport and/or runway exist use Terps® Professional software to determine the height limits (if any) the procedure will have on the proposed structure. Non-precision instrument procedures can extend 10 NM from the runway and a circling approach to the airport or runway can extend out up to 4.5 NM from every runway end.

PROPOSED RUNWAY 14/32

77.19(c) A height exceeding runway primary surface.

NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY PRIMARY SURFACE

77.19(e) A height exceeding a transitional surface abeam runway.

#### NOT WITHIN SPECIFIED RUNWAY ABEAM TRANSITIONAL SURFACE

77.19(d) A height exceeding an approach surface of RUNWAY 32.

THE SLOPE OF RUNWAY 32 IS: 34 TO 1.

The FAA has defined this runway as a non-utility runway. It has a non-precision approach. The obstacle surface extends 10,000 feet (34:1 Slope) symmetrically centered along the runway centerline extended. Please review the US Terminal Procedures volume associated with this airport. If a procedure for this airport and/or runway exist use Terps® Professional software to determine the height limits (if any) the procedure will have on the proposed structure. Non-precision instrument procedures can extend 10 NM from the runway and a circling approach to the airport or runway can extend out up to 4.5 NM from every runway end.

```
Airspace® Version 20.1.546
```

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020

18:40:24

Airspace User: Not Identified

FILE: VW2 NH 0043A

LATITUDE: 43°-15'-36.28" LONGITUDE: 70°-57'-5.35"

SITE ELEVATION AMSL..... 204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. OVERALL HEIGHT AMSL.....364 ft.

#### FAR 77.17(a)(4) - EN ROUTE CRITERIA MINIMUM OBSTACLE CLEARANCE ALTITUDE (MOCA)

AIRWAY	SEQUENCE	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	MEA	LENGTH	(NM)
Q480	130	42-09-43.053N	072-42-58.318W	18000	119.8	8
Q480	140	43-25-32.42N	070-36-48.692W	18000		

Minimum Obstacle Clearance Altitude (MOCA) is: 18000 AMSL.

Proposed structure is between the above points along Airway Q480. The Abeam distance from the course centerline is 1.91 NM. The proposed structure is within the width of the primary area of this airway. The width of the primary area of this airway is 8 NM. The minimum en route altitude (MEA) for this airway segment Is 18000 feet AMSL. Any Height above 17000 feet AMSL will not be approved. Your proposed structure must remain below this value.

AIRWAY	SEQUENCE	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	MEA	LENGTH	(NM)
Т295	240	43-13-11.233N	071-34-31.631W	3000	35.2	8
Т295	250	43-23-09.13N	070-48-11.07W	3000		

Minimum Obstacle Clearance Altitude (MOCA) is: 3000 AMSL.

Proposed structure is between the above points along Airway T295. The Abeam distance from the course centerline is 5.36 NM. The proposed structure is within the width of the secondary area of this airway. The width of the primary area is 8 NM and the width of the secondary is 2 NM.

The maximum allowable height permitted by the secondary area MOCA of this

airway at this location is 2840 feet AMSL.

LOW ALTITUDE AIRWAY

AIRWAY	SEQUENCE	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	MEA	LENGTH	(NM)
V106	190	43-03-36.89N	071-06-42.16W	5500	18.5	9
V106	200	43-16-47.89N	070-48-47.74W	5500		

Minimum Obstacle Clearance Altitude (MOCA) is: 2200 AMSL.

Proposed structure is between the above points along Airway V106. The Abeam distance from the course centerline is 3.47 NM. The proposed structure is within the width of the primary area of this airway. The width of the primary area of this airway is 8 NM. The minimum en route altitude (MEA) for this airway segment Is 5500 feet AMSL. Any Height above 1200 feet AMSL will not be approved. Your proposed structure must remain below this value.

LOW ALTITUDE AIRWAY

AIRWAY	SEQUENCE	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	MEA	LENGTH	(NM)
V3	990	43-05-04.071N	070-49-55.154W	3500	11.7	6
V3	1000	43-16-47.89N	070-48-47.74W	3500		

Minimum Obstacle Clearance Altitude (MOCA) is: 2400 AMSL.

Proposed structure is between the above points along Airway V3. The Abeam distance from the course centerline is 5.96 NM. The proposed structure is within the width of the secondary area of this airway. The width of the primary area is 8 NM and the width of the secondary is 2 NM.

The maximum allowable height permitted by the secondary area MOCA of this airway at this location is 2391 feet AMSL.

#### LOW ALTITUDE AIRWAY

AIRWAY	SEQUENCE	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	MEA	LENGTH	(NM)
V93	320	43-13-11.233N	071-34-31.631W	3000	35.2	8
V93	330	43-23-09.13N	070-48-11.07W	3000		

Minimum Obstacle Clearance Altitude (MOCA) is: 3000 AMSL.

Proposed structure is between the above points along Airway V93. The Abeam distance from the course centerline is 5.36 NM. The proposed structure is within the width of the secondary area of this airway. The width of the primary area is 8 NM and the width of the secondary is 2 NM. The maximum allowable height permitted by the secondary area MOCA of this airway at this location is 2840 feet AMSL.

Airspace® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered  $\circledast$  trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 – 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:30

The mathematical algorithms used by this program are derived directly from Federal Aviation Regulations Part 77, sub-part C.

#### FILE: VW2 NH 0043A

LATITUDE: 43°-15'-36.28" LONGITUDE: 70°-57'-5.35"

SITE ELEVATION AMSL..... 204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. OVERALL HEIGHT AMSL.....364 ft.

An airport with at least one instrument approach procedure (IAP) will require all airport runways to be analyzed using 40:1 criteria for Departures. FAA application of the 40:1 screening criteria extendes 22.09 nautical miles and 180° semi-circle area around the Runway centerline extended. Penetration of the 40:1 surface will result initially in a determination of presumed hazard(DPH). An extended study is normally required to remove the DPH.

A specified climb gradient (CG) greater than the standard (200 ft/nm) is sometimes necessary to allow acceptable obstacle clearance. Should the proposed location exceed the maximum height you may need to determine if there is a published climb gradient and conduct additional calculations to determine if the climb gradient will provide proper clearance for the proposed structure. Should you require additional assistance please contact Federal Airways & Airspace or another aeronautical consult to perform these calculations.

Ident	Dep Rwy	Elev	Distance	40 <b>:</b> 1	Max Hgt	CG	Rwy Statı	lS
DAW	15/33			DNE	Between	DNE		
DAW	15/33			DNE	Between	DNE		
3B4	30	123.6	62545	DNE	Below	DNE	Existing	Rwy
PSM	34	80.0	69028	DNE	Below	DNE	Existing	Rwy
SFM	25	240.5	77023	DNE	Below	DNE	Existing	Rwy
SFM	32	244.1	81221	DNE	Below	DNE	Existing	Rwy
B18	19	502.3	108720	DNE	Below	DNE	Existing	Rwy
7B3	20	91.6	112616	DNE	Below	DNE	Existing	Rwy
7B3	02	100	112637	DNE	Below	DNE	Proposed	Rwy
B19	24	146.5	146115	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
CON	12	341.7	146305	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
CON	17	331.4	147736	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
98M	24	158.3	159383	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
LCI	08	515.5	167203	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
2B2	28	8.9	171881	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
2B2	32	8.3	170613	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
MHT	06	241.6	172073	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
MHT	35	216.1	174512	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy
63B	29	292.3	197226	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing	Rwy

LWM	05	134.0	201181	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing Rwy
LWM	32	121.0	202172	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing Rwy
PWM	29	75.7	217616	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing Rwy
PWM	18	44.3	221121	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing Rwy
ASH	14	192.0	229709	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Existing Rwy
ASH	14	189.8	229759	DNE	Beyond	DNE	Proposed Rwy

AIRSPACE® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:24

FILE: VW2 NH 0043A

LATITUDE: 43°-15'-36.28" LONGITUDE: 70°-57'-5.35"

SITE ELEVATION AMSL..... 204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. OVERALL HEIGHT AMSL.....364 ft.

FAC IDNT	TYPE	ST AT	FREQ	VECTOR	DIST (ft)	DELTA ELEVA	ST	LOCATION	GRD ANGLE	APCH BEAR
DAW	СО	Y	122,7	39.23	9937	+2	NH	SKYHAVEN UNICOM	.01	
DAW	CO	Y	135.2	45.83	10102	+6	NH	SKYHAVEN ASOS	.03	
DAW	UN	ON		34.4	10519	+2	VT	ROCHESTER - SKYHA	.01	
ESG	NDB	R	26	113.93	35893	+292	NH	ROLLINS	.47	
ЗВ4	CO	Y	122.9	131.53	64659	+230	ME	LITTLEBROOK UNICO	.20	
PSM	LOCALIZER	Ι	110.1	153.16	67639	+270	NH	RWY 34 PORTSMOUTH	.23	345
PGQ	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	334.4	153.19	70845	+266	ΝH	RWY 16 PSM	.22	165
PSM	VOR/DME	R	116.5	153.56	71516	+256	NH	PEASE	.21	
PSM	ATCT	ON	A/G	151.38	73188	+128	NH	PEASE INTERNATION	.10	
PSM	СО	Y	A/G	153.74	73426	+218	NH	PORTSMOUTH RTR	.17	
SFM	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	332.9	53.43	78109	+130	ME	RWY 07 SFM	.10	75
PSM	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	334.4	152.95	79131	+288	ΝH	RWY 34 PSM	.21	345
PGQ	LOCALIZER	Ι	110.1	152.48	81360	+280	NH	RWY 16 PORTSMOUTH	.20	165
SFM	LOCALIZER	Ι	111.5	53.54	84008	+134	ME	rwy 07 sanford se	.09	75
ENE	VOR/DME	Ι	117.1	56.01	108295	+172	ME	KENNEBUNK	.09	
ZBW	СО	Y	A/G	25.62	119576	-570	ME	WATERBORO	27	
СО	NDB	R	21	248.74	143187	+10	NΗ	EPSOM	0.00	
CON	LOM	Ι		248.76	143249	+16	NH	RWY 35 CONCORD MU	.01	
MHT	RADAR	ON	2805.	219.29	146275	-352	NH	MANCHESTER	14	
CON	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	330.5	260.61	148300	+34	NH	RWY 35 CON	.01	351
CON	LOCALIZER	Ι	108.7	262.65	149520	+24	NΗ	RWY 35 CONCORD MU	.01	351
LCI	LOCALIZER	Ι	108.5	313.97	166863	-148	ΝH	RWY 08 LACONIA MU	05	84
CON	CO	Y	A/G	264.74	166894	-338	NH	CONCORD	12	
CON	VOR/DME	R	112.9	264.74	166923	-350	NH	CONCORD	12	
LCI	VG	Y	A/G	313.44	167774	-150	NΗ	RWY26 PAPI	05	
LCI	VG	Y	A/G	312.21	169472	-174	ΝH	RWY08 PAPI	06	
LCI	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	329.9	312.23	169598	-172	NΗ	RWY 08 LCI	06	84
MJE	LOCALIZER	I	109.9	227.79	171421	+122	NH	RWY 06 MANCHESTER	.04	57
LCI	FAN MARKER	D	NA	310.86	171525	-216	NH	LACONIA	07	
MHT	LOCALIZER	I	109.1	228.62	174059	+148	NH	RWY 35 MANCHESTER	.05	352
MNA	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	331.4	227.78	174637	+144	NΗ	RWY 17 MHT	.05	172
MHT	СО	Y	A/G	226.13	176008	+74	ΝH	MANCHESTER RTR #1	.02	
MHT	ATCT	ON	A/G	227.38	176426	+44	NH	MANCHESTER	.01	
MHT	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	331.4	225.93	176588	+116	NH	RWY 35 MHT	.04	352
MHT	MK	Ι	75.0	225.31	177457	+100	NH	RWY 35 MANCHESTER	.03	
MNA	LOCALIZER	Ι	109.1	225.04	178008	+88	NH	RWY 17 MANCHESTER	.03	172

MJE	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	333.6	227.65	178334	+146	ΝH	RWY 06 MHT	.05	57
MHT	СО	Y	A/G	225.22	178533	+44	NH	MANCHESTER RTR #2	.01	
MHT	VOR/DME	R	114.4	217.91	181239	-106	ΝH	MANCHESTER	03	
LC	NDB	Ι	32	303.13	185427	-376	ΝH	BLNAP	12	
LCI	LOM	Ι		303.13	185427	-398	NH	RWY 08 LACONIA MU	12	
LWM	VOR/DME	Ι	112.5	191.4	193245	+58	MA	LAWRENCE	.02	
LWM	LOCALIZER	Ι	111.7	192.51	199812	+230	MA	RWY 05 LAWRENCE M	.07	53
LWM	VG	Y	A/G	192.77	202126	+228	MA	RWY23 PAPI	.06	
LWM	VG	Y	A/G	192.76	203286	+218	MA	RWY32 PAPI	.06	
LWM	ATCT	ON	A/G	192.79	203915	+132	MA	LAWRENCE MUNI	.04	
LWM	VG	Y	A/G	193.12	204909	+228	MA	RWY05 PAPI	.06	
LWM	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	333.5	193.11	205062	+228	MA	RWY 05 LWM	.06	53
MJE	LOM	Ι		226.72	211243	+130	ΝH	RWY 06 MANCHESTER	.04	
MJ	NDB	Ι	20	226.72	211260	+130	NH	FITZY	.04	
GCS	LOCALIZER	Ι	109.9	49.34	216907	+290	ME	RWY 29 PORTLAND I	.08	290
PWM	MK	Ι	75.0	49.35	216945	+300	ME	RWY 11 PORTLAND I	.08	
A90	TR	Y	A/G	221.7	217114	+114	ΝH	BOSTON CONSOLIDAT	.03	
PWM	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	333.8	49.81	218077	+296	ME	RWY 11 PWM	.08	110
PWM	VT	Ι	111.0	50.25	221608	+312	ME	PORTLAND	.08	
PWM	ATCT	ON	A/G	50.22	221657	+222	ME	PORTLAND INTL JET	.06	
GCS	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	333.8	50.64	222307	+322	ME	RWY 29 PWM	.08	290
PWM	LOCALIZER	Ι	109.9	51.03	223468	+330	ME	RWY 11 PORTLAND I	.08	110
ASH	LOCALIZER	Ι	109.7	219.75	229621	+178	ΝH	RWY 14 BOIRE FIEL	.04	139
ASH	GLIDE SLOPE	Ι	333.2	221.15	230269	+168	NH	RWY 14 ASH	.04	139
ASH	ATCT	ON	A/G	220.54	230850	+114	NH	BOIRE FIELD	.03	
LW	NDB	D	40	196.25	233909	+240	MA	HAGET	.06	
AS	NDB	D	35	227.32	235795	+148	ΝH	CHERN	.04	
BVY	FAN MARKER	Ι	NA	179.47	236730	+298	MA	BEVERLY	.07	
ZBW	AR	ON		216.34	237803	+84	ΝH	NASHUA	.02	
SZO	NDB	D	22	10.75	238968	-588	ΜE	SEBAGO	14	

THE NEAREST AIR NAVIGATION FACILITY TO CASE COORDINATES IS: DAW

Airspace® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:42

#### \* COMMUNICATION FACILITIES IN PROXIMITY OF CASE \*

Airspace User: Not Identified

FILE: VW2 NH 0043A

LATITUDE: 43°-15'-36.28" LONGITUDE: 70°-57'-5.35"

SITE ELEVATION AMSL..... 204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. 

IDENT	FACILITY	LOCATION NAME	ST	BEARING (deg) Case to FAC	DISTANCE (ft)
QEM	RCL	ROCHESTER	NH	3.92	6872
DAW	СО	SKYHAVEN UNICOM	NH	39.47	9932
DAW	ATIS	ROCHESTER	VT	36.36	10024
DAW	СО	SKYHAVEN ASOS	NH	46.27	10067
DAW	ASOS	ROCHESTER	NH	34.4	10519
DAW	UNICOM	ROCHESTER - SKYHAVEN	VT	34.4	10519
3B4	СО	LITTLEBROOK UNICOM	ME	131.55	64697
PSM	ATCT	PEASE INTERNATIONAL T	NH	151.35	73209
PSM	СО	PORTSMOUTH RTR	NH	153.73	73448
SFM	AWOS-3	SANFORD	ME	53.46	78156
PSM	ASOS	PORTSMOUTH	NH	152.2	79385
ZBW	СО	WATERBORO	ME	25.64	119531
QEL	RCL	WATERBORO	ME	25.62	119622
QEN	RCL	CANDIA	NH	233.29	120147
MHT	ASR	MANCHESTER	NH	219.29	146270
CON	DF	CONCORD	NH	261.94	148102
CON	ASOS	CONCORD	NH	261.79	148159
CON	СО	CONCORD	NH	264.73	166881
LCI	AWOS-3	LACONIA	NH	312.7	168569
MHT	СО	MANCHESTER RTR #1	NH	226.13	176008
MHT	ATCT	MANCHESTER	NH	227.37	176387
MHT	ASOS	MANCHESTER	NH	226.85	176752
MHT	СО	MANCHESTER RTR #2	NH	225.21	178590
LWM	ATCT	LAWRENCE MUNI	MA	192.78	203965
LWM	ASOS	LAWRENCE	MA	193.07	205038
QEK	RCL	OAKHILL	ME	52.94	208801
PWM	EFAS	PORTLAND	ME	48.94	215443
PWM	ASOS	PORTLAND	ME	50.75	221196
PWM	ATCT	PORTLAND INTL JETPORT	ME	50.24	221659
QE 3	RCL	HUDSON	NH	211.5	224824
~ ASH	AWOS-3	NASHUA	NH	220.63	230420
ASH	ATCT	BOIRE FIELD	NH	220.53	230881
ZBW	RCL	BOSTON (NASHUA(ARTCC)	NH	216.37	237833

THE NEAREST COMMUNICATION FACILITY TO CASE COORDINATES IS: QEM

Airspace® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:43

Airspace User: Not Identified

FILE: VW2 NH 0043A

LATITUDE: 43-15-36.28 LONGITUDE: 70-57-5.35

SITE ELEVATION AMSL..... 204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. OVERALL HEIGHT AMSL.....364 ft.

TYPE Faa	JULTAN										A	A	М
V OBSTACLE	CITY	ST	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	RANGE	DEG	QUAN	AMSL	AGL	L	Н	V	K
NUMBER	DATE A												
										-	_	-	-
 о върд	ROCHESTER	NH	43-16-58.03	070-56-12.03	9169	25	1	390	0034	IJ	2	С	IJ
2011010 A			10 10 00100		5 - 0 5		_	000		0	_	0	
U BLDG	ROCHESTER	NH	43-16-53.55	070-55-53.94	9440	34	1	370	0040	Ν	4	D	Ν
2014ANE00363	30E 2018024 A												
U BLDG	ROCHESTER	NH	43-16-48.11	070-55-42.90	9492	40	1	370	0028	Ν	4	D	Ν
2012ANE00233	30E 2018024 A												
O TANK	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-07.37	070-56-27.22	9644	17	1	506	0079	U	2	С	U
2011010 A													
O TOWER	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-11.99	070-57-02.58	9692	1	1	636	0291	R	1	А	Ρ
2013ANE00362	20E 2016134 C												
O TOWER	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-13.74	070-56-56.48	9889	4	1	632	0291	Ν	1	А	Ν
2013ANE00363	30E 2016133 C												
O POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-16-43.47	070-55-25.57	10039	47	1	360	0037	U	1	В	U
2011010 A													
O POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-16-45.85	070-55-27.45	10104	46	1	358	0034	U	1	В	U
2011010 A													
O TOWER	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-15.49	070-56-50.39	10106	6	1	654	0291	R	1	А	Ρ
2013ANE00364	40E 2016134 C												
O FENCE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-16-44.40	070-55-25.04	10132	47	1	331	0009	U	1	В	U
2011010 A													
O POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-06.19	070-56-03.80	10179	27	1	437	0121	U	2	С	U
2011010 A													
O POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-08.20	070-56-06.78	10266	25	1	436	0123	U	2	С	U
2011010 A													
O POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-10.25	070-56-09.80	10364	23	1	434	0122	U	2	С	U
2011010 A													
O POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-12.24	070-56-12.75	10466	22	1	432	0120	U	2	С	U
2011010 A													
U BLDG	ROCHESTER	NH	43-16-48.01	070-55-11.64	11114	49	1	325	0029	Ν	4	D	Ν
2012ANE01729	90E 2018024 A												

U POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-19.82	070-55-49.65	11885	28	1	308	0023	Νζ	1 E	) N
2016ANE022710	E 2018025 A											
U POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-20.63	070-55-50.78	11919	28	1	308	0023	N 4	1 D	) N
2016ANE022720	E 2018026 A											
U POLE	ROCHESTER	NH	43-17-21.34	070-55-51.90	11945	27	1	309	0023	Νζ	1 C	) N
2016ANE022730	E 2018025 A											
U BRIDGE	ROCHESTER	ΝH	43-16-53.20	070-55-02.12	11991	49	1	277	0033	Νζ	1 E	) N
2012ANE000060	E 2018024 A											
O TOWER	ROCHESTER	ΝH	43-17-06.93	070-58-59.22	12458	317	1	424	0186	Ν 5	5 E	) N
2008ANE014050	E 2016138 C											
U TANK	DOVER	NH	43-13-49.00	070-55-31.00	12913	147	1	378	0800	Νζ	1 E	) N
2011ANE011510	E 2018030 A											
O TOWER	BARRINGTON	NH	43-13-26.00	070-58-17.00	14217	202	1	765	0385	R 5	5 E	; P
2011ANE006640	E 2012293 C											
O TOWER	SOMERSWORTH	NH	43-14-10.90	070-53-35.20	17795	119	1	511	0297	R 1	LA	v P
2017ANE023000	E 2017258 C											
O TOWER	DOVER	NΗ	43-13-00.00	070-55-00.00	18343	150	1	448	0274	R 5	5 E	'M
1971ANE003240	E 2014152 C											
O TOWER	ROCHESTER	ΝH	43-17-44.00	071-00-34.00	20136	310	1	654	0175	U 3	3 C	: U
2014152 C												
O TOWER	ROCHESTER	NH	43-19-33.30	070-58-18.60	24602	347	1	500	0260	R 5	5 E	) P
2012ANE001690	E 2016280 C											
O STACK	SOMERSWORTH	NΗ	43-15-22.00	070-51-32.00	24711	93	1	269	0150	N 1	LΣ	) N
2014152 C												
U POLE	DOVER	ΝH	43-11-43.67	070-52-33.55	30978	140	1	171	0115	Νζ	1 E	) N
2015ANE001590	E 2018026 A											
O STACK	DOVER	NΗ	43-11-45.00	070-52-21.00	31489	138	1	210	0180	N 1	LΕ	) U
2014152 C												
O TOWER	LEBANON	ME	43-20-58.89	070-56-37.29	32729	4	1	604	0258	D 5	5 E	) N
2004ANE000640	E 2004263 C											
U TOWER	DOVER	ΝH	43-10-10.94	070-53-52.16	35912	157	1	288	0150	Νζ	1 E	) N
2015ANE006720	E 2018005 A											
O TOWER	DURHAM	ΝH	43-09-25.00	070-56-25.00	37709	175	1	432	0253	R 5	5 E	ιM
1971ANE000920	E 2014152 C											
O TOWER	DOVER	NH	43-10-58.00	070-51-09.00	38601	137	4	270	0205	Νζ	1 D	) M
1981ANE001650	E 2014152 C											
O STACK	DOVER	NΗ	43-10-29.00	070-51-29.00	39853	141	1	209	0150	N 1	LΕ	) N
2014152 C												

AIRSPACE® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:44

#### DEFINITIONS:

The data for each obstacle record is in the following format:

Field	Data Element	Description
1	"O" or "U"	Verification Status "O": verified "U": unverified
2	Obstacle Type	1. Arch15. Plant2. Balloon16. Pole3. Bridge17. Rig4. Bldg18. Refinery5. Bldg-Twr19. Sign6. Catenary20. Spire7. Cool TWR21. Stack8. Crane22. Stacks9. Crane T23. Tank10. Ctrl Twr24. T-L Twr11. Dam25. Tower12. Dome26. Towers13. Elevator27. Tramway14. Monument28. Windmill
3	City Name	City
4	State Identifier	State
5	Latitude	Latitude (NAD 1883)
6	Longitude	Longitude (NAD 1983)
7	Range	Distance from Aeronautical Study to NOS Obstruction (feet)
8	DEG	Bearing from Aeronautical Study to NOS Obstruction (feet)
9	Freq	Charted AM station Frequency
10	AMSL	Above Mean Sea Level Height (Feet)
11	AGL	Above Ground Level Height (Feet)
12	Strobe Indicator	<pre>(L)ighting, type of "S": High Intensity White Strobe Lighting "M": Medium Intensity White Strobe Lighting "R": Red Lighting "H": Dual, Red with HIGH Intensit White Strobe "D": Dual, Red with MEDIUM Intensity White Strobe "F": Flood Lights</pre>

		"N": No Lights "L": Other, Lighting not listed above
13, 14	Accuracy H V	A A Horizontal, Vertical Accuracy
		HORIZONTAL VERTICAL
		Code Tolerance Code Tolerance
		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
15	Mark Indicator "Y" or "N"	Painted/Marked Yes or No
16	FAA Study Number or NOS Source Code	NOS Source Code (when FAA study number is not available)
		99CF00007610 Form99AM0000FCC AM List99FM0000FCC FM List99FC0000Flight Check99SP0000Stereoplot99IP0000IAP Procedures99VR0000Visual Reported99LR0000Letter Reported99TR0000Telephone Reported99MS0000MSAW Reported99OC####OC Charts99HC0000Horizontal Ctrl Data99LM0000Landmark for Charts
17	Action: A, C, D, Julian Date	Add, Change, Dismantle, Date of Action *

A revision has been made to the Julian date field by NOS in order to comp issues. The numeric, 5-digit field (YYDDD) has changed to an alphanumeric field. The new format has a distinctive letter to indicate Y2K compliance character of the Julian date (jdate) field will be a letter. The remainin will be numeric. The sequence will begin with A0001 = January 1, 2000. It with:

\*

A1001 = January 1, 2001 A2001 = January 1, 2002 A3001 = January 1, 2003 A9001 = January 1, 2009 B0001 = January 1, 2010

LATITUDE: 43°-15'-36.28" (NAD83) LONGITUDE: 70°-57'-5.35" (NAD83)

SITE ELEVATION AMSL..... 204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. OVERALL HEIGHT AMSL.....364 ft.

CALL FREQPOWERANT PDISTBEARINGNAD83NAD83SIGN KHzWattsMOD TMetersDegreesLATITUDELONGITUDECITYST---WPKX9305,000DA29985.3343°-17'-13070°-56'-53ROCHESTERNH

This station has a current license. The authorized directional antenna pattern is augmented. This station is operating a directional type antenna system. The electrical height of the studied antenna is: 54°.

NOTICE! Your proposed structure will be within 3.0 KM of this station. This station has a directional antenna radiation pattern. FCC regulations require the responsible party to show that a new or significantly modified tower would not negatively impact a AM station (FCC 13-115).

The ciritcal tower height is 32 meters.

CALL	FREQ	POWER	ANT	Ρ	DIST	BEARING	NAD83	NAD83		
SIGN	KHz	Watts	MOD	Т	Meters	Degrees	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	CITY	ST
WTSN	1270	5,000	D	Ā	11652	136.84	43°-11'-01	070°-51'-12	DOVER	NH

Airspace® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:45

#### DEFINITIONS:

SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION: A significant modification of a tower in the immediate vicinity of an AM station is defined in CFR Title 47, Part 1.30002, as follows; (1) any change that would alter the tower's physical height by 5 electrical

degrees or more at the AM frequency; or (2) in addition or replacement of one or more antennas or trnasmission lines on a tower that has been detuned or base-insulated.

The addition or modification of an antenna or antenna-supporting structure on a building shall be considered a construction modification subject to the analysis and notice requirements of this subpart if and only if the height of the antenna supporting structure alone exceeds the thresholds in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

CALL SIGN: The Call Sign of the station or application. For applications and construction permits which do not have Call Signs a value of 'NEW' is used.

FREQUENCY: in Kilohertz

POWER: The nominal power of the station, as defined in Section CFR 73.14. This is not necessarily the effective radiated power, the transmitter power, the antenna input power, etc.

ANT MOD: Antenna Mode, The mode of the complete antenna system. Indicates directional or non-directional. (D = Directional and N = Non-Directional) If a station is directional at one time during a day and non-directional at another time it is considered to be directional for the purpose of Movement Method Proof. If the same station has multiple locations these are listed as separate AM stations with the same Call Sign.

PT: The type of antenna pattern which has been notified to (or by) foreign countries.

DIST Meters: This is the calculated distance (in meters) between your proposed site and the latitude/longitude coordinates specified by the FCC data.

Bearing Degrees: This is the true bearing from your proposed site to the station.

LATITUDE: This is the latitude of the AM Station in NAD 1983 coordinates.

LONGITUDE: This is the longitude of the AM Station in NAD 1983 coordinates.

ST: This is the state where the AM Station is located.

The material in this report on AM radio stations was obtained from the FCC who provided the data on an 'as-is' basis. Therefore, Federal Airways & Airspace® disclaims all warranties with regard to the contents of these files, including their fitness for your use. In no event shall Federal Airways & Airspace® be liable for any special, indirect, or consequential damages whatsoever resulting from loss or use, data or profits, whether in connection with the use or performance of the contents of these files, action of contract, negligence, or other action arising out of, or in connection with the use of the contents of these files. Data conversion of the FCC data from NAD27 to NAD83 was accomplished using the USGS NADCON210 software program.

FILE: VW2 NH 0043A

LATITUDE: 43°-15'-36.28" LONGITUDE: 70°-57'-5.35"

SITE ELEVATION AMSL..... 204.5 ft. STRUCTURE HEIGHT.....160 ft. OVERALL HEIGHT AMSL.....364 ft.

STRUCTURE DIST DIR	REGISTER	FILE NUM	FAA STUDY NUMBER	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	GROUND	AGL	AMSL
BMAST 9648 17	1241696	A0749223	2003-ANE-849-OE	43-17-07.40	070-56-27.19	130.5	27	158.2
GTOWER	1031115	A1119603	2013-ANE-362-OE	43-17-11.90	070-57-02.59	104.8	87	193.5
9684 I GTOWER 9823 4	1031117	A1119605	2013-ANE-364-OE	43-17-13.00	070-56-54.99	110.0	87	198.7
GTOWER 9823 4	1031115	A1119603	2013-ANE-362-OE	43-17-13.00	070-56-54.99	104.8	87	193.5
GTOWER 9823 4	1031116	A1119604	2013-ANE-363-OE	43-17-13.00	070-56-54.99	103.9	88	192.6
GTOWER 9886 4	1031116	A1119604	2013-ANE-363-OE	43-17-13.70	070-56-56.50	103.9	88	192.6
GTOWER	1031117	A1119605	2013-ANE-364-OE	43-17-15.50	070-56-50.40	110.0	87	198.7
TOWER 12455 317	1250889	A0618150	2008-ANE-1405-OE	43-17-06.90	070-58-59.19	72.5	55	129.2
LTOWER	1304392	A1121956	2017-ANE-1733-OE	43-13-48.58	070-55-30.50	89.6	45	141.4
TOWER	1034328	A1121992	2011-ANE-664-OE	43-13-25.99	070-58-17.00	115.8	116	233.1
TOWER	1255099	A0724856	2010-ANE-1168-OE	43-13-39.20	070-59-32.58	79.2	42	122.2
GTOWER	1033990	A1088232	2017-ANE-2300-OE	43-14-10.90	070-53-35.20	62.5	90	153.0
TOWER	1258645	A0613792	2006-ANE-1257-OE	43-12-53.79	070-54-54.00	61.3	75	140.9
TOWER	1212065	A0267657	99-ANE-0875-OE	43-12-53.69	070-54-54.19	61.3	61	122.3
LTOWER	1250789	A1132044	2019-ANE-435-OE	43-16-41.00	071-01-33.60	150.3	55	210.3
Z0902 288 TOWER	1009205	A1025318	96-ANE-390-OE	43-18-30.00	070-59-55.98	99.0	57	159.6
Z1049 324 TOWER 24601 347	1247170	A1098195	2012-ANE-169-OE	43-19-33.29	070-58-18.59	73.1	79	152.3

TOWER	1209433	A0819500	2007-ANE-95-OE	43-17-27.99	070-51-54.48	119.4	45	167.5
25629 64 LTOWER	1304390	A1121953	2017-ANE-2505-OE	43-12-35.90	070-52-14.89	85.9	45	1.37.7
28211 130	2001000			10 11 00.00			10	20,0,0
TOWER	1207446	A0479454	2003-ANE-1009-OE	43-12-34.99	070-52-08.98	87.1	30	123.6
28605 130	1040005	70010E40	2004 AND 64 OF	12 20 50 00	070 56 27 20	10E E	76	10/ 1
32731 4	1242925	AU918542	2004-ANE-64-0E	43-20-58.90	070-56-57.50	102.5	/6	104.1
TOWER	1222078	A0336859	2003-ANE-554-OE	43-20-54.19	070-59-01.58	74.0	54	130.3
33316 345								
TOWER	1240049	A0749214	2003-ANE-522-OE	43-10-14.50	070-54-00.49	56.4	47	104.3
35337 157 MTOWER	1297050	A1095033	2015-ANE-672-OE	43-10-10 90	070-53-52 09	42 0	45	87 7
35917 157	12970000	1110990000		45 10 10.50	070 33 32.03	12.0	40	07.7
TOWER	1064725	A0470571	98-ANE-0558-OE	43-09-33.00	070-56-39.00	74.4	45	120.7
36832 177			0.0 0.510					100 1
TOWER	1209556	A0837000	99-ANE-0718-OE	43-09-59.20	071-00-24.58	.4	57	138.1
TOWER	1031818	A0204673	97-ANE-351-OE	43-09-22.99	070-56-24.00	49.0	92	141.0
37918 175	1001010	110201070	<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>	10 00 11000		10.0	50	
TOWER	1031991	A0123301	71-NE-92-OE	43-09-22.99	070-56-24.00	49.0	77	126.0
37918 175	1010101	<u>71060600</u>		42 11 01 01	070 E1 10 01	10 (	60	01 1
38149 137	1210121	A1050525	81-ANE-165-OE	43-11-01.91	070-51-12.21	10.0	62	81.1
30119 13,	1216125	A1050522	81-ANE-165-OE	43-11-01.00	070-51-12.21	16.8	61	78.3
38216 137								
2001 6 1 2 7	1216128	A1050521	81-ANE-165-OE	43-11-01.00	070-51-12.21	14.9	61	76.7
38216 137	1216129	71050520	81-NNE-165-OF	/3-11-01 00	070-51-12 21	16 1	61	- <i>ר</i> ר
38216 137	1210129	A1030320	OI ANE 105 OE	45 11 01.00	070 31 12.21	TO•T	ΟI	//•/
TOWER	1009206	A1025319	2006-ANE-305-OE	43-10-00.40	070-51-30.70	14.6	47	62.5
42079 144								

Airspace® Version 20.1.546

AIRSPACE® and TERPS® are registered ® trademarks of Federal Airways & Airspace® Copyright © 1989 - 2020

01-22-2020 18:40:47 APPENDIX C: FCC TowAir

## **TOWAIR Determination Results**

A routine check of the coordinates, heights, and structure type you provided indicates that this structure does not require registration.

### **\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

TOWAIR's findings are not definitive or binding, and we cannot guarantee that the data in TOWAIR are fully current and accurate. In some instances, TOWAIR may yield results that differ from application of the criteria set out in 47 C.F.R. Section 17.7 and 14 C.F.R. Section 77.13. A positive finding by TOWAIR recommending notification should be given considerable weight. On the other hand, a finding by TOWAIR recommending either for or against notification is not conclusive. It is the responsibility of each ASR participant to exercise due diligence to determine if it must coordinate its structure with the FAA. TOWAIR is only one tool designed to assist ASR participants in exercising this due diligence, and further investigation may be necessary to determine if FAA coordination is appropriate.

### **DETERMINATION** Results

# PASS SLOPE(100:1)NO FAA REQ - 3181.0 Meters (10436.2 Feet)away & below slope by 12.0 Meters (39.3699 Feet)

Type C/F	Latitude	Longitude	Name	Address	Lowest Elevation (m)	Runway Length (m)
AIRP R	43-17- 17.00N	070- 56-8.00W	SKYHAVEN	STRAFFORD ROCHESTER, NH	91.4	1280.5
Your Spe	cifications					
NAD83 Co	oordinates	;				
Latitude				43-1	5-36.3 north	
Longitude				070-	57-05.4 west	:
Measurer	nents (Me	ters)				
Overall St	ructure Heig	ght (AGL)		48.8		
Support S	ructure He	ight (AGL)		45.7		
Site Eleva	ion (AMSL)			62.3		
Structure	Туре					
MTOWER -	Monopole					

#### **Tower Construction Notifications**

Notify Tribes and Historic Preservation Officers of your plans to build a tower.

CLOSE WINDOW



March 23, 2020

Ms. Michael Culbert Vice President of Site Development EIP Communications I, LLC 290 Congress Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 02210

RE: Engineer of Record Letter of Intent EIP Communications I, LLC Rochester 3 (701651 – VW2-NH-0043A) 133 Blackwater Road Rochester, NH 03867

Ms. Culbert:

ProTerra Design Group, LLC (ProTerra) as the site civil Engineer of Record for the proposed EIP Communications I, LLC telecommunications tower referenced above shall provide certification and/or documentation for the following:

- During the construction document phase of the project, ProTerra will verify that the site plans, tower design, and tower foundation design comply with the City of Rochester and the State of New Hampshire structural and electrical standards.
  - BCR300 (International Building Code 2015)
  - o ANSI/EIA/TIA-222 Rev G
  - o National Electric Code 2017
- An initial (pre-construction) Construction Control Affidavit (CCA) will be submitted outlining what, who, and how inspections will occur during construction.
- A final CCA will be submitted to the City's Code Enforcement Department verifying that the project has been constructed per the approved construction documents.

If you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely, ProTerra Design Group, LLC Jesse Moreno, PE

Managing Partner

ProTerra Design Group, LLC 4 Bay Road; Building A; Suite 200 Hadley, MA 01035 info@proterra-design.com (413)320-4918



*City of Rochester, New Hampshire* Department of Building, Zoning and Licensing Services

Abutters List Report Rochester, NH September 27, 2017

#### Subject Property:

Parcel Number: 0257-0024-0000 CAMA Number: 0257-0024-0000 Property Address: 133 BLACKWATER RD Mailing Address: SCRUTON JASON A & CARROLL KATHERINE L 20 FARMSTEAD RD FARMINGTON, NH 03835

#### Abutters:

Parcel Number:	0257-0017-0000	Mailing Address:	BOURGEOIS ERIC & LEAH
CAMA Number:	0257-0017-0000		177 BLACKWATER RD
Property Address:	177 BLACKWATER RD		ROCHESTER, NH 03867-4656
Parcel Number:	0257-0021-0000	Mailing Address:	POMEROY KEITH A & DEANNA
CAMA Number:	0257-0021-0000		153 BLACKWATER RD
Property Address:	153 BLACKWATER RD		ROCHESTER, NH 03867
Parcel Number:	0257-0022-0000	Mailing Address:	VANASSE ROBERT G & BETTER M
CAMA Number:	0257-0022-0000		145 BLACKWATER RD
Property Address:	145 BLACKWATER RD		ROCHESTER, NH 03867
Parcel Number:	0257-0023-0000	Mailing Address:	MACIVER KENNETH N
CAMA Number:	0257-0023-0000		139 BLACKWATER RD
Property Address:	139 BLACKWATER RD		ROCHESTER, NH 03867
Parcel Number:	0257-0024-0000	Mailing Address:	SCRUTON JASON A & CARROLL
CAMA Number:	0257-0024-0000		133 BLACKWATER RD
Property Address:	133 BLACKWATER RD		ROCHESTER, NH 03867
Parcel Number:	0257-0025-0000	Mailing Address:	TRAMONTOZZI ANTHONY ROBERT
CAMA Number:	0257-0025-0000		125 BLACKWATER RD
Property Address:	125 BLACKWATER RD		ROCHESTER, NH 03867-4656
Parcel Number: CAMA Number: Property Address:	0257-0026-0000 0257-0026-0000 115 BLACKWATER RD	Mailing Address:	MACIVER FAMILY REV LIV TRUST % JASON M SULLIVAN TRUSTEE PO BOX 22422 PORTSMOUTH, NH 03802-2422
Parcel Number: CAMA Number: Property Address:	0257-0027-0000 0257-0027-0000 103 BLACKWATER RD	Mailing Address:	POINT VIRGINIA ANN % VIRGINIA POINT KAUFFELT 327 BAKER LN CHARLESTON, WV 25302+2928

Parcel Number:	0257-0050-0000	Mailing Address:	BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD
CAMA Number:	0257-0050-0000		IRON HORSE PARK HIGH ST
Property Address:	422 PICKERING RD		NORTH BILERICA, MA 01862
Parcel Number:	0263-0009-0000	Mailing Address:	LAURION MARC D & TOBI L
CAMA Number:	0263-0009-0000		267 LOWELL ST
Property Address:	0 ENGLAND RD		ROCHESTER, NH 03867
Parcel Number:	0263-0010-0009	Mailing Address:	BOSTON NORTH CONSTR CO INC
CAMA Number:	0263-0010-0009		35 PAGE ST
Property Address:	55 PERERSON RD		SOMERSWORTH, NH 03878-2738
Parcel Number:	0263-0010-0010	Mailing Address:	BOSTON NORTH CONSTR CO INC
CAMA Number:	0263-0010-0010		35 PAGE ST
Property Address:	49 PERERSON RD		SOMERSWORTH, NH 03878-2738
Parcel Number:	0263-0010-0017	Mailing Address:	BOSTON NORTH CONSTR CO INC
CAMA Number:	0263-0010-0017		35 PAGE ST
Property Address:	36 PERERSON RD		SOMERSWORTH, NH 03878-2738
Parcel Number:	0263-0010-0018	Mailing Address:	BOSTON NORTH CONSTR CO INC
CAMA Number:	0263-0010-0018		35 PAGE ST
Property Address:	0 PERERSON RD		SOMERSWORTH, NH 03878-2738
Parcel Number:	0253-0065-0000	Mailing Address:	ROBERTS BARBARA J
CAMA Number:	0253-0065-0195		20 SO FUCHSIA DR
Property Address:	20 SO FUCHSIA DR		ROCHESTER, NH 03867-5203
Parcel Number:	0253-0065-0000	Mailing Address:	HERSEY JANICE & MICHAEL
CAMA Number:	0253-0065-0185		18 SO FUCHSIA DR
Property Address	18 SO FUCHSIA DR		ROCHESTER, NH 03867
Parcel Number:	0253-0065-0000	Mailing Address:	LORENTZEN PATSY L & STEPHEN L
CAMA Number:	0253-0065-0103		12 SO FUCHSIA DR
Property Address	12 SO FUCHSIA DR		ROCHESTER, NH 03867-5203
Parcel Number:	0253-0065-0000	Mailing Address:	ELLIS RICHARD F
CAMA Number:	0253-0065-0105		14 SO FUCHSIA DR
Property Address	: 14 SO FUCHSIA DR		ROCHESTER, NH 03867-5203
Parcel Number: CAMA Number: Property Address	0253-0065-0000 0253-0065-0179 : 16 SO FUCHSIA DR	Mailing Address:	ROY THERESA A REVOCABLE TRUST % ROY THERESA A TRUSTEE 16 SO FUCHSIA DR ROCHESTER, NH 03867

City of Rochester, NH City Council 31 Wakefield Street Rochester, NH 03867 Town of Wakefield, NH Board of Selectmen 2 High Street Sanbornville, NH 03872	Town of Strafford, NH Board of Selectmen Strafford Town Hall ATTN: Ellen White, Town Administrator P.O. Box 23 Strafford, NH 03884 Town of Pittsfield, NH Board of Selectmen Town Hall 85 Main Street Pittsfield, NH 03263
Town of Brookfield, NH Board of Selectmen 267 Wentworth Road Brookfield, NH 03872	Town of Chichester, NH Board of Selectmen Town Hall 54 Main Street Chichester, NH 03258
Town of Middleton, NH Board of Selectmen 182 Kings Highway Middleton, NH 03887	Town of Epsom, NH Board of Selectmen Selectmen's Office Town Office PO Box 10 940 Suncook Valley Highway Epsom, NH 03234
Town of New Durham, NH Board of Selectmen Town Hall 4 Main Street P.O. Box 207 New Durham, NH 03855	Town of Allenstown, NH Board of Selectmen 16 School Street Allenstown, NH 03275
Town of Alton, NH Board of Selectmen Attn: Alton Board of Selectmen PO BOX 659 1 Monument Square Alton, NH 03809	Town of Northwood, NH Board of Selectmen Northwood Town Hall 818 First New Hampshire Turnpike Northwood, NH. 03261
Town of Gilmanton, NH Board of Selectmen 503 Province Road P.O. Box 550 Gilmanton, NH 03237	Town of Deerfield, NH Board of Selectmen 8 Raymond Road Deerfield, NH 03037

## EIP Communications I, LLC - 133 Blackwater Road, Rochester, New Hampshire Cities/Towns Within 20 Mile Radius

Town of Barnstead NH	Town of Candia NH
Roard of Selectmen	Roard of Selectmen
Selectmen's Office	Condia Town Offices
100 Courth Downstood Dood	74 High Street
108 South Barnstead Road	74 High Street
PO Box 11	Candia, NH 03034
Center Barnstead, NH 03225	
Town of Milton, NH	Town of Barrington, NH
Board of Selectmen	Select Board
424 White Mountain Highway	333 Calef Highway
P.O. Box 310	PO Box 660
Milton, NH 03851	Barrington 03825
Town of Farmington, NH	Town of Nottingham, NH
Board of Selectmen	Board of Selectmen
Farmington Municipal Offices	PO Box 114
256 Main Street	Nottingham NH 02200
SJO Main Sueet	Nouinghani, NH 05290
Farmington, NH 03835	
Town of Paymond NH	City of Somersworth NH
Doord of Selectmen	City of Somersworth, NT
Doard of Selectinen	City Council
Raymond Town Offices	One Government way
4 Epping Street	Somersworth, NH 03878
Raymond, NH 03077	
Town of Pollinsford NH	City of Dovor NH
Devel of Coloring	City of Dover, NH
Board of Selectmen	
66/ Main Street	288 Central Avenue
P.O. Box 309	Dover, NH 03820
Rollinsford, NH 03869	
Town of Madhumy NH	Town of Loo NH
Decend of Selectmen	Select Deerd
Board of Selectmen	
13 Iown Hall Road	Lee Iown Hall
Madbury, NH 03823	/ Mast Road
	Lee, NH 03861
Town of Engine MIL	Torum of Francisch NUL
Town of Epping, INH	Town of Fremont, NH
Board of Selectmen	Board of Selectmen
157 Main Street	295 Main Street
Epping NH 03042	PO Box 120
	Fremont, NH 03044-0120
Town of Brentwood, NH	Town of Durham, NH
I Dalton Road	L'Eown Council
Brentwood, NH 03833	Town Administrator's Office
Brentwood, NH 03833	Town Administrator's Office 8 Newmarket Road
Brentwood, NH 03833	Town Administrator's Office 8 Newmarket Road Durham, NH 03824
Brentwood, NH 03833	Town Administrator's Office 8 Newmarket Road Durham, NH 03824

Town of Newmarket, NH	Town of Newfields, NH
Town Hall	Board of Selectmen
186 Main Street	65 Main Street
Newmarket, NH 03857	Newfields, NH 03856
Town of Exeter, NH	City of Portsmouth, NH
Select Board	City Council
10 Front Street	Council Chambers
Exeter, NH 03833	1 Junkins Avenue
	Portsmouth, NH 03801
Town of Greenland, NH	Town of Stratham, NH
Board of Selectmen	Select Board
PO Box 100	10 Bunker Hill Avenue
11 Town Square	Stratham, NH 03885
Greenland, NH 03840-0100	
Town of New Castle, NH	Town of Rye, NH
Select Board	Board of Selectmen
New Castle Town Hall	Rye Town Hall
49 Main Street	10 Central Road
P.O. Box 367	Rye, NH 03870
New Castle, NH 03854	
Town of North Hampton, NH	Town of Hampton, NH
233 Atlantic Avenue	Board of Selectmen
North Hampton, NH 03862	100 Winnacunnet Road
	Hampton, NH 03842
Town of Hampton Falls, NH	Town of Kensington, NH
Board of Selectmen	Board of Selectmen
1 Drinkwater Road	Kensington Town Hall
Hampton Falls, NH 03844	95 Amesbury Road
	Kensington, NH 03833

#### § 275-4.1 Powers of Board.

#### C. Special exceptions.

- (1) The Board grants special exceptions for particular uses and activities as listed in the Tables of Uses in Article 18, Use Regulations, and as articulated in Article 22, Special Exceptions.
- (2) The Board shall grant a special exception only if it reasonably determines that all of the following base criteria are met (in addition to those criteria and conditions included for specific uses in Article 22):

(a) Location. The specific site is an appropriate location for the proposed use or structure;

(b) Neighborhood. The proposed use would not be detrimental, injurious, obnoxious, or offensive to the neighborhood;

(c) Traffic. The proposed use would not create an undue hazard or nuisance to vehicular or pedestrian traffic;

(d) Public facilities. Adequate and appropriate facilities and utilities would be provided to ensure the proper operation of the proposed use or structure; and

(e) Master Plan. The proposed use or structure is consistent with the spirit of this chapter and the intent of the Master Plan

#### § 275-18.5 Special exceptions.

A use denoted in the tables by the letter "E" is permitted in that zoning district by special exception. Authorization of special exceptions is subject to review and approval by the Zoning Board of Adjustment as articulated in Article 22, Special Exceptions. For some special exceptions within specific districts there are additional standards and/or criteria that apply, beyond the base criteria applicable to all special exceptions. Where these additional standards and/or criteria apply there is a reference in the right column of the table. Always check these special criteria/conditions to see if they are applicable.

#### § 275-22.2 Base criteria.

The Zoning Board of Adjustment shall approve a special exception if, and only if, it reasonably determines that all of the following criteria are met (in addition to those criteria/conditions articulated for specific uses in § <u>275-22.3</u> below, or in addition to those articulated elsewhere in this chapter for departures from standards):

A. Location. The specific site is an appropriate location for the proposed use or structure;

**<u>B.</u>** Neighborhood. The proposed use would not be detrimental, injurious, obnoxious, or offensive to the neighborhood;

C. Traffic. The proposed use would not create an undue hazard or nuisance to vehicular or pedestrian traffic;

**D.** Public facilities. Adequate and appropriate facilities and utilities would be provided to ensure the proper operation of the proposed use or structure; and

**<u>E.</u>** Master Plan. The proposed use or structure is consistent with the spirit of this chapter and the intent of the Master Plan.

## ZONING

## 275 Attachment 4

## **City of Rochester**

## Table 18-D Industrial-Storage-Transport-Utility Uses

#### LEGEND

P = Permitted Use

C = Conditional Use

E = Use Allowed by Special Exception

Industrial Stanage	Residential Districts				Commercial Districts				Industrial Districts		Snecial		Criteria/Conditions
Transport-Utility-Uses	R1	R2	NMU	AG	DC	OC	GR	НС	GI	RI	HS	AS	Reference
Airport	_		_	Е	_		_	_	_		_	Р	Article 21
Contractor's storage yard	_			Е			_	Е	Р	Р			Articles 20 and 22
Distribution center							Р	C	Р			_	Article 21
Emergency services facility	_			_	C	C	_	C	C		Р		Article 21
Fuel storage	—	—	_		—	—	Р	E	E	—	—	—	Article 21
Helipad (accessory use)			_	Е	—	Е	Р	Е	Р	Р	Р	Р	Article 21
Industry, heavy	—	—	—	—	_	_	Р	E	Р	E	_	—	Article 21
Industry, light	—	—	—	—	—	—	Р	Р	Р	—	—	—	Article 21
Industry, recycling	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	Р	—		Articles 20 and 22
Junkyard	—	—	—			—	—	E	E	Р	—	—	Articles 20 and 22
Laundry establishment-3	—	—	—		—	—	—	Р	Р	—	—	—	
Mini-warehouse	—	—	—	—	—	—	Р	C	Р	—	—	—	Articles 20 and 21
Monument production	—	—	C			C	—	Р	Р	Р	—		Article 21
Parking lot	—	C	C	С	С	C	—	Р	C	Р	C	Р	Article 21
Printing facility	—	—	C		—	Р	Р	Р	Р	—	—	—	
Recycling facility	—	_	_		—		—	E	E	Р	_	_	Articles 20 and 22
Research and development	—	—	—		E	Р	Р	Р	Р	—	—		Article 21
Sawmill	—	_	—				—	—	E		—	—	Article 21
Sawmill, temporary	—	—	—	Р	—	Р	—	Р	Р	Р	—	Р	Article 23
(accessory use)													
Solid waste facility										Р			Articles 20 and 22
Tank farm	—	_	—	—	—	—	P	C	P	_		_	
## ROCHESTER CODE

									Indu	strial					
Industrial-Storage-	ŀ	Residentia	al District	ts	C	ommerci	al Distric	ets	Dist	ricts	Spe	cial	Criteria/Conditions		
Transport-Utility-Uses	R1	R2	NMU	AG	DC	OC	GR	HC	GI	RI	HS	AS	Reference		
Trade shop	—	—	C	_	C	C	Р	Р	Р	Р	_	_	Article 21		
Transportation service	—	—	C	_	C	—	Р	Р	C	C	_	—	Article 21		
Truck terminal	—		_	_	—		Р	_	C	C	_	_	Article 21		
Utility - substation	E	Е	Е	Е	E	C	E	Р	Р	Р	Е	Е	Article 21		
Utility - power generation	—		_	_	E		E	—	E	Е	_	_	Article 21		
Warehouse	—	—	C	_	C	C	Р	Р	Р	C	_	С	Articles 20, 21 and 23		
Wireless communications	_	_	_	E	Е	E	Р	E	Р	Р	Е	Е	Articles 20 and 22		
facility															





02	57	0024	000	0			<b>B</b> 1;	Building L 33 BLACH	ocation	RD										Acct: 1010	67			<b>.</b>	Total Card	Total Parcel
M	ар	Block	Lot				City of Rochester USE VALUE:														8,618 /	8,618				
Prope	rtv Lo	cation					In F	Process	Apprai	isal Su	mmary (Firs	st 4 Lin	nes Onl	lv)					Legal	Descripti	on		ASSESSED:		8,618 /	8,618
No.		Alt No.	Direction/St	reet/City			U	Jse Code	La	nd Size	Buildina	/alue		Yard Iter	ms	Land Val	Je	Total Value				User	Account	1		
133			BLACKWAT	ER RD,	ROCHES	STER		614		26.00		0.00		0.	00	109,665.	00	109,665.00				3	7301	1		
Owner	Ownership Unit No.					633 5.00				0.00			00	9,933.	00	9,933.00				GIS Reference						
Owner 1	scruton Jason A &						653 25.00				0.00 C			00	49,665.00 49,665.00								1			
Owner 2							Tota	Total Card				0.00		0.	00	169,263.	00	169,263.00	Ente	GIS R	eference		Patrio	t		
Owner 2				-			Tota	al Parcel		56.00		0.00		0.	00	169,263.	9,263.00 169,2		Total Land		56.00			1	Properties In	ic.
Owner 3							Sou	irce	Mkt	Adj Cost	Total	alue per	Sq Unit /C	Card	N/A	/Par	el	N/A	Land Unit Type	AC-E	CESS ACRES	Inspec	tion Date		User Denne	<b>5</b> 0
Street		U FARINSTE	AD RD				_													0257.002	4 0000					
Street 2								Parcel ID 0257-0024-0000																		
Town/Cl	ty F	ARMINGTO	•				Pre	Previous Assessment (First 9 Lines Only)												Data		ulus é				
St/Prov	1	NH	Country		0	CC N		ax Yr Use Cat Bidg Valu			e Yrd Items		20	Land Value	10121 Va	co Ast	essed value Inoles	5		10/20/201	Date	Data Timo				
Postal	0	)3835			Ту	pe		19 614	FV				56.00	00	169,263	169,2	63	0,010	End Roll		10/30/201	o 04/28/20	17:47:09	_		
Previo	us O	wner					20	10 014	FV				56.00	00	154,203	104,4	63	0,523 Teal D	End Roll		09/19/201	7	t Pov	+		
Owner 1		SCRUTON A	RTHUR W	REVOC	ABLE		20	117 014	FV				56.00	00	154,203	104,4	63	0,010 Year F	End Roll		09/07/201	Date	Time	-		
Owner 2	1	TRUST % A	N SCRUTC	N TRUS	STEE		20	15 614	FV EV				56.00	00	154,203	154,2	63	8,018 Year.e	end		10/01/201	5 10/30/19	12:13:49	-		
Street 1	:	20 FARMSTE	AD RD				20	14 614	EV		0 0		56.00	00	154,203	154.2	63	8 018 Year F	End Roll		00/20/201		ER\daray froor		ASR Map	
Town/Ci	ty	FARMINGTO	N				20	13 614	EV				56.00	00	212 968	212 0	68	8 198 Year F	End Roll		09/04/201	3 Pa	Acct	- F	actor District	
St/Prov	1	NH	Country				20	12 614	EV		0 0		56.00	00	215,300	212,	87	8,638 Year F	End Roll		09/20/201	2	0167		Reval District	
Postal		03835					20	11 614	FV		0 0		56.00	00	215,107	215,	87	8,668 Year E	End Roll		09/27/201	1 Tax	District	-	Market Area	
Negrotive Description											4		00.00		210,107	210,		0,000			00/21/201				Year	
This control control FC 0000 AC of lead might descrifted as CECD with a												Ch	ange Reason													
Building, h	aving prir	narily Exterior.	iana maning e		ao or op in		Gran	Grantor Legal Ref Type Date Sale								ale Code		Sale Price V	TSF Verification	Notes	COMMON			_		
							MAG	SCRUTON ARTHUR W REVOCABLE 32			01-282 1 (			4/23/1998 Current Use				2,007.00 No 25.000.00 Yes	No OTHER	OTHER					Card	
							CAN	MPBELL ERN	EST W & M	ARION G. 7	42-346	-346 01/09/1962			2	0.00			No				Garu			
Other	Asses	ssments																							1 of 1	
Code	Des	scription			Amou	unt Coi	m Int																			
Prope	rty Fa	ctors					Bui	ilding P	ermits	(First 8	Lines Onl	()								Activity	Informat	ion (First	11 Lines	Only)		
Item C	Code D	escription	%	Item	Code	Description		Date	Number	Description			Amount	C/0	Last Visit	Fed Code	<ul> <li>Description</li> </ul>	1 Genera	alNotes	Date	Result	г		B	M NANCY	
Zone 1	A A	GRICULTURAL	100	Utility 1	1 4	NONE	_ !	I										I		12/01/2010	DEED CHAN	GE		V	B VERNA	
Zone 2				Utility 2	2															05/05/2005	OWN ADD C	HG		G	N GAYE	
Zone 3				Utility 3	3															04/28/2005	CORRECTIO	N		T	G THERESA	
Census Trac	4			Exemp	*															12/14/2004	OWN ADD C	HG		V	V VIRGINIA	
Elood Hazar	d																			02/04/2004	VACANT LOT	т <u>с</u>		! Т	G THERESA	
District 1				Topo	4	POLLNC														12/03/2003	OWN ADD C	HG		v	VICTORIA	
District 2	NU N	OCHESTER	0	Charact	4	ROLLING														12/19/2002	OWN ADD C	HG		V	W VIRGINIA	
District 2				Street	1	PAVED																				
District 3				Traffic	2	LIGHT																				
Land S	Sectio	on (First 9	Lines (	Only)																Sign:						/ <u>/</u> _/
Use D	escription	LUC	No of	Jnits	Depth/	Unit Type	Land	LT	Bas	e	Unit Adjusted	Neigh	Neigh	Neigh	Infl 1	% Int	2 %	Infl 3	% Appra	ised Value	Alt %	Spec	Juris	Land	Assessed Value Notes	
614 C	FSD	1.000	1.00	00	PriceUnit	PRIMARY ACRE	I ype	+actor 1.00000	Valu	60.0	00.00 60.000 0	1070	1.000	Modifier						60.000	nass 0	Land 340.00		+actor 1.00000	238	
614 C	FSD	1.0000	25.0	000		EXCESS ACRES	EXCESS	1.00000	0.0	2,5	00.00 1,986.6	1070	1.000							49,665	653 100	73.00		1.00000	1,725	
614 C	FSD	1.0000	25.0	000		EXCESS ACRES	EXCESS	1.00000	0.0	2,5	00.00 1,986.6	1070	1.000							49,665	0	340.00		1.00000	5,950	
614 C	r5D	1.0000	5.00	00		EXCESS ACRES	EXCESS	1.00000	0.0	JUJ 2,5	uu.uu 1,986.6	1070	1.000	1			1			9,933	633   100	146.00	0	1.00000	705	

Total AC/HA

56.00000

Total SF/SM

2,439,360.00000

Parcel LUC

614 CFSD Prime NB Desc RESIDENTIAL

Total

169,263.00 Total

8,618

899.00 Total

Exterior Inf	ormation		Bath Featur	res	Comme	nts			Sket	ch										
Туре			Full Bath	Rating																
Story Height			A Bath	Rating																
(Liv) Units		Total	3/4 Bath	Rating																
Foudation			A 3QBath	Rating																
Frame			1/2 Bath	Rating																
Prime Wall			A HBath	Rating																
Sec Wall			Othr Fix	Rating	Res Bre	akdown	(First 4	Only)												
Roof Struct			Other Featu	ires	No Unit	Rooms	Bed Roo	ims Floo	r											
Roof Cover			Kitchen	Rating		_	T.1.1.													
Color			A Kitchen	Rating		1	Iotais													
View/Desir			Fireplace	Rating																
Bld Name			WSFlues	Rating																
General Inf	ormation		Condo Info	rmation	_															
Grade			Location		Remode	ling	Mobile H	lome												
Year Blt	Eff Yr Blt		Total Units	0	Exterior		Make													
Alt LUC	Alt %		Floor		Interior		Model													
Jurisdict	Fact	1.00000	% Own		Additions		Serial #													
Const Mod			Name		Kitchen		Year	)												
Lump Sum Ac	lj		Depreciatio	n	Baths		Color													
Interior Info	ormation		Phys Cond	AV - Average	Plumbing															
Avg Ht/Fl			Functional		Electric		02	57-0024-0000												
Prime Int Wa	ll l		Economic		Heating		Pa	arcel ID												
Sec Int Wall			Special		General															
Partition			Override		Compar	able Sal	es (First	7 Only)		Sub Ar	ea (First 8 Only)				<u>Sı</u>	ıb Ar	ea Detail (First	10 On	iy)	
Prim Floors			Т	otal	Rating Par	cel ID	Туре	Date	Sale Price	Code	Description	Area - SQ	Rate - AV	Undepr	Value	Sub	%	_%		
Sec Floors			Calc Summ	ary	W#Av\$/SO		AvPate									Area	Usbl Description	Туре	Qu	# of Tenants
Basement Floo	ors		Basic \$ / SQ	0.00000	Ind Val		Avitale			Net Sketc	hed Area	0	Iotal	<b></b>					L	
Subfloor			Size Adj	1.00000	ind var					Size Adj		Gross Area		Fin Area						
Basement Gara	age		Const Adj	1.00000																
Electric			Adj \$ / SQ	0.00000																
Insulation			Other Features	s 0.00																
Int vs Ext			Grade Factor	1.00000																
Heat Fuel			NBHD Inf	1.00000																
Heat Type			NBHD Mod	1.00000																
# Heat Sys			LUC Factor	1.00000																
% Heated	0 %/	AC 0	Adj Total	0	Juris Factor			1.00000	Before Depr		0.00									
Solar HW	No Cntrl	Vac No	Depreciation	0	Special Featur	res		0.00	Val/Su Net		0.00									
% Com Wall	0 % Spr	inkled 0	Depricated Tota	al O	Final Total			0.00	Val/Su SzAd		0.00									
O	1		1	0.1.1																

## Special Features/Yard Items (First 20 Lines Only)

Code	Description	A Y	'S Qt	y	Size/Dim	Qual	Con	Year	Unit Price	D/S	Dep	LUC	Fact	NB	Fact	Appr Value	J Code	J Fact	Juris Val
More	N	Tot	al Yard It	ems					Total Speci	ial Features						Total SFY	1		

