# CITY OF ROCHESTER NOTICE of PUBLIC MEETING: 

## FINANCE COMMITTEE

Meeting Information<br>Date: July 9, 2019<br>Time: 7:00 P.M.<br>Location: City Council Chambers<br>31 Wakefield Street<br>Rochester, New Hampshire<br>Agenda

1. Call to Order
2. Public Input
3. Unfinished Business
4. New Business
4.1 Fire Department FY20 Bid Waiver Request: Page 2
4.2 79E Application 22 So. Main Street: Page 8
4.3 Veterans Credits and Elderly Exemptions-Discussion: Page 24
4.4 Non Union Annual CPI Wage Scale Adjustments: Page 27
4.5 Purchasing Procedures- Administrative Ordinance 7-40 Discussion: Page 31
5. Reports from Finance $\&$ Administration
5.1 Report on Tax Deeded Property: Page 40
5.2 Report on Sale of City Property: Page 41
5.3 Monthly Financial Report Summaries June 30, 2019: Page 42

FY19 Revenues Page 43
FY19 Expenses Page 45
FY19 General Fund Salary \& Benefits Page 48
6. Other
7. Adjournment

# FINANCE COMMITTEE <br> Agenda Item 

Agenda Item Name: Fire Department-Bid Waiver Request-Swift Water Boat

Date Submitted: June 24, 2019

Name of Person Submitting Item: Fire Chief Mark Klose

E-mail Address: mark.klose@rochesternh.net

Meeting Date Requested: July 9, 2019

Issue Summary Statement: Fire Chief Klose submitted a request for bid waiver related to the swift water rescue boat. FY20 CIP adopted budget for the boat is $\$ 28,000$. Finance Department was reluctant to grant the waiver as FY20 budget discussion on the boat purchase was detailed, and Finance recalls Council requested Fire Chief to come back to Council before a boat was actually purchased.

Recommended Action: Approval of the bid waiver.

## Sales Quote

Industrial Protection Services, LLc
33 Northwestern Drive, Salem, NH 03079
125 Roberts Rd, Ste 4, South Portland, ME 04106
www.jpp-ips.com

Date:
14-Jun-2019

Bill To:
Chief Mark Klose
Rochester Fire Department
37 Wakefield Street
Rochester, NH 03867
603.330.7183
mark.klose@rochesternh.net

| Salesperson | Shipping Method | Purchase Order No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kevin LaChapelle | KL WILL DELIVER | BOAT/H2O RSQ GEAR |


| Qty | Item \# | Description | Each Price | Line Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 23012.02.124 | Kokatat Men's Gortex Mens Dry Suit | 906.41 | 1,812.82 |
|  |  | Size: XL Color: RED |  |  |
| 2 | 23012.02.125 | Kokatat Men's Gortex Mens Dry Suit | 953.75 | 1,907.50 |
|  |  | Size:XXL Color: RED |  |  |
| 4 | 40025.01.101/2149 | NRS RapidRescuer PFD | 162.18 | 648.72 |
| 6 | 42604.01.104/2042Y | NRS Havoc Livery Helmet | 31.73 | 190.38 |
| 4 | 55003.02.108 | NRS Purest Mesh Duffel Bag | 38.78 | 155.12 |
| 4 | 5000.01.102 | NRS Tow Tether | 21.09 | 84.36 |
| 4 | 45101.01.100/1842 | NRS Compact Throw Bag | 70.52 | 282.08 |
| 8 | 45301.01.102 | Fox 40 Safety Whistle | 4.42 | 35.36 |
| 4 | 47300.02.101 | NRS Pilot Knife | 35.26 | 141.04 |
| 4 | 25036.02.102 | NRS Tactical Gloves | 38.78 | 155.12 |
| 4 | 30029.01.102/2336 | NRS ATB Wet Shoes | 49.36 | 197.44 |
| 4 | 10132.03.101 | NRS Expedition Union Suit (THERMALS) | 105.77 | 423.08 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1580 | Stearns Anti Exposure Suits | 494.76 | 1,979.04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 14' InMar Rescue Boat as Specified | 8,484.85 | 8.484 .85 |
|  |  | with accessories |  |  |
| 1 |  | Evinrude 40hp Rescue Pro Jet Prop Motor | 7,742.00 | 7,742.00 |
| 1 |  | Load Rite Trailer as Specified | 1,345.00 | 1,345.00 |
|  | Alyole |  | Quoted Freight Charges |  |
| Email: klachapelle(c)ipp-ips.com |  |  |  | \$ 25,583.91 |
| Cell: 6 | 52 Fax: 978-658-0257 |  |  |  |



| SHIPPING INFORMATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | BOAT |
|  | - Package dimensions: 54x28x18 (0.26 cbm.) |
|  | - Package weight: 202 lbs. |
| - | FLOOR |
|  | - Package dimensions: $45 \times 29 \times 13$ (0.26 cbm.) |
|  | - Package weight: 158 lbs . |

## OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT:

Quick-Fill field inflation system (SCUBA or SCBA)
Scuppers set (High volume water drainage)
Solas reflective panels (High night visability)


## Sales Quote

Industrial Protection Services, LLc

33 Northwestern Drive, Salem، NH 03079
125 Roberts Rd, Ste 4, South Portland, ME 04106
www.ipp-ips.com

Date:<br>14-Jun-2019

## Chief Mark Klose <br> Ship to:

Rochester Fire Department
37 Wakefield Street
Rochester, NH 03867
603.330.7183
mark.klose@rochesternh.net

| Salesperson | Shipping Method |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kevin LaChapelle | KL WILL DELIVER |


| Qty | Item \# | Description | Each Price | Line Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 23012.02.124 | Kokatat Men's Gortex Mens Dry Suit | 906.41 | 1,812.82 |
|  |  | Size: XL Color: RED |  |  |
| 2 | 23012.02.125 | Kokatat Men's Gortex Mens Dry Suit | 953.75 | 1,907.50 |
|  |  | Size:XXL Color: RED |  |  |
| 4 | 40025.01.101/2149 | NRS RapidRescuer PFD | 162.18 | 648.72 |
| 6 | 42604.01.104/2042Y | NRS Havoc Livery Helmet | 31.73 | 190.38 |
| 4 | 55003.02.108 | NRS Purest Mesh Duffel Bag | 38.78 | 155.12 |
| 4 | 5000.01.102 | NRS Tow Tether | 21.09 | 84.36 |
| 4 | 45101.01.100/1842 | NRS Compact Throw Bag | 70.52 | 282.08 |
| 8 | 45301.01.102 | Fox 40 Safety Whistle | 4.42 | 35.36 |
| 4 | 47300.02.101 | NRS Pilot Knife | 35.26 | 141.04 |
| 4 | 25036.02.102 | NRS Tactical Gloves | 38.78 | 155.12 |
| 4 | 30029.01.102/2336 | NRS ATB Wet Shoes | 49.36 | 197.44 |
| 4 | 10132.03.101 | NRS Expedition Union Suit (THERMALS) | 105.77 | 423.08 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1580 | Stearns Anti Exposure Suits | 494.76 | 1,979.04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 13'9" Zodiac RescueBoat as Specified | 13,802.00 | 13,802.00 |
|  |  | with accessories |  |  |
| 1 |  | Evinrude 40hp Rescue Pro Jet Prop Motor | 7,742.00 | 7,742.00 |
| 1 |  | Load Rite Trailer as Specified | 1,345.00 | 1,345.00 |

## Quoted Freight Charges

Email: Klachapelle(o) lpp-ips.com
$\$$
30,901.06
Cell: 603-512-0852 Fax: 978-658-0257

Maritime Solutions, Inc 1250 East Main Rd.
Portsmouth, RI 02871
www.msi-1.com
Voice: 401-682-2244
Fax: 401-682-1632

## Quoted To:

Rochester NH FD
37 Wakefield St
Rochester, NH 02867

| Customer ID | Good Thru | Payment Terms | Sales Rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rochester NH FD | $12 / 28 / 17$ | C.O.D. |  |



# FINANCE COMMITTEE <br> Agenda Item 

Agenda Item Name: RSA 79E for 22 South Main Street

Date Submitted: 7/1/2019

## Name of Person Submitting Item:

Norm and Stacie Vetter, owner
Shannon Alther, Engineer from TMS Architects

## E-mail Address:

norm@normanvetterfoundations.com staci@normanvetterfoundations.com
Pod1@tms-architects.com
Meeting Date Requested: July 9, 2019

Issue Summary Statement: Request for tax relief using RSA 79E for 22 South Main Street. The owners will be rehabbing approximately 12,000 square feet of the basement and first floor for restaurant and / or commercial space. The second floor containing 6,000 square feet will be converted from office to residential. Cost of construction is listed as $\$ 1,404,500$ which exceeds the $\$ 75,000$ or $15 \%$ of pre-assessed value which is required. They are requesting tax relief for 11 years.

Recommended Action: RSA 79-E places time limits on the governing body to render a decision. In this case the time period to hold a public hearing before July 22, 2019. A final decision by City Council is required within 45 days of the public hearing.

Request the Finance Committee's support for tax relief on 22 South Main Street to support goals and objectives of the Downtown Revitalization Master Plan. Also request the Finance Committee to recommend the appropriate number of years and covenants in accordance of the provisions of RSA 79-E

Property Address: 22 South Main Street


Tax Relief Provided

|  |  | Cumulative \$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Year \#1: | $\$$ | $21,966.46$ | $\$$ | $21,966.46$ |
| Year \#2: | $\$$ | $22,625.46$ | $\$$ | $44,591.92$ |
| Year \#3: | $\$$ | $23,304.22$ | $\$$ | $67,896.14$ |
| Year \#4: | $\$$ | $24,003.35$ | $\$$ | $91,899.49$ |
| Year \#5: | $\$$ | $24,723.45$ | $\$ 116,622.94$ |  |
| Year \#6: | $\$$ | $25,465.15$ | $\$ 142,088.09$ |  |
| Year \#7: | $\$$ | $26,229.11$ | $\$ 168,317.20$ |  |
| Year \#8: | $\$$ | $27,015.98$ | $\$ 195,333.18$ |  |
| Year \#9: | $\$$ | $27,826.46$ | $\$ 223,159.64$ |  |
| Year \#10: | $\$$ | $28,661.25$ | $\$ 251,820.89$ |  |
| Year \#11: | $\$$ | $29,521.09$ | $\$ 281,341.98$ |  |

** The estimated change in assessed value is determined by Chief Assessor. He has estimated the resultant assessed value of the property once the contemplated upgrades have been completed.

| Parcel \# | Street Address | Type | Baseline Value | Term (Years) | Begins | Expires |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0116-0158-0000$ | 82 Wakefield Street | Florist | $\$ 369,100$ | 5 | $4 / 1 / 2015$ | $3 / 31 / 2020$ |
| $0120-0360-0000$ | 2 No Main Street | Mix Use | $\$ 549,900$ | 7 | $4 / 1 / 2015$ | $3 / 31 / 2022$ |
| $0120-0394-0000$ | 1 Wakefield Street | Mix Use/Office | $\$ 695,100$ | 7 | $4 / 1 / 2015$ | $3 / 31 / 2022$ |
| $0121-0163-0000$ | 124 No Main Street | Multifamily | $\$ 111,300$ | 7 | $4 / 1 / 2015$ | $3 / 31 / 2022$ |

# City of Rochester, New Hampshire 

Division of Community \& Economic Development
31 Wakefield Street, Rochester NH 03867
Application Revised January 1, 2015
Community Revitalization Tax Relief (per RSA 79E)
City of Rochester, New Hampshire
Application must be accompanied by $\$ 150$ application fee payable to "City of Rochester"
Date of Preparation:
6-7-2019 (6-28-19 Updates)

## Property information

Property address/location: 22 South Main Street
Name of building (if any): Norway Plains Savings Bank
Tax map \& lot \#: 120-363 Year built: 1850
Square Footage: 14,688 SF Condition: Fair
Zoning: Commercial / Office Vacant, how long: 2 Years+/-
Is this structure eligible or listed on the State or National Register of Historic Places, or located in a local, state or federal Historic District? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
Name of District:
DTC
Existing Uses: Describe the units by type and size, how many floors
Commercial space / Bank / Offices: 2 floors (1st \& 2nd) + full basement level
Change of Use?: Yes: Addition of Residential to Commercial Use

## Property Owner

Name (include name of individual): Norman Vetter
Company: Norman Vetter \& Staci Vetter Revocable Trust
Mailing address: PO Box 181 Rochester, NH 03866-0181
Telephone \#: 603-817-9359 Email: norm@normanvetterfoundations.com

## Applicant or Agent Contact:

Name (include name of individual): Shannon Alther
Company: TMS Architects
Mailing address: 1 Cate Street Portsmouth NH 03801
Telephone \#: 603-436-4274 Email: pod1@tms-architects.com

## EXHIBIT

## Proposed Project Information

Name of Architect (if known): Shannon Alther: TMS Architects
Name of Licensed Contractor (if known): TBD
Will the project include rehabilitation of residential units? Yes If yes, how many 5-6 New Units
Are the residential units defined as "affordable"? Yes___No X
(The current affordable rents in the City of Rochester are available at www.NHHFA.org) Describe the commercial space, square footage, uses and conditions: Rehabilitation of the existing first floor (ground floor) and basement level for restaurant and / or commercial space. This new commercial area will be approximately 12,200 SF +/- in size between the two floors. The second floor (currently office space) containing about 6,000 SF +- of area, will become the residential portion of the project. A mix of one and two bedroom units are currently planned and will total about 12,000 SF +/- of area. The existing condition is fair and will become above average with the intended work scope.

Please describe in detail the public benefits associated with this project? You may attach pages to the application for this and the following question. ( RSA 79-E:7)
Public benefits of the project include rehabilitation of an existing Downtown building that can offer rental housing at upper floor levels, commercial space at ground floor and basement level and the continuation of a vibrant, historic building for future generations. The critical mass of people at the renovated building could be a positive generator for the Town, surrounding businesses and the Community as a whole.

Explain the project in your own words:
Neo-Classic 2 story building in the heart of Downtown Rochester with a brownstone facade, 4 fluted columns, full upper entablature and roof parapet elements. The building was the introduction to Neo-Classic Architecture at the center of Town when it was built. The proposed project is to revitalize and rehabilitate the existing building into commercial and residential use in keeping with the original architectural style. The updated property will add a much needed influx of people and vibrancy to the Town's main center.

Pre-Rehabilitation Ad Velorum Tax Valuation \$382,700
Please obtain a Property Record Card from the Rochester Assessing Department, and include a copy with the application.

Does the estimated cost of rehabilitation exceed $15 \%$ of pre-rehabilitation valuation, or \$75,000, whichever is lower? YES X (exceeds both) NO__ \% $\qquad$
\$382,700 x 15\% = \$57,405 (15\% Pre-rehabilitation Valuation)
$\$ 1,404,500$ Cost of Construction far exceeds the $\$ 57,405$ (15\% Pre-rehabilitation Valuation)
Note: This program is available for projects where the rehabilitation cost equals or exceeds $15 \%$ of the pre-rehabilitation assessed valuation or $\$ 75,000$, whichever is lower. If your project does not meet this standard, it is not eligible for Tax Relief under RSA 79e.

## Project costs

Describe work that will constitute the substantial rehabilitation and estimated/projected costs.

Historic Restoration: Main Street Exterior Facade and entrances
Sustainability/Efficiency: New HVAC equipment and solar panels
Interior Alterations: \$40/ SF x 16,000 SF
Exterior Alterations: Other 3 sides, brickwork, new doors \& windows
Structural: \$10/ SF x 18,000 SF
Electrical: \$5/ SF x 18,000 SF
Plumbing: $\$ 2 /$ SF x 18,000 SF
Mechanical: \$3/ SF x 18,000 SF
Safety/Fire Protection: \$2.5/ SF x 18,000 SF
Other: Contingency of $6 \%(\$ 1,325,000 \times 6 \%=\$ 79,500)$

Cost: \$30,000
Cost: \$175,000
Cost: \$640,000
Cost: \$75,000
Cost: \$180,000
Cost: \$90,000
Cost: \$36,000
Cost: \$54,000
Cost: \$45,000
Cost: \$79,500

Expected construction dates. Start: Fall 2019; Finish: Spring 2020

Total project cost: $\$ 1,404,500$ (Cost Estimate by TMS Architects based on SF and $\$ / \mathrm{SF}$ ) Please attach written estimates whenever possible.

Will any state or federal grants or funds be used in this project? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ If yes, please provide information in detail on an additional sheet.
Note: The provisions of this chapter shall not apply to properties whose rehabilitation or construction is subsidized by state or federal grants or funds that do not need to be repaid totaling more than 50 percent of construction costs from state or federal programs.

## Other Approvals and Information

Please include the scheduled date of review or attach the Notice of Decision as appropriate:
Project Narrative or Letter of Intent to Planning: $\qquad$ Date: 6-24-2019

Historic District Review: $\qquad$ Date: X
(Required if Requesting Historic Incentive)
Special Downtown Review: __ Date: X
Minor Site Review: $\qquad$ Date: X

Zoning Board of Adjustment: $\qquad$ Date: X
Conservation Commission: $\qquad$ Date: X

DPW Driveway/Water/Sewer: $\qquad$ Date: X

State Permits or Requirements: $\qquad$ Date: X

Other (please specify): $\qquad$ Date: X

## Application Checklist

(Applications are not complete, and review will not be scheduled, until all supporting items are delivered)
$\square$ Completed Application form with signatures. Yes
$\square$ Application Fee made payable to City of Rochester Yes
$\square$ Documentation and photos of Historic Information No
$\square$ Copy of Property Record Card Yes
$\square$ Description of Public Benefit Yes
$\square$ Site plans, diagrams, elevations associated with the Project Yes
$\square$ Cost Estimates associated with the Project Yes
$\square$ Documentation of State of Federal Funds No
$\square$ Notice of Decision for Other Reviews No
Request for Tax Relief Yes

## Request for Community Revitalization Tax Relief

$\qquad$ X__ Substantial Rehabilitation Tax Relief Incentive (Up to 5 Years)
_ X__Additional Tax Relief Incentive for New Residential Units (Up to 2 Years)
Additional Tax Relief Incentive for Affordable Housing (Up to 4 Years)
__ X_Additional Tax Relief for rehabilitation of historic places* (Up to 4 Years)
*Rehabilitation in accordance with the in accordance with Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

Please explain your request for the above tax relief categories. You may attach an additional sheet. The request for the above tax relief categories is based on the desire to rehabilitate the existing historic building into a new and vibrant property in Downtown Rochester. Utilizing the above tax relief options will help reduce initial start-up costs, helps offset upcoming operational costs and allow for 2 to 5 years of anticipated relief for the overall Pro Forma Outline for the project. Additionally, the relief will invest the Town into the process as an added level of support and guidance for the intended project.

## Submission of Application

This application must be signed by the property owner. Please submit an electronic version and /or a complete package of information to:

Rochester Community \& Economic Development
Mail: 31 Wakefield St, Rochester, NH 03867
Office: 150 Wakefield St, Rochester NH 03867 Karen.Pollard@Rochesternh.net

A $\$ 150.00$ application fee (payable to "City of Rochester") must be submitted in order for this application to be considered complete. Please follow up at 603-335-7522 to insure all information and payments have been received.

I (we) hereby submit this application under the Community Revitalization Tax Relief Incentive Statute (NH RSA 79-E) and attest that to the best of my (our) knowledge all of the information herein and in the accompanying materials is true and accurate. I (we) have reviewed the statute and understand that: a) there will be a public review process and public hearing to evaluate the merits of this application; b) I (we) will need to enter into a covenant with the City; and c) I (we) may be required to pay reasonable expenses associated with the creation and recording of the covenant to the Strafford County Registry of Deeds.

Signature of property owner (1):
Printed Name: Norman Vetter
Signature of property owner (2):

## ATTACHMENT I <br> City of Rochester RSA 79e Application

## The Standards (Department of the Interior regulations 36 CFR 67) pertain to all historic properties listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

1) A property shall be used for its intended historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.
2) The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.
3) Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken.
4) Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.
5) Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a historic property shall be preserved.
6) Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.
7) Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible.
8) Significant archeological resources affected by a project, shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.
9) New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

# ATTACHMENT II <br> City of Rochester RSA 79e Application 

## TITLE V

TAXATION

## CHAPTER 79-E

## COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION TAX RELIEF INCENTIVE

## Section 79-E:1

## 79-E:1 Declaration of Public Benefit. -

I. It is declared to be a public benefit to enhance downtowns and town centers with respect to economic activity, cultural and historic character, sense of community, and in-town residential uses that contribute to economic and social vitality.
II. It is further declared to be a public benefit to encourage the rehabilitation of the many underutilized structures in urban and town centers as a means of encouraging growth of economic, residential, and municipal uses in a more compact pattern, in accordance with RSA 9-B.

II-a. In instances where a qualifying structure is determined to possess no significant historical, cultural, or architectural value and for which the governing body makes a specific finding that rehabilitation would not achieve one or more of the public benefits established in RSA 79-E:7 to the same degree as the replacement of the underutilized structure with a new structure, the tax relief incentives provided under this chapter may be extended to the replacement of an underutilized structure in accordance with the provisions of this chapter.

II-b. It is further declared to be a public benefit to encourage the rehabilitation of historic structures in a municipality by increasing energy efficiency in the preservation and reuse of existing building stock.
III. Short-term property assessment tax relief and a related covenant to protect public benefit as provided under this chapter are considered to provide a demonstrated public benefit if they encourage substantial rehabilitation and use of qualifying structures, or in certain cases, the replacement of a qualifying structure, as defined in this chapter.

Source. 2006, 167:1. 2009, 200:3, 4, eff. July 15, 2009. 2013, 78:1, eff. April 1, 2013.

## Section 79-E:2

79-E:2 Definitions. - In this chapter:
I. "Historic structure" means a building that is listed on or determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places or the state register of historic places.
II. "Qualifying structure" means a building located in a district officially designated in a municipality's master plan, or by zoning ordinance, as a downtown, town center, central business district, or village center, or, where no such designation has been made, in a geographic area which, as a result of its compact development patterns and uses, is identified by the governing body as the downtown, town center, or village center for purposes of this chapter. Qualifying structure shall also mean historic structures in a municipality whose preservation and reuse would conserve the embodied energy in existing building stock. Cities or towns may further limit "qualifying structure" according to the procedure in RSA 79-E:3 as meaning only a structure located within such districts that meet certain age, occupancy, condition, size, or other similar criteria consistent with local economic conditions, community character, and local planning and development goals. Cities or towns may further modify "qualifying structure" to include buildings that have been destroyed by fire or act of nature, including where such destruction occurred within 15 years prior to the adoption of the provisions of this chapter
by the city or town.
III. "Replacement" means the demolition or removal of a qualifying structure and the construction of a new structure on the same lot.
IV. "Substantial rehabilitation" means rehabilitation of a qualifying structure which costs at least 15 percent of the pre-rehabilitation assessed valuation or at least $\$ 75,000$, whichever is less. In addition, in the case of historic structures, substantial rehabilitation means devoting a portion of the total cost, in the amount of at least 10 percent of the pre-rehabilitation assessed valuation or at least $\$ 5,000$, whichever is less, to energy efficiency in accordance with the U.S. Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. Cities or towns may further limit "substantial rehabilitation" according to the procedure in RSA 79-E:3 as meaning rehabilitation which costs a percentage greater than 15 percent of pre-rehabilitation assessed valuation or an amount greater than $\$ 75,000$ based on local economic conditions, community character, and local planning and development goals.
V. "Tax increment finance district" means any district established in accordance with the provisions of RSA 162-K.
VI. "Tax relief" means:
(a) For a qualifying structure, that for a period of time determined by a local governing body in accordance with this chapter, the property tax on a qualifying structure shall not increase as a result of the substantial rehabilitation thereof.
(b) For the replacement of a qualifying structure, that for a period of time determined by a local governing body in accordance with this chapter, the property tax on a replacement structure shall not exceed the property tax on the replaced qualifying structure as a result of the replacement thereof.
(c) For a qualifying structure which is a building destroyed by fire or act of nature, that for a period of time determined by a local governing body in accordance with this chapter, the property tax on such qualifying structure shall not exceed the tax on the assessed value of the structure that would have existed had the structure not been destroyed.
VII. "Tax relief period" means the finite period of time during which the tax relief will be effective, as determined by a local governing body pursuant to RSA 79-E:5.
Source. 2006, 167:1. 2009, 200:5-7. 2010, 329:1, 2. 2011, 237:1, 2, eff. July 5, 2011. 2013, 78:2, eff. April 1, 2013.

## Section 79-E:3

## 79-E:3 Adoption of Community Revitalization Tax Relief Incentive Program -

I. Any city or town may adopt or modify the provisions of this chapter by voting whether to accept for consideration or modify requirements for requests for community revitalization tax relief incentives. Any city or town may do so by following the procedures in this section.
II. In a town, other than a town that has adopted a charter pursuant to RSA 49-D, the question shall be placed on the warrant of a special or annual town meeting, by the governing body or by petition under RSA 39:3.
III. In a city or town that has adopted a charter under RSA 49-C or RSA 49-D, the legislative body may consider and act upon the question in accordance with its normal procedures for passage of resolutions, ordinances, and other legislation. In the alternative, the legislative body of such municipality may vote to place the question on the official ballot for any regular municipal election.
IV. If a majority of those voting on the question vote "yes," applications for community revitalization tax relief incentives may be accepted and considered by the local governing body at any time thereafter, subject to the provisions of paragraph VI of this section.
V. If the question is not approved, the question may later be voted on according to the provisions of paragraph II or III of this section, whichever applies.
VI. The local governing body of any town or city that has adopted this program may consider rescinding its action in the manner described in paragraph II or III of this section, whichever applies. A
vote terminating the acceptance and consideration of such applications shall have no effect on incentives previously granted by the city or town, nor shall it terminate consideration of applications submitted prior to the date of such vote.

Source. 2006, 167:1. 2010, 329:3, eff. July 20, 2010.

## Section 79-E:4

## 79-E:4 Community Revitalization Tax Relief Incentive. -

I. An owner of a qualifying structure who intends to substantially rehabilitate or replace such structure may apply to the governing body of the municipality in which the property is located for tax relief. The applicant shall include the address of the property, a description of the intended rehabilitation or replacement, any changes in use of the property resulting from the rehabilitation or replacement, and an application fee.

I-a. In order to assist the governing body with the review and evaluation of an application for replacement of a qualifying structure, an owner shall submit to the governing body as part of the application, a New Hampshire division of historical resources individual resource inventory form, prepared by a qualified architectural historian and a letter issued by the local heritage commission and if the qualifying structure is located within a designated historic district established in accordance with RSA 674:46, a letter from the historic district commission or, if such local commissions are not established, a letter issued by the New Hampshire division of historical resources that identifies any and all historical, cultural, and architectural value of the structure or structures that are proposed to be replaced and the property on which those structures are located. The application for tax relief shall not be deemed to be complete and the governing body shall not schedule the public hearing on the application for replacement of a qualifying structure as required under RSA 79-E:4, II until the inventory form and the letter, as well as all other required information, have been submitted.
II. Upon receipt of an application, the governing body shall hold a duly noticed public hearing to take place no later than 60 days from receipt of the application, to determine whether the structure at issue is a qualifying structure; whether any proposed rehabilitation qualifies as substantial rehabilitation; and whether there is a public benefit to granting the requested tax relief and, if so, for what duration.
III. No later than 45 days after the public hearing, the governing body shall render a decision granting or denying the requested tax relief and, if so granting, establishing the tax relief period.
IV. (a) The governing body may grant the tax relief, provided:
(1) The governing body finds a public benefit under RSA 79-E:7; and
(2) The specific public benefit is preserved through a covenant under RSA 79-E:8; and
(3) The governing body finds that the proposed use is consistent with the municipality's master plan or development regulations; and
(4) In the case of a replacement, the governing body specifically finds that the local heritage commission or historic district commission or, if such local commissions are not established, the New Hampshire division of historical resources has determined that the replaced qualifying structure does not possess significant historical, cultural, or architectural value, the replacement of the qualifying structure will achieve one or more of the public benefits identified in RSA 79-E:7 to a greater degree than the renovation of the underutilized structure, and the historical, cultural, or architectural resources in the community will not be adversely affected by the replacement. In connection with these findings, the governing body may request that the division of historical resources conduct a technical evaluation in order to satisfy the governing body that historical resources will not be adversely affected.
(b) If the governing body grants the tax relief, the governing body shall identify the specific public benefit achieved under RSA 79-E:7, and shall determine the precise terms and duration of the covenant to preserve the public benefit under RSA 79-E:8.
V. If the governing body, in its discretion, denies the application for tax relief, such denial shall be
accompanied by a written explanation. The governing body's decision may be appealed either to the board of tax and land appeals or the superior court in the same manner as provided for appeals of current use classification pursuant to RSA 79-A:9 or 79-A:11 provided, however, that such denial shall be deemed discretionary and shall not be set aside by the board of tax and land appeals or the superior court except for bad faith or discrimination.
VI. Municipalities shall have no obligation to grant an application for tax relief for properties located within tax increment finance districts when the governing body determines, in its sole discretion, that the granting of tax relief will impede, reduce, or negatively affect:
(a) The development program or financing plans for such tax increment finance districts; or
(b) The ability to satisfy or expedite repayment of debt service obligations incurred for a tax increment financing district; or
(c) The ability to satisfy program administration, operating, or maintenance expenses within a tax increment financing district.
Source. 2006, 167:1. 2009, 200:8-11, eff. July 15, 2009.

## Section 79-E:5

## 79-E:5 Duration of Tax Relief Period. -

I. The governing body may grant such tax assessment relief for a period of up to 5 years, beginning with the completion of the substantial rehabilitation.

I-a. For the approval of a replacement of a qualifying structure, the governing body may grant such tax assessment relief for a period of up to 5 years, beginning only upon the completion of construction of the replacement structure. The governing body may, in its discretion, extend such additional years of tax relief as provided for under this section, provided that no such additional years of tax relief may be provided prior to the completion of construction of the replacement structure. The municipal tax assessment of the replacement structure and the property on which it is located shall not increase or decrease in the period between the approval by the governing body of tax relief for the replacement structure and the time the owner completes construction of the replacement structure and grants to the municipality the covenant to protect the public benefit as required by this chapter. The governing body may not grant any tax assessment relief under this chapter with respect to property and structures for which an election has been made for property appraisal under RSA 75:1-a.
II. The governing body may, in its discretion, add up to an additional 2 years of tax relief for a project that results in new residential units and up to 4 years for a project that includes affordable housing.
III. The governing body may, in its discretion, add up to an additional 4 years of tax relief for the substantial rehabilitation of a qualifying structure that is listed on or determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, state register of historic places, or is located within and important to a locally designated historic district, provided that the substantial rehabilitation is conducted in accordance with the U.S. Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.
IV. The governing body may adopt local guidelines to assist it in determining the appropriate duration of the tax assessment relief period.
Source. 2006, 167:1. 2009, 200:12. 2010, 329:4, eff. July 20, 2010.

## Section 79-E:6

79-E:6 Resumption of Full Tax Liability. - Upon expiration of the tax relief period, the property shall be taxed at its market value in accordance with RSA 75:1.
Source. 2006, 167:1, eff. April 1, 2006.

## Section 79-E:7

79-E:7 Public Benefit. - In order to qualify for tax relief under this chapter, the proposed substantial rehabilitation must provide at least one of the public benefits, and the proposed replacement must provide one or more of the public benefits to a greater degree than would a substantial rehabilitation of the same qualifying structure, as follows:
I. It enhances the economic vitality of the downtown;
II. It enhances and improves a structure that is culturally or historically important on a local, regional, state, or national level, either independently or within the context of an historic district, town center, or village center in which the building is located;

II-a. It promotes the preservation and reuse of existing building stock throughout a municipality by the rehabilitation of historic structures, thereby conserving the embodied energy in accordance with energy efficiency guidelines established by the U.S. Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.
III. It promotes development of municipal centers, providing for efficiency, safety, and a greater sense of community, consistent with RSA 9-B; or
IV. It increases residential housing in urban or town centers.

Source. 2006, 167:1. 2009, 200:13, eff. July 15, 2009. 2013, 78:3, eff. April 1, 2013.

## Section 79-E:7-a

79-E:7-a Public Benefit Determinations. - Cities or towns may adopt according to the procedure in RSA 79-E: 3 provisions that further define the public benefits enumerated in RSA 79-E:7 to assist the governing body in evaluating applications made under this chapter based on local economic conditions, community character, and local planning and development goals.
Source. 2010, 329:5, eff. July 20, 2010.

## Section 79-E:8

## 79-E:8 Covenant to Protect Public Benefit. -

I. Tax relief for the substantial rehabilitation or replacement of a qualifying structure shall be effective only after a property owner grants to the municipality a covenant ensuring that the structure shall be maintained and used in a manner that furthers the public benefits for which the tax relief was granted and as otherwise provided in this chapter.
II. The covenant shall be coextensive with the tax relief period. The covenant may, if required by the governing body, be effective for a period of time up to twice the duration of the tax relief period.
III. The covenant shall include provisions requiring the property owner to obtain casualty insurance, and flood insurance if appropriate. The covenant may include, at the governing body's sole discretion, a lien against proceeds from casualty and flood insurance claims for the purpose of ensuring proper restoration or demolition or damaged structures and property. If the property owner has not begun the process of restoration, rebuilding, or demolition of such structure within one year following damage or destruction, the property owner shall be subject to the termination of provisions set forth in RSA 79-E: 9, I.
IV. The local governing body shall provide for the recording of the covenant to protect public benefit with the registry of deeds. It shall be a burden upon the property and shall bind all transferees and assignees of such property.
V. The applicant shall pay any reasonable expenses incurred by the municipality in the drafting, review, and/or execution of the covenant. The applicant also shall be responsible for the cost of recording the covenant.
Source. 2006, 167:1. 2009, 200:14, eff. July 15, 2009.

## Section 79-E:9

79-E:9 Termination of Covenant; Reduction of Tax Relief; Penalty. -
I. If the owner fails to maintain or utilize the building according to the terms of the covenant, or fails to restore, rebuild, or demolish the structure following damage or destruction as provided in RSA 79-E: 8 , III, the governing body shall, after a duly noticed public hearing, determine whether and to what extent the public benefit of the rehabilitation or replacement has been diminished and shall determine whether to terminate or reduce the tax relief period in accordance with such determination. If the covenant is terminated, the governing body shall assess all taxes to the owner as though no tax relief was granted, with interest in accordance with paragraph II.
II. Any tax payment required under paragraph I shall be payable according to the following procedure:
(a) The commissioner of the department of revenue administration shall prescribe and issue forms to the local assessing officials for the payment due, which shall provide a description of the property, the market value assessment according to RSA 75:1, and the amount payable.
(b) The prescribed form shall be prepared in quadruplicate. The original, duplicate, and triplicate copy of the form shall be given to the collector of taxes for collection of the payment along with a special tax warrant authorizing the collector to collect the payment under the warrant. The quadruplicate copy of the form shall be retained by the local assessing officials for their records.
(c) Upon receipt of the special tax warrant and prescribed forms, the tax collector shall mail the duplicate copy of the tax bill to the owner responsible for the tax as the notice of payment.
(d) Payment shall be due not later than 30 days after the mailing of the bill. Interest at the rate of 18 percent per annum shall be due thereafter on any amount not paid within the 30 -day period. Interest at 12 percent per annum shall be charged upon all taxes that would have been due and payable on or before December 1 of each tax year as if no tax relief had been granted.

Source. 2006, 167:1. 2009, 200:15, eff. July 15, 2009.

## Section 79-E:10

79-E:10 Lien for Unpaid Taxes. - The real estate of every person shall be held for the taxes levied pursuant to RSA 79-E:9.

Source. 2006, 167:1, eff. April 1, 2006.

## Section 79-E:11

79-E:11 Enforcement. - All taxes levied pursuant to RSA 79-E:9 which are not paid when due shall be collected in the same manner as provided in RSA 80.

Source. 2006, 167:1. 2007, 42:3, eff. July 20, 2007.

## Section 79-E:12

79-E:12 Rulemaking. - The commissioner of the department of revenue administration shall adopt rules, pursuant to RSA 541-A, relative to the payment and collection procedures under RSA 79-E:9.
Source. 2006, 167:1, eff. April 1, 2006.

## Section 79-E:13

## 79-E:13 Extent of Tax Relief. -

I. (a) Tax relief granted under this chapter shall pertain only to assessment increases attributable to the substantial rehabilitation performed under the conditions approved by the governing body and not to those increases attributable to other factors including but not limited to market forces; or
(b) Tax relief granted under this chapter shall be calculated on the value in excess of the original
assessed value. Original assessed value shall mean the value of the qualifying structure assessed at the time the governing body approves the application for tax relief and the owner grants to the municipality the covenant to protect public benefit as required in this chapter, provided that for a qualifying structure which is a building destroyed by fire or act of nature, original assessed value shall mean the value as of the date of approval of the application for tax relief of the qualifying structure that would have existed had the structure not been destroyed.
II. The tax relief granted under this chapter shall only apply to substantial rehabilitation or replacement that commences after the governing body approves the application for tax relief and the owner grants to the municipality the covenant to protect the public benefit as required in this chapter, provided that in the case of a qualifying structure which is a building destroyed by fire or act of nature, and which occurred within 15 years prior to the adoption of the provisions of this chapter by the city or town, the tax relief may apply to such qualifying structure for which replacement has begun, but which has not been completed, on the date the application for relief under this chapter is approved.
Source. 2006, 167:1. 2010, 329:6. 2011, 237:3, eff. July 5, 2011.

## Section 79-E:14

79-E:14 Other Programs. - The provisions of this chapter shall not apply to properties whose rehabilitation or construction is subsidized by state or federal grants or funds that do not need to be repaid totaling more than 50 percent of construction costs from state or federal programs.

Source. 2006, 167:1, eff. April 1, 2006.
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Agenda Item Name: Veterans Credits \& Exemptions

Date Submitted: June 28, 2019

Name of Person Submitting Item: Mark Sullivan Deputy Finance Director

E-mail Address: mark.sullivan@rochesternh.net

Meeting Date Requested: July 9, 2019

Issue Summary Statement: Attached is summary of Veterans Credits, and Exemptions. The thresholds were recently changed in March, 2018.

Recommended Action: Discussion/review

City of Rochester, New Hampshire
ASSESSING DEPARTMENT
19 Wakefield Street, Rochester, NH 03867
(603) 332-5109 Assessor@rochesternh.net
www.rochesternh.net

## INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance Committee
FROM: Jonathan Rice, Chief Assessor
DATE: June 25, 2019
SUBJECT: Property Tax Exemptions \& Credits

Listed below are the most recent increases to the Standard and Optional Veterans' Tax Credit under RSA 72:28 and the Elderly, Disabled and Blind Exemptions under RSA's 72-37, 72-37 b and 72-39.

A resolution authorizing an increase to the Standard and Optional Veterans' Tax Credit from $\$ 250$ to $\$ 300$ was adopted March 6, 2018 and was initiated for the 2018 tax year. We currently have 1,472 Veterans' that qualify for this tax credit.

A resolution authorizing an increase to the income and asset limitations (as follows) for the Elderly, Disabled and Blind Exemptions was adopted on October 16, 2018 and was initiated for the 2019 tax year

Income Limitations:
Single - increased from $\$ 31,400$ to $\$ 35,000$
Married - increased from $\$ 41,500$ to $\$ 50,000$
Asset Limitation:
increased from \$50,000 to \$100,000
Disabled and Blind Exemption (amounts off assessment): increased from $\$ 48,000$ to $\$ 75,000$...currently $\underline{125}$ taxpayers qualify

Elderly Exemption (amounts off assessment by age):
$65-75$ increased from $\$ 48,000$ to $\$ 75,000 \ldots$ currently 112 taxpayers qualify
$75-79$ increased from $\$ 84,000$ to $\$ 100.000 \ldots$ currently 87 taxpayers qualify 80 + increased from $\$ 108,000$ to $\$ 125,000 \ldots$...currently 149 taxpayers qualify
ELDERLY EXEMPTIONS 2016


 | $\$$ | 35,000 |  | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Assessor Recommend

STRAFFORD COUNTY

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Town/City | 2016 Tax Rate per $\$ 1,000$ |
| BARRINGTON | $\$ 26.04$ |
| DOVER | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 . 2 9}$ |
| DURHAM | $\$ 29.73$ |
| FARMINGTON | $\$ 25.03$ |
| LEE | $\$ 29.25$ |
| MADBURY | $\$ 28.59$ |
| MIDDLETON | $\$ 36.16$ |
| MILTON | $\$ 28.40$ |
| NEW DURHAM | $\$ 22.76$ |
| ROCHESTER | $\$ 28.26$ |
| ROLLINSFORD | $\$ 27.26$ |
| SOMERSWORTH | $\$ 32.12$ |
| STRAFFORD | $\$ 21.25$ |
|  |  |
| MEDIAN |  |

TOP 10 LARGEST MUNICIPALITIES BY POPULATION



# FINANCE COMMITTEE <br> Agenda Item 

# Agenda Item Name: NON-UNION WAGE RANGES ADJUSTMENT 

Date Submitted: June 28, 2019

Name of Person Submitting Item: Mark Sullivan, Deputy Finance Director

E-mail Address: mark.sullivan@rochesternh.net

Meeting Date Requested: July 9, 2019

## Issue Summary Statement:

Several of the City's labor Collective Bargaining Agreements stipulate for the automatic annual adjustment of the wage ranges (minimum and maximum wage levels) to adjust annually according to changes in the Consumer Price Index. This adjustment is not automatic for the non-unionized personnel regulated by the Merit Plan. The Council in has been approving this Non-Union wage scale adjustments since 2015.

To clarify, this action simply adjusts the minimum wage for new hires and the maximum possible wage for those at the top of the wage scale. It does not constitute an "across the board" increase or a "cost of living adjustment" for non-union personnel. All adjustments for specific employees remain merit based.

## Recommended Action:

Recommend adjustment of non-union personnel wage ranges under the Merit Plan according to the Boston -Cambridge-Newton-MA CPI (formerly Boston-Brockton) period Nov-17 to Nov-18 for fiscal year 2019, which was $3.2 \%$. See attached.

## Non Union Classification Schedule

| Grade | Position Title |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Library Page |
| 2 | No positions in this grade. |
| 3 | Custodian (part-time) |
| 4 | Legal Assistant I |
| 5 | Lead Custodian (inactive position) |
| 6 | Legal Assistant II |
| 6 | Victim/Witness Advocate |
| 7 | Financial Analyst (inactive position) |
| 7 | Payroll/Human Resource Specialist |
| 8 | Executive Secretary |
| 9 | Accountant I |
| 9 | Executive Assistant |
| 10 | Senior Executive Assistant |
| 11 | DPW Operations Manager (inactive position) |
| 11 | Accountant II |
| 12 | No positions in this grade. |
| 13 | Lieutenant Prosecutor |
| 13 | Police Lieutenant |
| 13 | Police Prosecuting Attorney |
| 14 | Human Resource Manager |
| 14 | Deputy Finance Director/Deputy Treasurer |
| 14 | Police Captain |
| 15 | Deputy City Attorney |
| 16 | Chief Information Officer (CIO) |
| 16 | Deputy Police Chief |
| 17 | Director of Finance (inactive position) |
| 18 | Fire Chief |
| 18 | Chief of Police |
| 18 | City Attorney |
| 18 | Deputy City Manager - Community Development (inactive) |
| 18 | Deputy City Manager - Finance \& Administration |
| 18 | Director of City Services |
| 19 | No positions in this grade |

Non-Union Pay Plan
Full and Part-time regular employees

| Grade | FY2O ANNUAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| 1 | 10.91 | 14.43 | $22,683.13$ | $30,496.21$ |
| 2 | 14.18 | 18.75 | $29,488.07$ | $39,615.29$ |
| 3 | 15.31 | 20.26 | $31,848.03$ | $42,800.09$ |
| 4 | 16.53 | 21.87 | $34,391.29$ | $46,214.02$ |
| 5 | 17.86 | 23.63 | $37,140.76$ | $49,925.80$ |
| 6 | 19.29 | 25.54 | $40,119.36$ | $53,958.36$ |
| 7 | 20.83 | 27.56 | $43,327.07$ | $58,242.95$ |
| 8 | 22.49 | 29.75 | $46,786.82$ | $62,871.22$ |
| 9 | 24.29 | 32.12 | $50,521.52$ | $67,866.10$ |
| 10 | 26.23 | 34.69 | $54,554.08$ | $73,296.30$ |
| 11 | 28.32 | 37.46 | $58,907.40$ | $79,161.84$ |
| 12 | 30.59 | 40.47 | $63,627.33$ | $85,508.53$ |
| 13 | 33.04 | 43.69 | $68,713.85$ | $92,313.47$ |
| 14 | 35.68 | 47.19 | $74,212.79$ | $99,714.13$ |
| 15 | 38.53 | 50.97 | $80,147.06$ | $107,710.50$ |
| 16 | 41.62 | 55.05 | $86,561.39$ | $116,325.51$ |
| 17 | 44.94 | 59.46 | $93,482.00$ | $125,650.80$ |
| 18 | 48.53 | 64.19 | $100,951.39$ | $135,640.54$ |
| 19 | 52.38 | 69.33 | $109,016.50$ | $146,500.95$ |

## Home $\quad$ Subjects Data Tools Publications <br> New England Information Office

 Economic Releases Students Beta Search BLS.gov
## SHARE ON:

$\qquad$ Search New England Re

## New England Home $\mid$ New England Geography

$\square$ New England Subjects | New England Archives Contact New England

Geographic Information > New England > Table
Consumer Price Index Boston-Cambridge-Newton, MA-NH (1982-84 = 100)

CONSUMER PRICE INDEX FOR ALL URBAN CONSUMERS (CPI-U), NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 171.2 |  | 171.3 |  | 170.9 |  | 170.7 |  | 172.1 |  | 173.3 |  | 171.7 |
| 1999 | 174.1 |  | 174.8 |  | 174.2 |  | 175.3 |  | 176.8 |  | 179.2 |  | 176.0 |
| 2000 | 180.2 |  | 182.8 (R) |  | 181.7 (R) |  | 183.2 |  | 184.3 |  | 187.4 |  | 183.6 |
| 2001 | 189.0 |  | 190.9 |  | 190.9 |  | 192.1 |  | 192.7 |  | 192.7 |  | 191.5 |
| 2002 | 192.9 |  | 194.7 |  | 194.8 |  | 195.7 |  | 199.1 |  | 200.4 |  | 196.5 |
| 2003 | 199.8 |  | 202.8 |  | 202.3 |  | 203.0 |  | 206.8 |  | 206.5 |  | 203.9 |
| 2004 | 208.4 |  | 208.7 |  | 208.7 |  | 208.9 |  | 209.8 |  | 211.7 |  | 209.5 |
| 2005 | 211.3 |  | 214.2 |  | 214.6 |  | 217.2 |  | 220.1 |  | 218.6 |  | 216.4 |
| 2006 | 220.5 |  | 221.3 |  | 222.9 |  | 225.1 |  | 224.5 |  | 223.1 |  | 223.1 |
| 2007 | 224.432 |  | 226.427 |  | 226.247 |  | 226.929 |  | 227.850 |  | 230.689 |  | 227.409 |
| 2008 | 231.980 |  | 233.084 |  | 235.344 |  | 241.258 |  | 238.519 |  | 232.354 |  | 235.370 |
| 2009 | 230.806 |  | 232.155 |  | 231.891 |  | 233.018 |  | 236.596 |  | 236.589 |  | 233.778 |
| 2010 | 237.266 |  | 237.986 |  | 238.083 |  | 236.132 |  | 236.474 |  | 238.103 |  | 237.446 |
| 2011 | 239.814 |  | 242.787 |  | 244.574 |  | 244.256 |  | 245.310 |  | 245.030 |  | 243.881 |
| 2012 | 245.891 |  | 247.166 |  | 246.582 |  | 246.326 |  | 249.488 |  | 249.929 |  | 247.733 |
| 2013 | 249.957 |  | 250.835 |  | 250.036 |  | 251.067 |  | 251.918 |  | 252.230 |  | 251.139 |
| 2014 | 253.123 |  | 254.982 |  | 255.209 |  | 255.296 |  | 255.878 |  | 256.262 |  | 255.184 |
| 2015 | 254.556 |  | 257.013 |  | 256.839 |  | 256.999 |  | 256.643 |  | 258.407 |  | 256.715 |
| 2016 | 257.215 |  | 258.587 |  | 260.809 |  | 260.800 |  | 262.606 |  | 261.675 |  | 260.496 |
| 2017 | 264.865 |  | 265.070 |  | 266.256 |  | 266.429 |  | 269.757 |  | 269.149 |  | 267.033 |
| 2018 | 272.229 |  | 274.591 |  | 274.668 |  | 275.402 |  | 278.663 |  | 277.632 |  | 275.815 |
| 2019 | 278.976 |  | 280.393 |  | 280.937 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| PERCENT CHANGE FROM TWELVE MONTHS AGO, NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.5 |
| 2000 | 3.5 | 4.6 (R) | 4.3 (R) | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| 2001 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 4.3 |
| 2002 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| 2003 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| 2004 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 2005 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 2006 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| 2007 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| 2008 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 6.3 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 3.5 |
| 2009 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -1.5 | -3.4 | -0.8 | 1.8 | -0.7 |
| 2010 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.3 | -0.1 | 0.6 | 1.6 |
| 2011 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| 2012 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 2013 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
| 2014 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 2015 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 2016 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 2017 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| 2018 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| 2019 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |
| PERCENT CHANGE FROM TWO MONTHS AGO, NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 0.5 | 0.4 | -0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 |  |
| 2000 | 0.6 | 1.4 | -0.6 | 0.8 (R) | 0.6 | 1.7 |  |

# FINANCE COMMITTEE <br> Agenda Item 

Agenda Item Name:<br>General Ordinances- Administrative Code Chapter 7-Purchasing Procedures 7-40

Date Submitted: June 28, 2019

Name of Person Submitting Item: Mark Sullivan-Deputy Finance Director

E-mail Address: mark.sullivan@rochesternh.net

Meeting Date Requested: July 9, 2019

Issue Summary Statement: A review of the threshold amounts in the Purchasing Procedures, ordinance 7-40, was performed. Attached is summary of the issues that includes City department input, sample thresholds of five other communities, and three year comparisons of bid responses. The memo also addresses sole source vendors, and standardization of equipment and other details that can be covered by the separate Purchasing Policy. The separate Purchasing Policy incorporates details of the ordinance and has additional conditions departments are required to follow. Attached is the Administrative Code Chapter 7-Purchasing Procedures 7-40, and a draft mark up of suggested edits.

Recommended Action: Discussion/review

Fm: Mark Sullivan

## Purchasing Policy Changes: Summary

Over the past several weeks I meet with Public Works, Police Department, Fire Department, Recreation Department and Information Technology Services to discuss departmental issues with the current purchasing policy. The general sentiment is the purchasing policy is often too restrictive for the current business environment, and process prevents timely progress. The primary supporting theme is it's becoming increasingly challenging to obtain vendor quotation responses at the lower thresholds of $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 5,000$. Departments claim it's not uncommon to wait several days for vendor responses at those thresholds. In order to meet the three comparative price mandate departments often have to contact five or six vendors in order to get three responses. There is also a common belief among departments that vendor networks are frustrated with continuing to supply small value quotations. This could mean we may not be obtaining a vendor's best effort. It does appear that staff and vendor resources are committing more time to the quote process, which is lengthening the turn- around time of project completion. Furthermore, the sealed bid process not only lengthens a project time table, but often returns a low number of responses.

Recognizing the difference between departmental preferences for less restrictions versus the tightly controlled process to control budgets and prevent waste a middle ground is needed. There is certainly merit to some of the common issues departments are experiencing. There are also intangibles that often prove to be more advantageous than a simple side by side price comparison. Departments often have established vendor relationships that incorporate understanding of the City's equipment and various infrastructures, product reliability, and service dependability. The current policy makes it difficult for departments to leverage these intangibles. We are also seeing an increase in department requests for sole source vendors, as well as standardization of equipment plans. Designing and implementing systems that are centered around specific original manufacturer equipment (OEM) has many advantages. In
addition, it is very common for an OEM to utilize a regional distribution networks that narrows supply to a sole regional vendor. However, the requests for sole source and standardization of equipment do not have clear policy guidelines.

In addition, an analysis was performed on FY17, FY18 and FY19 sealed bid results. Approximately $50 \%$ of bids only receive $1 \& 2$ vendor responses. This suggests that the sealed bid process may not be achieving the best pricing in all cases. The sealed bid process requires more detailed information from vendors such as insurance coverage and references, and includes substantial legal terms and conditions. These elements may be reducing vendor interest and responses depending on the type and dollar threshold of the bid offering.

A purchasing policy survey was performed of the following communities; Dover, Somersworth, Derry, Concord, and Portsmouth. There are a lot of variations in thresholds between communities. Three communities have purchasing rules and thresholds as part of general ordinances, and three utilize a separate purchasing policy to manage. Below is the matrix of results.

| Thresholds | Rochester | Dover | Somersworth | Derry | Concord | Portsmouth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No PO required purchases under \$1,000 |  |  | x | x |  |  |
| Less than \$5,000 No Quotes |  |  |  | x | x |  |
| Less than \$1,000 No Quotes | x | x |  |  |  |  |
| (3) Verbal Quotes \$250-\$2,500 |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| (3) Written Quotes \$2,500-\$10,000 |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| (3) Verbal Quotes \$5001-\$10,000 |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| (3) Written Quotes \$10,001-\$20,000 |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Sealed Bid over \$20,000 |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Sealed Bid over \$10,000 |  | x | x | x |  |  |
| Comparative Prices \$1,000 to \$10,000 | x |  |  |  |  | x |
| (3) Verbal Quotes \$1,000-\$4,999 |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| (3) Written Quotes \$5,000-\$9,999 |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| (3) Written Quotes \$5,000-\$50,001 |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| Sealed Bid \$50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rochester-Purchasing Policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derry-Administrative Regulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Somersworth-Purchasing Policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dover-Ordinance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portsmouth-Oridnance \& Policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concord-Ordinance |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Purchasing Policy Recommended Changes:

The following are the suggested changes to incorporate into the purchasing policy.

Small Purchases-Purchases of $\$ 5,000$ or less require-only Department Head approval before conversion to a purchase order.

Medium Purchases- Purchases greater than $\$ 5,000$ up to $\$ 10,000$ require three comparative prices for the same product or service. Approval is required by the Department Head, Finance Director, or Deputy Finance Director before conversion to a purchase order. The three comparative prices may include research through internet, telephone, email communications, or formal vendor quotations.

Large Purchases- Purchases greater than $\$ 10,000$ up to $\$ 49,999$ - require three (3) detailed quotations from vendors that includes specifications, itemized equipment lists, labor charges, and all other fees. Approval is required by the Department Head, Finance Director, Deputy Finance Director, or both, and City Manager. Quotations must be on a vendors quotation sheet, or letterhead memo. Submission can be electronic or hard copy. Quotations must be attached to the purchase order.

Competitive Bid Purchase: Purchases of $\$ 50,000$ or greater must comply with the Competitive Sealed Bid process

State of New Hampshire Bids: Utilization of the approved State of New Hampshire Bids, or any other Finance approved purchasing group, may be substituted for the Larger Value Purchases or the Competitive Sealed Bid process if it is deemed to be in the best interest of the City for cost or time of service requirements.

Exceptions to the above may be allowed under the following

1. At the discretion of City Manager for professional service contracts.
2. Finance approved Sole Source Vendor relationships.
3. Passenger Vehicles, and Pick-ups one (1) ton or less, are waived from the Competitive Sealed Bid process. However, the purchase must comply with the Large Value purchase requirements.
4. Unanticipated service, material or equipment that occurs under an active contract awarded from above process that is not a materially change from the current scope of project.
5. Computers, complex Information Technology (IT) equipment, and software where existing vendor expertise and understanding of the City's IT infrastructure are needed, or in the event of a specialized need, are eligible for a waiver from above purchasing policies if not already covered by a Sole Source Vendor arrangement. Department must demonstrate purchase is in the overall best interest of the City.

## Other Conditions:

Sole Source Vendors: It is recognized that sole source vendors can under certain circumstances provide overall benefits to the City, and in some cases is unavoidable. Departments seeking use of Sole Source Vendors must submit detailed explanations of the purchase requirement and how the arrangement is in the overall best interest of the City. A background summary of the vendor should also be included. In addition, a memorandum of understanding (MOU) between the vendor selected and the City shall be required. The MOU shall include vendor commitment to providing their best pricing, product quality and service, and can be of a period not to exceed three (3) years. An MOU greater than one year should identify any price escalation clauses. The department explanation and the MOU must be submitted and approved by the Finance Director, or Deputy Finance Director in advance of need. City Manager and City Council shall be notified of all Sole Source Vendor agreements.

Standardization of Equipment: It is recognized that standardization of equipment can under certain circumstances provide overall benefits to the City. Departments seeking to incorporate a standardization of equipment program must submit a detailed explanation of the intent to standardize, as well as the benefits provided by the standardization. A standardization of equipment program does not automatically equate to a sole source vendor relationship. If a standardization of equipment program requires a sole source vendor the Sole Source Vendor procedure above must also be followed.

## Purchase Requisitions/Purchase Orders:

Increase purchase order overage allowance from $\$ 5.00$ to $\$ 25.00$
Change the department approval structures based on the new purchasing threshold
Change City Manager threshold to $\$ 10,000$
Change Finance Director, Deputy Finance Director threshold to $\$ 5,001$

Purchase Order Policy-Violations: The Purchase Order Violation memo when initially implemented seemed to increase department's awareness of the policy. The memo served as a tool to make departments recognize they were in violation of the policy. However, the memo process has essentially become a routine step in the process versus a means that reinforces accountability. All invoices still get paid, no other budgetary impact analysis required, and no disciplinary aspects exist. In addition, the requisition to purchase order process can often take several days and in some cases is the reason why a purchase order has a date later than the invoice date. Below is a revised section of the Purchas Order conditions.

No item or service will be paid without a purchase order. The expectation is that purchase orders will be processed through the City's financial management system prior to ordering any item or service. Any item or service of a non-emergency nature ordered, or received, prior to the purchase order requires Department Head explanation of event. The explanation must also address whether budgetary account balances were negatively impacted by the incident. Repeated violations of these terms will be subject to remediation, or suspension of decentralized ordering and purchasing privileges. City Manager and City Council shall also be notified in the event of any of these actions.

The above changes do not appear to be in conflict with the City Charter Chapter 27, or City Ordinance Chapter 11. However, in the City Charter it does state that a City Business Administrator is in charge of all financial oversight. The City Account Manual would require updates if the proposed changes are approved.

## § 7-38 Legal basis.

The provisions of this article governing purchasing procedures to be used by the City of Rochester are incorporated with the Administrative Code in accordance with the requirements of Section 27 of the Rochester City Charter.

## § 7-39 Purchase orders required.

Purchase orders authorized by the proper authority shall be used in all instances.

## § 7-40 Purchasing procedures. <br> [Amended 9-4-2007; 10-15-2013]

A. All purchases under one thousand one dollars ( $\$ 1,001$.) shall have the electronic approval of the department head and Purchasing Department with the limitation that said sum shall not be exceeded for any one item or combination of items to be purchased from any single vendor.
B. All purchases greater than one thousand dollars ( $\$ 1,000$.) and less than ten thousand one dollars ( $\$ 10,001$.) shall have a minimum of three comparable quotes. If three quotes are not available then an exception may be made with the approval of the City Manager, or his/her designee, clearly documenting the reason for the exception in the electronic requisition document. The maximum of ten thousand dollars ( $\$ 10,000$.) shall not be exceeded for any one item or combination of items to be purchased from any single vendor.
C. All individual item purchases or contracts over ten thousand dollars ( $\$ 10,000$.) shall require a competitive sealed bid process and approval of the department head, Finance Director and the City Manager. Exceptions for purchases over ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.) may be allowed at the discretion of the City Manager for professional service contracts, computer software/hardware, passenger vehicles/one-ton vehicles or smaller, or other items where comparative pricing instead of a sealed bid process may be in the best overall interest of the City. The City Council shall be formally notified whenever an exception to the sealed bid process occurs.

## § 7-41 Sale of tax-deeded property.

## [Amended 2-1-2005]

A. In accordance with the provisions of RSA 80:42 and/or 80:80, the City Manager is hereby indefinitely, and until such time as this section is repealed, authorized to transfer tax liens upon real property acquired by the City at a Tax Collector's sale for nonpayment of taxes thereon and/or to convey property acquired by the City by tax deed for nonpayment of taxes; provided, however, that a public auction and/or advertised sealed bid sale is held, and the results of said public auction and/or sealed bid sale are confirmed by a majority vote of the City Council. In conducting such public auction and/or sealed bid sale, the City Manager may establish a minimum amount for which the property is to be sold and the terms and conditions of sale.
B. The City Manager, by ordinance, may be authorized to dispose of tax liens or tax-deeded property in a manner otherwise than provided in this section, as justice may require.
C. Upon the affirmative two-thirds vote of the Rochester City Council, the City Manager may be authorized to transfer tax liens upon real property acquired by the City at a Tax Collector's sale for nonpayment of taxes thereon and/or to convey property acquired by the City by tax deed for nonpayment of taxes without conducting a public auction and/or advertised sealed bid sale, subject to such terms and conditions as the City Council shall establish.
D. For purposes of this section, the authority to dispose of the property "as justice may require" shall include the power of the City Manager to transfer the tax lien(s) and/or convey the property to a former owner, or to a third party for benefit of a former owner, upon such reasonable terms as may be agreed to in writing, including the authority of the City to retain a mortgage interest in the property, or to reimpose its tax lien, contingent upon an agreed payment schedule, which need not necessarily reflect any prior redemption amount. Any such agreement shall be recorded in the Registry of Deeds. This subsection shall not be construed to obligate the City to make any such conveyance or agreement.

## §7-38Legalbasis.

The provisions of this article governing purchasing procedures to be used by the City of Rochester are incorporated with the Administrative Code in accordance with the requirements of Section 27 of the Rochester City Charter.

## § 7-39 Purchase orders required.

Purchase orders authorized by the proper authority shall be used in all instances.

## § 7-40 Purchasing procedures.

[Amended 9-4-2007; 10-15-2013]
A. All purchases shall have electronic approval, and said sums for each category below shall not be exceeded for any one item, or combination of items to be purchased from any single vendor. Approval levels are noted for each category.
A.B. All All-Purchases under one up to five thousand dollars one dollar $(\$ 1,001).(\$ 5,000)$ shall have the electronic approval of the department head and Purchasing Department. with the limitation that said sum shall not be exceeded for any one item-or combination of items to be purchased from any single vendor.

Br.c. All purchases greater than ene five thousand dollars (\$1,000) (\$5,000) and less than, or equal to than-ten thousand ene-dollars $(\$ 10,000)(\$ 10,001$.$) shall have a minimum of$ three comparative comparable prices for the same product or service quotes. The three comparative prices may include research through telephone, electronic communications, or formal vendor quotations. The comparative price research notes must be attached to the requisition request. Approval is required by Department Head, Purchasing Department, Finance Director, or Deputy Finance Director, before conversion to purchase order.

If three quotes are not available then an exception may be made with the approval of theCity Manager, or histher designee, clearly documenting the reason for the exception in the electronic requisition document. The maximum of ten thousand dollars $(\$ 10,000$.) shall not be exceeded for any one item or combination of items to be purchased from any single vendor.
G.D. All purchases greater than $\$ 10,000$ up to $\$ 49,999$ require three (3) detailed quotations from vendors that include specifications, itemized equipment lists, labor charges, and all other fees. Electronic approval is required by the Department Head, Finance Director, or Deputy Finance Director, and City Manager. - . Source quotation document must be attached to requisition request.
D.E. All purchases $\$ 50,000$ or greater must comply with the Competitive Sealed Bid process.
F. Exceptions to the above may be allowed for the following, provided its determined to be in the best overall interest of the City;

1 At the discretion of City Manager for professional service contracts

# 2. Sole Source Vendor Relationships. Advanced approval required by Director of Finance, or Deputy Finance Director. 

## 3. Passenger vehicles, (I) ton, or less, pick- ups. Advanced approval required by Director of Finance, or Deputy Finance Director.

## 4.Computers, complex Information Technology (IT) equipment, and software. Advanced approval required by Director of Finance, Deputy Finance Director

E. All individual item purchases or contracts over ten thousand dollars ( $\$ 10,000$ ) shall require a competitive sealed bid process and approval of the department head, Finance Director and the City Manager. Exceptions for purchases over ten thousand dollars ( $\$ 10,000$ ) may be allowed at the discretion of the City Manager for professional service contracts, computer seftware/hardware, passenger vehiclestone-ton vehicles or smatler, or other items where comparative pricing instead of a sealed bid process may be in the best overall interest of the City. The City Council shall be formally notified whenever an exception to the sealed bid process occurs.

## § 7-4। Sale of tax-deeded property.

[Amended 2-1-2005]
A. In accordance with the provisions of RSA 80:42 and/or 80:80, the City Manager is hereby indefinitely, and until such time as this section is repealed, authorized to transfer tax liens upon real property acquired by the City at a Tax Collector's sale for nonpayment of taxes thereon and/or to convey property acquired by the City by tax deed for nonpayment of taxes; provided, however, that a public auction and/or advertised sealed bid sale is held, and the results of said public auction and/or sealed bid sale are confirmed by a majority vote of the City Council. In conducting such public auction and/or sealed bid sale, the City Manager may establish a minimum amount for which the property is to be sold and the terms and conditions of sale.
B. The City Manager, by ordinance, may be authorized to dispose of tax liens or tax-deeded property in a manner otherwise than provided in this section, as justice may require.
C. Upon the afirmative two-thirds vote of the Rochester City Council, the City Manager may be authorized to transfer tax liens upon real property acquired by the City at a Tax Collector's sale for nonpayment of taxes thereon and/or to convey property acquired by the City by tax deed for nonpayment of taxes without conducting a public auction and/or advertised sealed bid sale, subject to such terms and conditions as the City Council shall establish.
D. For purposes of this section, the authority to dispose of the property "as justice may require" shall include the power of the City Manager to transfer the tax lien(s) and/or convey the property to a former owner, or to a third party for benefit of a former owner, upon such reasonable terms as may be agreed to in writing, including the authority of the City to retain a mortgage interest in the property, or to reimpose its tax lien, contingent upon an agreed payment schedule, which need not necessarily reflect any prior redemption amount. Any such agreement shall be recorded in the Registry of Deeds. This subsection shall not be construed to obligate the City to make any such conveyance or agreement.
FY19 1000-114001 Tax Forclosure Account Reconciliation

| Map | Lot | Block | Name | No. | Street | Deed | Original Amount | May-2019 | Jun-2019 |  |  | Jun-2019 | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Add | Remove | Adjust |  |  |
| 0105 | 0020 | 0000 | Royal Corson | 0 | Autumn Street (ER) | 09/23/1993 | 545.53 | 545.53 | - |  |  | 545.53 |  |
| 0141 | 0027 | 0000 | Kane Gonic Brickyard | 58 | Pickering Road |  | 112,319.02 | 112,319.02 | - | - |  | 112,319.02 |  |
| 0141 | 0027 | A000 | Q-ten (combined with Map 141 Lot 27 April 1993) | 58 | Pickering Road | 07/30/1996 | 9,604.10 | 9,604.10 | - | - |  | 9,604.10 |  |
| 0115 | 0008 | 0000 | Rand Betty D \& Welch Heidi M | 5 | Lois Street | 07/14/2016 | 24,170.55 | 24,170.55 | - | - |  | 24,170.55 |  |
| 0203 | 0001 | 0024 | Hamilton David E \& Cassandra L | 3 | Atwood Street | 10/18/2017 | 5,005.30 | 5,005.30 |  | - |  | 5,005.30 |  |
| 0100 | 0010 | 0000 | Spaulding Ave Industrial Complex LLC | 20 | Spaulding Ave | 11/01/2017 | 2,476.18 | 2,476.18 | - | - |  | 2,476.18 |  |
| 0201 | 0022 | 0000 | Spaulding Ave Industrial Complex LLC | 10 | Spaulding Ave | 11/01/2017 | 11,861.48 | 11,861.48 | - | - |  | 11,861.48 |  |
| 0201 | 0023 | 0000 | Spaulding Ave Industrial Complex LLC | 20 | Spaulding Ave | 11/01/2017 | 870,746.16 | 710,746.16 | - | - | - | 710,746.16 | Monthly Payment Per Agreement |
| 0201 | 0024 | 0000 | Spaulding Ave Industrial Complex LLC |  | Milton Road | 11/01/2017 | 2,208.25 | 2,208.25 | - | - |  | 2,208.25 |  |
| 0201 | 0025 | 0000 | Spaulding Ave Industrial Complex LLC | 25 | Spaulding Ave | 11/01/2017 | 125,908.78 | 125,908.78 | - | - |  | 125,908.78 |  |
| 0121 | 0010 | 0000 | Akerman Heidi | 12-14 | North Main Street | 11/21/2017 | 42,298.35 | 42,298.35 | - | - |  | 42,298.35 |  |
| 0136 | 0070 | 0011 | Yee Family Revocable Trust | 11 | Bramber II | 03/12/2018 | 71,233.67 | 71,233.67 | - | - |  | 71,233.67 |  |
| 0125 | 0035 | 0001 | Angers Apartments Inc | 35 | Linden Street | 01/14/2019 | 19,733.94 | 19,733.94 |  |  |  | 19,733.94 |  |
| 0239 | 0120 | 0000 | Donald Breton | 0 | Dora Drive | 01/14/2019 | 1,006.14 | 1,006.14 | - | - | - | 1,006.14 |  |
| 0108 | 0043 | 0000 | Roger W Hartwell | 0 | Broadway Street | 01/14/2019 | 12,393.66 | 12,393.66 |  | - |  | 12,393.66 |  |
| 0202 | 0008 | 0000 | Paul T \& Susan D Edgar | 0 | Old Wakefield Road | 01/14/2019 | 4,167.47 | 4,167.47 | - |  | - | 4,167.47 |  |
| 0259 | 0016 | 0094 | Erica B \& Craig B Hatch | 3 | Henry Drive | 04/22/2019 | 10,520.04 | 10,520.04 |  | (10,520.04) |  |  | Redeemed by former owner |
| 0222 | 0004 | 0120 | Donna M Day | 12 | Downfield Lane | 04/22/2019 | 12,375.86 | 12,375.86 | - |  | - | 12,375.86 |  |
| 0135 | 0001 | 0025 | Roxanne M \& Andrea \& Christopher Loomis | 25 | Royal Crest MHP | 04/22/2019 | 10,489.43 | 10,489.43 |  | (10,489.43) |  |  | Sale to former owner |
| 0216 | 0026 | 0046 | Robert S \& Elizabeth Taylor | 24 | Cherokee Way | 04/22/2019 | 9,138.22 | 9,138.22 | - | - | - | 9,138.22 |  |
| 0256 | 0070 | 0039 | Kathryn E Casler | 10 | Lanai Drive | 04/22/2019 | 14,004.65 | 14,004.65 | - | - | - | 14,004.65 |  |
| 0256 | 0070 | 0045 | Robert R \& Nacy M Garon | 7 | Martinique Drive | 04/22/2019 | 18,923.40 | 18,923.40 | - | - |  | 18,923.40 |  |
| 0204 | 0080 | 0108 | John S \& Barbara C Brock | 40 | Day Lilly Lane | 04/22/2019 | 13,028.41 | 13,028.41 | - | - | - | 13,028.41 |  |
| 0259 | 0016 | 0037 | Norman Welsh | 24 | Damours Ave | 04/22/2019 | 3,601.56 | 3,601.56 | - | - | - | 3,601.56 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Totals |  |  |  | 1,407,760.15 | 1,247,760.15 | - | (21,009.47) | - | 1,226,750.68 |  |

FY19 Sale of City Property (11081-405801)
as of June 30, 2019

| Map | Lot | Block | No. | Street | Date | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0205 | 0216 | 0000 | 131 | Milton Road | $07 / 20 / 2018$ | $122,729.25$ | Deeded Property Redeemed by former owner |
| 0205 | 0216 | $000 A$ | 131 A | Milton Road | $07 / 20 / 2018$ | $6,813.00$ | Deeded Property Redeemed by former owner |
| 0222 | 0004 | 0119 | 9 | Downfield Lane | $08 / 31 / 2008$ | $8,408.79$ | Deeded Property Redeemed by former owner |
| 0251 | 0199 | A000 | 3 | Ridgewood Drive | $09 / 24 / 2018$ | $12,835.72$ | Deeded Property Redeemed by former owner |
| 0253 | 0030 | 0000 | 82 | Strafford Road | $09 / 26 / 2018$ | $2,500.00$ | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Down Pmt) |
| 0121 | 0185 | 0000 | 5 | Maple Street | $10 / 02 / 2018$ | $5,000.00$ | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Down Pmt) |
| 0253 | 0030 | 0000 | 82 | Strafford Road | $10 / 16 / 2018$ | $1,500.00$ | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Closed) |
| 0121 | 0185 | 0000 | 5 | Maple Street | $10 / 18 / 2018$ | $15,000.00$ | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Closed) |
| 0241 | 0004 | 0023 | 23 | Cleo Circle | $11 / 30 / 2018$ | $6,084.02$ | Deeded Property Sold to the MH Park Owner |
| 0257 | 0002 | 0012 | 19 | Sagebrush Drive | $02 / 25 / 2019$ | $5,000.00$ | Auction Deposit - Affordable Mobiles LLC |
| 0211 | 0016 | 0000 | 141 | Salmon Falls Road | $02 / 25 / 2019$ | $2,500.00$ | Auction Deposit - Richard A Gray |
| 0253 | 0065 | 0123 | 108 | Briar Drive | $02 / 25 / 2019$ | $1,000.00$ | Auction Deposit - James St Jean |
| 0235 | 0026 | 0000 | 70 | Strafford Road | $02 / 25 / 2019$ | $5,000.00$ | Auction Deposit - James St Jean |
| 0241 | 0004 | 0129 | 118 | Jamey Drive | $02 / 25 / 2019$ | $2,500.00$ | Auction Deposit - Dennis Dandawa |
| 0257 | 0002 | 0012 | 19 | Sagebrush Drive | $03 / 20 / 2019$ | $18,150.42$ | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Closed) |
| 0211 | 0016 | 0000 | 141 | Salmon Falls Road | $03 / 20 / 2019$ | $2,000.00$ | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Closed) |
| 0241 | 0004 | 0129 | 118 | Jamey Drive | $03 / 20 / 2019$ | $8,000.00$ | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Closed) |
| 0253 | 0065 | 0123 | 108 | Briar Drive | $03 / 27 / 2019$ | 750.00 | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Closed) |
| 0235 | 0026 | 0000 | 70 | Strafford Road | $03 / 27 / 2019$ | $9,000.00$ | Deeded Property Sold at Auction (Closed) |
| 0253 | 0067 | 0018 | 44 | Cormier Drive | $05 / 07 / 2019$ | $2,000.00$ | Deeded Property Sold to Bruce Robinson |
| 0241 | 0004 | 0014 | 54 | Cleo Circle | $05 / 23 / 2019$ | $7,340.86$ | Deeded Property Redeemed by former owner |
| 0259 | 0016 | 0094 | 3 | Henry Drive | $06 / 05 / 2019$ | $7,500.00$ | Deeded Property Redeemed by former owner |
| 0135 | 0001 | 0025 | 25 | Royal Crest MHP | $06 / 26 / 2019$ | $4,000.00$ | Deeded Property Sold to former owner |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 5 5 , 6 1 2 . 0 6}$ |  |

# FINANCE COMMITTEE <br> Agenda Item 

Agenda Item Name: Monthly Financial Statements Summary - as of June 2019.
For the full detail report, click here: June 2019 Financial Detail Report
Name of Person Submitting Item: Mark Sullivan Deputy Finance Director

E-mail Address: mark.sullivan@rochesternh.net

Meeting Date Requested: July 9, 2019

## Issue Summary Statement

Fiscal Year 2019 is $100 \%$ complete. As of June 30, 2019 overall General Fund expenses are at $95.9 \%$, with overall General Fund salaries \& benefits at $95.3 \%$. General Fund Revenues collected are 101.3\% of estimated FY19 budget. However, there are many fiscal year end journal entries that are pending, which will change these initial percentages. The summary reports are attached.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | unis <br> er erp solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITY OF ROCHESTER YEAR-TO-DATE BUDGET REPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prytdbud } \\ & \text { gly } \end{aligned}$ |
| FOR 201912 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6000 COMMUNITY CENTER SP REV FUND | ORIGINAL APPROP | TRANFRS/ ADJSTMTS | REVISED BUDGET | YTD | EXPENDED | ENCUMBRANCES | AVAILABLE BUDGET | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCT } \\ & \text { USED } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6070572 COMMUNITY CENTER EXPENSE | 841,000 | 0 | 841,000 |  | 79,938.03 | 30,352.43 | 30,709.54 | 96.3\% |
| TOTAL COMMUNITY CENTER SP REV FUND | 841,000 | 0 | 841,000 |  | 79,938.03 | 30,352.43 | 30,709.54 | 96.3\% |
| GRAND TOTAL | 55,261,741 | 2,189,235 | 57,450,976 | 50,6 | 13,171.78 | 615,457. 32 | 6,222,346.90 | 89.2\% |
| ** END OF Report - Generated by Mark Sullivan ** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

** END OF REPORT - Generated by Mark Sullivan **
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