ADDENDUM NO. 1

то

BIDDING AND CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS

FOR

2016 PAVEMENT & HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

CITY OF ROCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE

BID NO. 16-13

This Addendum amends and/or supplements the Bid Documents as indicated below. Only these items alter the Bid Documents; any conflicting oral discussions or responses are hereby declared null and void.

Respondents shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum as required in the Bid Proposal.

INFORMATION FOR BIDDERS

1. Page 2, Paragraph 1 - BIDS DUE DATE

Change the Bids Due date from "September 17, 2015" to "September 24, 2015".

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS OF SIGNIFICANCE RECEIVED DURING THE BIDDING PERIOD

Respondents are advised to read the following answers to questions and comments raised regarding this RFQ prior to submitting qualifications.

1. **Question:** On page 39, specification 1.6 in paragraph 6 the City is requesting the following, "The reclaim process shall consist of two (2) passes with the reclaim machinery". Will this be two (2) separate passes including the blending the addition of the 1.5" stone, or will this process be three (3) total passes?

Answer: 2 total passes; if stone is necessary, it shall be added within the 2nd pass.

2. **Question:** Item #3 - 1.5" Stone, the quantity is 500 tons. Is the City expecting the Contractor to meet the gradation provided with the 500 tons of 1.5" stone over the entire 25,000 SY of reclaimed area? Or is this an approximate quantity?

Answer: The 1.5" stone is to meet gradation, if necessary; or, it is to be used in other areas that may require stone. The 500 tons of 1.5" Stone is an approximate quantity for bidding purposes.

3. **Question:** The specification states the following "Reclaim material shall conform to the following gradation of finished materials". If we cannot meet this Gradation with the two (2) passes of reclaiming including the addition of the 1.5" stone will rehandling (Crushing or Screening) be expected? Is the

City's intent to meet the gradation provided or the Section 306 Section in the NHDOT Standard Specifications For Bridges And Highway Construction? Both are referenced in this specification.

Answer: The City's intent is to meet the gradation provided in the bid specification. No crushing or screening is anticipated.

4. **Question:** Reclamation, Is it the intent to leave the reclaim material in place? Or will we be rehandling the material to get the road back to existing grades?

Answer: It is the intent to use as much reclaim as possible within the new roadway. Re-handling and shaping of material (roadway) shall be expected to provide positive drain and highway geometry as directed. All surplus material shall be delivered within City limits.

5. **Question:** Loam & Seed, Will the contractor be required to perform the first cut and fertilization of seeded areas?

Answer: Yes.

6. **Question:** Incidental, What is the location of this work? What is the scope of this work?

Answer: See description of Item 18 - Incidental Construction in the Specifications.

7. **Question:** Where are the locations of the DIs and is there pipe associated with this item?

Answer: See description of Item 18 - Incidental Construction and Item 25 - Drop Inlets in the Specifications.

8. **Question:** In item #14 the City shows a quantity of 30 remove & resets, yet the quantity of new frames & grates doesn't add up. Is it the City's intention to just replace the really bad ones that are currently there?

Answer: It is the intent of this contract to replace castings as necessary – to be determined in the field.

9. **Question:** What testing will be required? Who is responsible for payment?

Answer: Testing will be coordinated and paid for by the City, as deemed necessary.