

Rochester Conservation Commission

Minutes of April 22, 2020

(These minutes were approved on June 24, 2020)

Members Present:

Mike Dionne Mark Jennings Barbara Soley
Dan Nickerson Kevin Sullivan

Members Absent:

Michael Kirwan Sheila Lulek Meredith Lineweber

Staff: Seth Creighton, Chief Planner

Mr. Dionne convened the meeting at 6:33 pm and appointed Mr. Nickerson to vote in place of Ms. Lineweber.

Minutes: the meeting minutes of February 26, 2020 were reviewed. *Mr. Sullivan motioned to accept the minutes as presented and Mr. Nickerson seconded. The motion passed unanimously.*

1) Conservation Overlay District: Conservation Overlay District: The following:

a) Kelmar Drive – Mr. Creighton spoke to this application; the application revolves around proposed drainage amendments to an approved subdivision, and the related proposed wetland buffer impacts. Mr. Jennings motioned to approve the application as presented, Ms. Soley seconded, the motion unanimously passed.

b) Freedom Drive- Mr. Scott Lawler, PE c/o Norway Plains Associates represented this proposed subdivision and its related wetland/wetland buffer impacts. The Commission questioned culvert sizing, wetland functions and values, and stormwater treatment. Mr. Lawler addressed each one of the questions. Mr. Dionne motioned to approve the request, Mr. Nickerson seconded, all voted in favor.

c) Ten Rod Rd/Innovation Drive – Mr. Creighton presented this application for a new industrial development and its proposed wetland buffer impacts; he explained this is an older lot that was previously excavated. Mr. Dionne and Mr. Jennings noted that they were familiar with the property. Mr. Sullivan motioned to approve the application with the condition that roof runoff be kept off the pavement, and that snow storage not be dumped in the wetland buffer; Mr. Jennings seconded, the motion passed unanimously.

2. NH DES Wetland/Shoreland Applications: “Rochester DPW –Woodman Area

Improvements” – Mr. Creighton presented this project, and reminded the Commission they had reviewed a similar proposal a year or two ago, but the project had never been started. The Commission decided they would like DPW present so that drainage and erosion control questions could be addressed. No motion was taken.

3. Non-Public Session pursuant to RSA 91-A:3 II (d): Discussion of acquisition of real property and/or recent site walks LACE Sheets. A motion was made by Mr. Dionne to enter into to non-public at 7:17pm and seconded by Ms. Soley. The motion passed. At 7:59pm, the regular meeting reconvened.

4. Adjournment: Mr. Sullivan motioned to adjourn at 7:59pm, and Ms. Soley seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Seth Creighton, AICP
Chief Planner