

City of Rochester, New Hampshire

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

CASE NO. 2-21-25

Zoning Board of Adjustment

Variance Application

TO:	BOARD	OF	ADJUS	TMENT
	CITY O	F R	OCHES"	TER

DATE FILED TOTAL TOTAL
C.9
ZONING BOARD CLERK
Applicant: Kory ferullo / Emma Rhodes
E-mail: Kferulla, NHagmail, con Phone: 603-973-4647
Applicant Address: 19 Podge St
Property Owner (if different): Foster Family Revocable Trust
Property Owner Address: 25 Dodge Sta
Variance Address: 25 Dodge Str
Map Lot and Block No: 127 - 120
Description of Property: Single family, 1000 sq/ft Cape cu/ 2 car gara
Proposed use or existing use affected: <u>Carger</u> fence than 6 ft
The undersigned hereby requests a variance to the terms of the Rochester Zoning Ordinance, Ch. 275, Section 3.10(b)
and asks that said terms be waived to permit 8 Fr fence

The undersigned alleges that the following circumstances exist which prevent the proper enjoyment of his land under the strict terms of the Zoning Ordinance and thus constitute grounds for a variance. I understand that while presenting my case the testimony should be confined to the 5 criteria and how they pertain to my case.





City of Rochester, New Hampshire

Zoning Board of Adjustment

Variance Criteria

neighbors hardly to be seen from street would be good quality appealing looking fence. Inly to seperate & block view of one adjacent neighbors back yard. If the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would be observed because: If yould diminish the view of the neighbors yard, which has abundance of items in yard, as well as dogs who semetimes bark when seeing other dogs— just as own also does as well. Our dog does as well. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because: We have a large dog who backs when seeing other dogs— this fence would not allow her to see into the yard including when hes on back deck to dec amount of bark noise at all hows. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: the tence would be high quality appealing looking, and well maintained. It would also additional privacy to our residence and next door heigh bors.	1) Granting the variance would not be contrary to the public interest because: 1 Side of fence would be 8ft in backyard of house (&
appealing 10 king fence. Inly to seperate & block view of one adjacent neighbors back, yard. If the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would be observed because: If would diminish the view of the neadbors yard which has abundance of terms in yard as well as doos on the property of the variance would do substantial justice because: If a vould grant dogs well of the value of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the property because: If the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one be	
if the variance were granted, the spirit of the ordinance would be observed because: He would diminish the view of the neighbors yard, which has abundance of telms in yard, as well as dogs our dog does as well. Oranting the variance would do substantial justice because: We have a large day who racks when seeing other dast this fence would not allow here to see into the yard including when hes on mack deck to allow here to see into the yard including when hes on mack deck to allow here to see into the yard including when hes on mack deck to allow here to see into the yard including when he fine would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: If the variance were granted, the values of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: I No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: I No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance to the property secures. And: II The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the properties in the area, the property day of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable geasonable use of it.	- madeline Thatting Conce Andre - production of the delice
which has abundance of terms in ward, as well as dogs of the symbol and as well as dogs of the symbol and the symbol as well as dogs of the symbol and the symbol as well as dogs of the symbol as well as the symbol as the symbol as well as the symbol as well as the symbol	adjacent neighbors back yard.
Mile Simetimes bayk when seeing other dogs— just as own dogs does as well. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because: We have a large dog who backs when seeing other dogs— this fence would not allow her to see into the yard including when hes on wack deck) to dec amount of park notse at all hours. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: The trick would be high availity appealing looking and well maintained it would be high additional privacy to our residence and next door neigh boys. Ounnecessary Hardship: a. Owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: The heighbors have an abundance of them kept in the back yard which is well visualized from our yard decing it. The proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because: If the proposed use is a reasonable pne because the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinan	
Granting the variance would do substantial justice because: We have a large dog who marks when seeing other dogs—this fence would not allow her to see into the yard including when hes on mark deck to dec amount of park noise at all hours. If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: The fine variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: The fine variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: The fine variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: I No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: The heigh ports have an abundance of time kept in the back yard, which is well visualized from our yiews of the property decause: And: II. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: The high ports of the property daily function or views of the property day of the property day of the property decause they will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable peasonable use of it.	which has abundance of items in yord, as well as dogs who sometimes back when seeing other dogs inst as
Me have a large dog who backs when seeing other dogs—this fence would not allow her to see into the ward (including when hes on mack deck) to dec amount of park note at allowing the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: the trice would be high quality appealing libking and well manhaned it would asso additional privacy to our residence that he would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the neighbors have an abundance of them kept in the back yard which is well visualized from our ward december of the proposed use is a reasonable one because: And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: the neighbors have an abundance of the property because the provacy from us. And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: the hard ward they are left with minimal privacy from us. And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: the hard ward they are left with minimal privacy from us. Explain how, if the criteria in subparagraph (A) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable geasonable use of it.	
And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable pne because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable pne because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable pne because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable pne because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable pne because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable pne because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable pne because: iii. The proposed u	
) If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: the tonce were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: the tonce were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: the tonce were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because: in the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the properties in the area onable one because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iiii. The proposed use is a reaso	
Unnecessary Hardship: a. Owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the heighbors have an abundance of them out hard december the property because: And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If the proposed use is a reasonable use of it.	
Unnecessary Hardship: a. Owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the neighbors have an abundance of terms kept in the back yard which is well visualized from our yard decing the property day of the property day of the property day. And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: the neighbors have an abundance of terms when you yard decing the proposed use is a reasonable one because: the proposed use is a reasonable of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	4.) If the variance were granted, the values of the surrounding properties would not be diminished because:
OUN residence and pext door neighbors a. Owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the heighbors have an abundance of them exert in the hack yard which is well visualized from our yard december of the proposed use is a reasonable one because: And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: the high they are left with minimal provacy from use. And: b. Explain how, if the criteria in subparagraph (A) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	will man interest of the inter
Ounnecessary Hardship: a. Owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the neighbors have an abundance of tems kept in the back yard, which is well visualized from our yard decing the proposed use is a reasonable one because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because:	
a. Owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the neighbors have an abundance of them kept in the back yard, which is well visualized from own yard decorded to the property decorded to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonable use of it.	our restorence and treat moor regimes.
a. Owning to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the neighbors have an abundance of them kept in the back yard, which is well visualized from our yard decording the back yard, which is well visualized from our yard form us. And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If t	5.) Unnecessary Hardship:
denial of the variance would result in an unnecessary hardship because: i. No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general public purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the neighbors have an abundance of terms kept in the back yard, which is well visualized from our yard deconvertive and its minimal provacy from us. And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not higher their daily function or views of the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not higher their daily function or views of the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not higher their daily function or views of the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not higher their daily function or views of the proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not higher their daily function or views of the proposed use is a reasonable will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonable use of it.	
provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: the neighbors have an abundance of items kept in the back yard, which is well visualized from our yard decentric to the proposed use is a reasonable one because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reason	
And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not have an abundance of terms kept in the back yard, which is well visualized from our yard decing return they are left with minimal privacy from us. And: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not have their daily function or views of the will be defined in our yard they can still see if they wish from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	
And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not hinger their daily function or views of their ability to see what we are allowed they can still see if they wish from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonable use of it.	
And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: iii. The proposed use is a reasonable on the proposed use of the propos	
And: ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: I WILL NOT HALV DAILY FUNCTION OF VIEWS OF MALVE, etc. MINUS THEIR ADULTUTE SEE IF THEY WISH FORM b. Explain how, if the criteria in subparagraph (A) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	UN MATURIA INDICATO AND LOCAL AND INC.
ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not hinger their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of th	The return gray are left butth minimal privacy troin as.
ii. The proposed use is a reasonable one because: If will not hinger their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of the life of their daily function or views of th	And:
b. Explain how, if the criteria in subparagraph (A) are not established, an unnecessary hardship will be deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	
deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	it will not hinder their daily function or views of
deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	nature, etc. minus their ability to see what we are
deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	acing in our yard They can still see if they wish from
deemed to exist if, and only if, owning to the special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	POSSIBLE OTHER areas, * * on the side
other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	
ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it.	other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in the strict conformance with the
We - and the neighbors have decreased privacy as	ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable reasonable use of it
unnecessaria at theirs and wife werea	We - and the neighbors have decreased privacy as well as increased chance of our dog barking
WITHERAMING AT TIMETO WITH VICE VETOU.	WHITE WASHING OF THE VEROL.

§ 275-23.2Standards for specific accessory uses.

A.

The following standards shall apply to these specific accessory uses, activities, structures, and situations wherever they are allowed:

(1)

Accessory apartment. An accessory apartment is permitted subject to compliance with all of the following standards and procedures:

[Amended 4-4-2017]

<u>(a)</u>

It is permitted where and as specified in the Tables of Uses (by right or by special exception). However, if the accessory dwelling is detached from the single-family dwelling, it must be approved by a special exception;

(b)

It is accessory to a single-family dwelling only and if detached from the single-family dwelling it is similar in architectural style;

(c)

It must be two bedrooms or less;

<u>(d)</u>

It may not exceed 800 square feet;

<u>(e)</u>

It may be either part of the single-family dwelling or in a separate building, such as above a garage; if it is part of the single-family dwelling, an interior door shall be provided between the principal dwelling unit and the accessory dwelling unit; [Amended 3-5-2019]

<u>(f)</u>

There may be only one per lot;

<u>(g)</u>

The owner of the property must occupy one of the dwelling units and the owner must demonstrate that one of the dwelling units is his/her principal place of residence;

<u>(h)</u>

At least one parking space must be provided for the unit;

<u>(i)</u>

Where municipal sewer service is not provided, the septic system shall meet NHDES requirements for the combined system demand for total occupancy of the property; and

<u>(i)</u>

It is exempt from site plan review but a letter of intent must be submitted to the Building Inspector to ensure that the above conditions are met.

(k)

If it is a security apartment, it shall not exceed 800 square feet and it shall be attached to or located with an allowed commercial, office or industrial use. Such unit may be occupied by the business owner, family member or employee whose purpose is to provide security and/or protection of the business premises. This use shall require site plan review.

<u>(I)</u>

If it is a caretaker apartment it shall be attached to or located with an allowed residential or nonresidential use and it shall be occupied by the owner, family member or employee of the principal use and the gross floor area does not exceed 800 square feet. This use shall require site plan review.

(2)

Accessory sales and service.

<u>(a)</u>

Small-scale retail sales and services may be offered as an accessory use in nonresidential districts which do not permit retail sales and service (including Airport, Hospital, Industrial, and Office Commercial Districts) where the activity is clearly established to serve on-site employees or customers of the principal use in an incidental, supportive, or subordinate manner.

<u>(b)</u>

Generally, but not necessarily, such accessory uses are situated on the interior of the building housing the principal use with no separate entrance and no exterior evidence of the operation. (Examples: A newspaper or flower vendor located in the lobby of an industrial facility; a card shop located inside a hospital; a cafeteria or exercise facility serving employees.)

<u>(3)</u>

Animals and pets, keeping of. The following standards apply to the keeping of animals in a residence:

<u>(a)</u>

Household pets. Keeping typical household animals as pets, including dogs, cats, birds, small turtles, etc., is an accessory use provided their presence does not become a nuisance or a health hazard.

(b)

Breeding pets. Breeding numerous animals on a frequent or regular basis for sale is considered a business and not an accessory use.

(c)

Exotic animals. Keeping exotic animals as pets is an accessory use provided that one's ownership of the animals:

[1]

Does not present a safety hazard to neighbors and the public;

[2]

Does not pose a nuisance to neighbors; and

[3]

Is in full compliance with all applicable local, state, and federal law.

(d)

Livestock as pets. Keeping any small animals ordinarily defined as livestock as pets (such as chickens, but not including roosters) is an accessory use provided that:

[1]

The animal lives in the house and is treated as a household pet;

[2]

Reasonable accommodations are made for the animal in the house:

[3]

The animal does not pose a health hazard; and

[4]

The animal does not pose a nuisance to neighbors.

<u>(e)</u>

Horses and other large livestock. Keeping fewer than 10 horses or other large animals defined as livestock is an accessory use to a residence subject to the following standards:

[1]

The activity is not carried out as a business.

[2]

The residence is not located in the Residential-1 or -2 District.

[3]

A lot in the AG District is at least three gross acres.

[4]

A lot in all other districts is at least two gross acres.

[5]

There is an additional 1/4 acre of land beyond the minimum specified in Subsection A(3)(e)[3] and [4] above for each animal kept beyond the first one.

[6]

No area or structure for the housing, stabling, storage of manure/animal waste, or feeding of animals shall be located within 100 feet of any property line.

[7]

Handling of manure/animal waste must follow best management practices and not be a nuisance for neighbors.

[8]

No animals shall be pastured within 25 feet of any side or rear property line except where the abutting property owner consents to a reduced setback.

<u>(f)</u>

Chickens, fowl and other small livestock. Keeping fewer than 10 chickens, ducks, rabbits, bee hives, or other small animals defined as livestock is an accessory use to a residence subject to the following standards:

[1]

The activity is not carried out as a business;

[2]

No roosters are allowed and the number of chickens is limited to fewer than 10 in the Residential-1 and -2 Districts;

[3]

No area or structure for the housing, storage of manure/animal waste, or feeding of animals shall be located within 20 feet of any abutting property lines; and

[4]

Handling of manure/animal waste must follow best management practices and not be a nuisance for neighbors.

<u>(4)</u>

Clubhouse, community center, recreation facilities, laundry, storage areas, and other facilities for a residential development.

<u>(a)</u>

The Planning Board shall review these uses for location, design, size, additional parking, and setback requirements.

<u>(b)</u>

These uses and facilities shall only be used by residents of the development and their guests.

(c)

There shall be no outside storage of materials or equipment.

(d)

Buffers and screening shall be used to help alleviate any adverse effects on abutting residential properties.

(e)

There shall be no emission of noise, odor, dust, vibrations, or smoke beyond property lines.

(5)

Commercial vehicles, parking of. Parking commercial vehicles outdoors overnight within residential districts is permitted as an accessory use as follows:

(a)

One small commercial vehicle, a passenger automobile, pickup truck or van, is allowed. Additional commercial vehicles may be allowed by special exception.

<u>(b)</u>

Other larger types of commercial vehicles, those weighing over 26,000 pounds gross vehicle weight, are permitted only by special exception subject to the property owner being able to provide adequate buffering and sound mitigation.

<u>(c)</u>

Adequate off-street parking must be provided. Parking shall not be within any public right-of-way.

<u>(6)</u>

Construction trailer. A construction trailer is allowed when used in conjunction with an onsite construction project provided that:

<u>(a)</u>

The placement of the trailer, including location, is approved by the Director of Building, Zoning, and Licensing Services;

<u>(b)</u>

The trailer is not used for living or sleeping; and

<u>(c)</u>

It is removed when the project is completed, terminated, or suspended for longer than four months.

<u>(7)</u>

Drive-through window. A drive-through window is subject to the following provisions:

<u>(a)</u>

It must be located at the side or rear of the building;

(b)

It is not permitted in NMU District; and

(c)

Where adjacent to a residential property at the side or the rear, the drive-through window must have a thirty-five-foot buffer with appropriate planting or screening.

(8)

Driveways. See Article 5, Residential Zoning Districts, Article 6, Commercial Zoning Districts, and Article 26, Roads and Parking.

(9)

Dwelling for farm workers. This use is allowed by conditional use. The Planning Board shall review the location, design, and density of the dwelling.

<u>(10)</u>

Fence. Fences, walls, and similar structures (referred to herein simply as "fences") must be in compliance with the following requirements:

<u>(a)</u>

A fence permit issued by the Director of Building, Zoning, and Licensing Services is required prior to the erection of any fence.

<u>(b)</u>

The maximum fence height (from grade) in residential districts is six feet. Greater heights may be approved by special exception.





25 Dodge Street

Rochester, NH

1 inch = 40 Feet



www.cai-tech.com





Land Use 1010 Print Date 10/27/2021 1:54:58 P	VISION ROCHESTER, NH	Descri Prior Assesse	140,900 0 3,100 69,800	213,800	O		213,800	Notes	PER REMITTE FOR 2020; Q; BL; D; F;		Notes		d Value 69,800
	Current Assesse 140,900 69,800 3,100	[e (Card) Value (Bldg) Blda)	/alue			Value	VISIT / CHANGE HISTORY Purpost/Result	OWN ADD CHG EXMPT OUT MEAS+INSPCTD EXMPT ADD NO INSP MEAS+INSPCTD		Appraised Assessed Value	00	Total Land Value
1 Account # 4622 1 Bldg # 1	LUC Co Prior Assessed 101 140,900 101 69,800 101 3,000 Total 213,700	PREVIOUS ASSESSMENTS (HISTORY) Prior Assesse Year Descri Prior Assess Year 140,900 2020 BLDG 140,900 2020 S,000 3,000 C C C C C C C C C	Appraised Building Value (Card) Appraised Extra Feature Value (Bldg) Appraised Outbuilding Value (Bldg) Appraised Land Value (Bldg)	Total Appraised Parcel Value	Valuation Method		Total Appraised Parcel Value	Date Id	20 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Infi3 Adj UnitPrice	205,375	
Card # 1 of Sec # 1 of	Description BLDG LAND OB	Year Descri 2019 BLDG LAND OB								CTION	ij Infl2 Infl2 Adj Infl3		0
Parcel ID 0127/ 0120/ 00		SALE CODE 44 00 00 999				Notes				LAND	Nb Adj Infl1 Infl1 Adj	1.000	d Area 0.34 AC
	TOPO 14BORHOOD 1302 Code Code	SALE PRICE 4,00		UNF=TQS	WIT RECORD	% C Stat					Cond Nbhd	2.65000 1.00 1302	Parcel Total Land Area
	SEWER ST/TRAF				K	Price Insp Date 9				-	Loc Adj UnitPric Size Adj	P 1.000 77,500 2.65000	Units 0.34 AC
25 DODGE ST	α				1	Description				-	LandU Land Type	0.340 PRIMARY	Total Card Land Units
Property Location 25 DODGE Vision ID 4622 CURRENT OWNER	FOSTER FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUS % EDWARD & DOROTHY FOSTER 14 TOON LN LEE NH 03861 SALES INFORMATION- GRANTEE	SALES INFORMATION- GRANTEE FOSTER FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST FOSTER EDWARD & DOROTHY	·			Issue Date Permit Id					_	1 1010 SINGLE FA	Disclaimer. This information is believed to be correct but is subject to chance and is not warranteed.

Land Use 1010 Print Date 10/27/2021 1:55:00 P 30 8 TOS FFL BMT (1,000 st) 2 24 3 4622 Account # Bldg # 1 EFP 14 14 ಕ ಕ 3,100 16,243 3,738 1,972 100,168 3,428 33,389 10,268 133,557 Card # Sec # 202,595 OB - OUTBUILDING & YARD ITEMS(L) / XF - BUILDING EXTRA FEATURES(B)

UB | Qnty | Dim 1 | Dim 2 | Grade | Condition | Yr Blt | % Gd | Unit Price | Grade Adj. | Appr. Value Undepreciated Value 678 SA 2 CNFP (750 sf) 33.39 73.34 133.56 28.20 46.73 30.82 **Unit Cost** 28.15 Parcel ID 0127/0120/0000// CONSTRUCTION DETAIL (CONTINUED) Building # Section # Description % Owner 750 -750 0 Complex # 1,00, 62 140,900 1,250 VALUATION 227,233 BUILDING SUB-AREA SUMMARY SECTION 1.000 8 Eff Area 1961 38 G CONDO DAT 2009 COST / MARKET Cost to Cure Ovr Comment 929 ,000 -750 152 3,262 00, 5 Code Floor Area ဗ Misc Imp Ovr Comment 0 ⋛ **Building Value New** Dep Ovr Comment Depreciation Code Functional Obsol Extra Fixture(s Extra Fix Ratin Year Remodeled Cost to Cure Ovr Remodel Rating Economic Obsol Condo Location Depreciation % Adjust Type Half Bath Rati Percent Good Misc Imp Ovr 750 -750 0 Condo Main Frend Factor 90, 000 Condition % Living Area Condo Floor Dep % Ovr Special Adj rear Built RCNLD Ttl Gross Liv / Lease Area FRAME SHED BULKHEAD/FRAM 4524 CONSTRUCTION DETAIL WD SHINGLES RIB/CORR MTL DRYWALL 5 FORCED H/W CONCRETE Residential AVERAGE AVERAGE UNFINISHED AREA ADJ WOOD DECK 25 DODGE ST GABLE L/B Onty CAPE Description SAME 듬 OPEN PORCH **ENCL PORCH** ST FLOOR 12 57.00 3/4 STORY 0.00 SHED FRAME GARAGE Code Description
01 SHED FRAME 2 g o 0222 5 08 28 Property Location 4622 3/4 Bath(s) 3/4 Bath Rating Half Bath(s) Half Bath Ratin Residential Unit Basement Floor # Heat Systems 2nd Ext Wall % Exterior Wall 1 Extra Fixture(s) Exterior Wall 2 Roof Structure 2nd Int Wall % 2nd Heat Type Interior Floor 1 Interior Floor 2 2nd % Heated Interior Wall 2 Interior Wall 1 Element Comm Units Bath Rating Roof Cover Full Bath(s) **AC Percent** Vision ID Bedrooms Heat Type % Heated Heat Fuel Code Stories Grade FFL GAR OFP STG TQS UNFIN Model Style