

**Rochester Special City Council Meeting**  
**June 9, 2015**  
**CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS**  
**7:01 PM**

**COUNCILORS PRESENT**

Councilor Bogan  
Councilor Collins  
Councilor Gates  
Councilor Gray  
Councilor Hamann  
Councilor Keans  
Councilor Lachapelle  
Councilor Larochelle  
Councilor Lauterborn  
Councilor Torr  
Councilor Varney  
Councilor Walker  
Mayor Jean

**OTHERS PRESENT**

City Manager Fitzpatrick  
Deputy City Manager Cox  
Attorney O'Rourke  
Police Commissioner, Derek Peters  
Roland Connors, Deputy Finance  
Director  
Mark Sullivan, Sr. Staff Accountant

**MINUTES**

**1. Call to Order**

Mayor Jean called the meeting to order at 7:01 PM. Kelly Walters, City Clerk, took a silent roll call. All City Councilors were present.

**2. Non-Public Session, Personnel, RSA, 91-A:3 II (a)**

Councilor Lachapelle **MOVED** to enter a Non-Public Session, under RSA, 91- A: 3 II (a), at 7:02 PM. Councilor Walker seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous roll call vote of 13 to 0. Councilors Hamann, Walker, Larochelle, Gates, Varney, Gray, Torr, Keans, Lauterborn, Lachapelle, Collins, Bogan, and Mayor Jean voted in favor of the motion.

Councilor Lachapelle **MOVED** to exit the Non-Public Session at 7:32 PM, and to seal the minutes indefinitely. Councilor Walker seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

Mayor Jean announced that the New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration Low and Moderate Income Property Tax Relief Applications are now available and the deadline for submission is June 30, 2015.

Mayor Jean announced that Roland Connors, Deputy Finance Director, had been chosen as the Employee of the Year. He requested that the Camera Operator televise the Employee of the Year dedication video. Mayor Jean congratulated Mr. Connors for this special recognition.

**3. AB 171 Primex CAP Agreement – Motion to Approve**

Councilor Walker **MOVED** to authorize the City Manager to enter into the Primex CAP Agreement. Councilor Lachapelle seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

Mayor Jean said the second item similar to this action item, which is the Primex Membership Agreement, had been inadvertently missed from this evening's packet material. This had been sent out to the City Council via email.

Councilor Walker **MOVED** to authorize the City Manager to enter into the Primex Membership Agreement. Councilor Lachapelle seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

**4. AB 170 Trustees of the Trust Fund – Motion to Approve**

Councilor Walker **MOVED** to authorize the Trustees of the Trust Funds to charge Charter Trust fees for Common Funds directly to the Common Trust Funds. Councilor Lachapelle seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

**5. AB 172 Amendment to Chapter 42 "Multi Family Dwellings"  
Forthcoming First Reading and Refer to a Public Hearing**

Councilor Lachapelle **MOVED** to read the Amendment to the City Ordinances Relative to Chapter 42 "Multi Family Dwellings" by title only and refer the matter to the July 7, 2015, Regular City Council Meeting for a Public Hearing. Councilor Walker seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

**AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER 42 OF THE GENERAL ORDINANCES OF  
THE CITY OF ROCHESTER REGARDING MULTIFAMILY DWELLINGS**

**THE CITY OF ROCHESTER ORDAINS:**

That subsections 42.2, 42.20, and 42.27 of Chapter 42 of the General Ordinances of the City of Rochester regarding multifamily dwellings and currently before the Rochester City Council be amended as follows:

## **42.2 Definitions and Terminology**

### **A. General Provisions**

1. When used in this chapter, the words, terms, and phrases listed in Section B, and the acronyms and abbreviations listed in Section C of this article shall have the meanings ascribed to them therein, unless a contrary meaning is clearly indicated or implied.

### **B. Definitions**

**82. Development, Multifamily:** A development containing 2 or more buildings containing ~~five (5)~~ **three (3)** or more residential units **in total** on the same lot, ~~i.e. most any dwelling other than a single family and/or duplex dwelling.~~ Multifamily development can take many forms.

**89. Dwelling, Multifamily:** A building **or portion thereof** containing five (5) **three (3)** or more residential units, ~~i.e. most any dwelling other than a single family and/or two family dwelling.~~ Multifamily dwellings can take many forms. **with separate cooking and toilet facilities for each dwelling on one individual lot.**

**91. Dwelling, Two-family:** A building which:

1. Contains exactly 2 residential units;
2. Is not attached to any other dwelling or dwelling unit; and
3. Occupies its own individual lot on which there is no other dwelling or principal use

~~("Duplex" refers to two family dwellings as well as 2 unit buildings situated on the same lot with other 2 unit buildings, other dwellings, and/or other principal uses).~~

## **42.20 Standards for Specific Permitted Uses**

- a. **General Provisions.** For certain permitted uses that could impact surrounding neighborhoods, conflict with adjacent uses, or have other significant impacts, specific standards are provided below. These standards apply only to those uses which are permitted by right shown as "P" in tables XVIII-A through XVIII-E except where specifically noted otherwise. Other particular standards applicable to Conditional Uses, Special Exceptions, and Accessory Uses are articulated in separate articles.

11. **Multifamily Dwellings/Development.** The following requirements shall apply to multifamily dwellings/developments of ~~5~~ **3** or more dwelling units:

- a. **Buffers from Roads.** A 50 foot buffer shall be established from all neighboring roads, including roads from which access is taken. The Planning Board shall determine treatment of the buffer area, whether it is to be left undisturbed, to have supplemental plantings installed, to be designated part of the overall open space plan for the development, and/or to be part of an individual lot but protected from construction. No roofed structures may be erected in the buffer area.
- b. **Buffers from Single Family.** A 100 foot buffer shall be established adjacent to any existing single family house or any vacant lots less than 3 acres that are zoned residential.
- c. **Access.** Any new multifamily development must take access from an existing collector or arterial road rather than an existing local road. The Planning Board may waive this requirement by conditional use upon a finding that it is preferable to take access from a local rather than a collector road and that taking access from the local road will have no significant adverse impact upon residents or property owners located on the local road.

#### **42.27 Miscellaneous Provisions**

- a. **Buffers for Residential Property.** All nonresidential development – including any parking and storage areas - shall be screened/buffered from any adjacent residential property situated to the side or rear as stipulated by the Planning Board under site review. The board may

stipulate plantings and/or fencing, as reasonably appropriate. See *Figure XXVII-A – Screening between Commercial and Residential Uses as an example.*

All multifamily development, consisting of ~~5~~ **3** units of more – including parking areas – shall be screened/buffered from any adjacent single family dwellings situated to the side or rear as required by the Planning Board under site review. The board may stipulate plantings and/or fencing, as reasonably appropriate. This requirement does not apply in situations where multifamily development is specifically designed to integrate with single-family dwellings in mixed use developments.

**The effective date of these amendments shall be upon passage.**

**6. Communications From the Deputy City Manager - Budget Memo**

Deputy City Manager Cox gave an update of the Committee of the Whole actions as of the June 2, 2015, Regular City Council meeting. The City and County budgets are at \$106,793 under the Tax Cap; the School Department's budget is \$0.00 under the Tax Cap.

Councilor Lauterborn recalled that the City Council had voted to increase the Library's operating budget in order to include a Librarian staff employee from 20 hours per week to 25 hours per week. She did not see this among the list of City Council action items. Deputy City Manager Cox confirmed that although that item is not listed on the budget adjustments worksheet, it has been included with the budget calculation. He noted one other item named Munis Self Service [\$150,000], which had been moved out to the FY 2017 budget had not been included with the list of action items; however, this calculation is also included in the final figures.

**7. AB 143 Resolution Approving the 2015 – 2016 Operating Budget for the City of Rochester Second Reading and Adoption**

Mayor Jean stated that he has a few minor budgetary adjustments to present to the City Council at this time. He encouraged other City Council members to present other budgetary adjustments as well.

**FY 16 BUDGET AMENDMENT 28 [PASSED]:**

Mayor Jean **MOVED** to **AMEND** the City Manager's CIP proposed budget for the MIS Department, as shown on page 11 of the CIP budget

booklet, project entitled *Annual Hardware Replacement* from the proposed CIP budget Current FY 16 cost of \$45,000 to be reduced by \$15,000 to a new amount of \$30,000. Councilor Varney seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

#### **FY 16 BUDGET AMENDMENT 29 [PASSED]:**

Mayor Jean **MOVED** to **AMEND** the City Manager's CIP proposed budget for the MIS Department, as shown on page 12 of the CIP budget booklet, project entitled *Annual Software Upgrades*, from the proposed current FY 16 cost of \$40,000 to be reduced by \$40,000 to a new amount of \$0.00. Councilor Varney seconded the motion.

Councilor Walker spoke against the motion that would reduce the entire amount to \$0.00. Councilor Varney argued that there is currently \$40,000 remaining for FY 15 which has not been spent; this would result in the project to be fully funded for FY 16, based on the carry-over amount of funds. The City Council briefly debated the matter. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a majority voice vote.

#### **FY 16 BUDGET AMENDMENT 30 [PASSED]:**

Mayor Jean **MOVED** to **AMEND** the City Manager's proposed CIP budget for the MIS Department, as shown on page 16 of the CIP budget booklet, for the project entitled, *Network Upgrades/Expansions*, from the proposed FY 16 cost of \$120,000 to be reduced to \$100,000. Mayor Jean added that this would remove the cash portion of the project. Councilor Varney seconded the motion. Councilor Varney said there is almost \$20,000 left over from this fiscal year. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

#### **FY 16 BUDGET DISCUSSION:**

Councilor Keans said it seems the City Council is eliminating some of the pages within the CIP budget booklet, by eliminating or greatly reducing the funding source. Mayor Jean replied not necessarily; the projects are left in the CIP budget booklets with a certain amount of funding.

Deputy City Manager Cox clarified that if a "cash" CIP project is reduced or eliminated, that amount of funds is removed from the proposed CIP allocation for FY 16. City Manager Fitzpatrick explained that the CIP budget is broken down into two types of funding as follows:

1. The "cash" projects and the amounts of funding approved in the CIP budget booklet can be found correlated with the O and M budget booklets. He added that this funding is included with the overall calculations that would raise taxes in order to spend such funds.

2. The "bonded" projects is similar to creating a book of plans and is not a legal document in itself. He said once a CIP project is ready to go out to the bidding process and marked as approved, it follows another process. The City staff has the authorization to prepare the necessary resolutions; however, the project cannot begin until the City Council approves the bonded project at the City Council level. The City Council briefly discussed this process.

Mayor Jean said as a result of the budgetary adjustment made this evening the City Council has subsequently reduced \$75,000 [cash] from the CIP budget and subsequently from the O and M budget calculations.

Mayor Jean gave an update on the prioritized paving list. At this point there is \$106,793 available, which is the amount of funds currently under the Tax Cap [City/County portion of the budget], along with the \$75,000 Cash/CIP budgetary adjustments approved this evening, for a grand total of \$181,793. Mayor Jean suggested that \$181,793 could be added to the approved Prioritized Paving Program for FY 16.

Councilor Walker understood that the City Council is \$181,793 under the Tax Cap at this point and questioned what the increase to the taxes would be at this point. Deputy City Manager Cox replied it would be just under \$0.75 per thousand dollars on the property taxes. Mayor Jean questioned what the amount of increase to the taxes would be if the money was to be spent up to the Tax Cap. Deputy City Manager Cox replied it is estimated at \$0.90 per thousand, *which could change at the time the MS 1 is submitted to the DRA in the fall.*

#### **FY 16 BUDGET AMENDMENT 31 [FAILED]:**

Councilor Lachapelle **MOVED** to **AMEND** the City Manager's proposed CIP budget for the Police Department as shown on page 35 entitled *Vehicle and Equipment Replacement Program* amount of \$35,400 to be increased by \$31,000 for the new amount of \$66,400, which would be the funding necessary to purchase the second cruiser. Councilor Varney seconded the motion. Chief Allen gave an explanation of why it is important to keep the front line vehicle in the vehicle replacement program this year, to prevent a future year to be burdened with too many vehicles to be replaced. He

reiterated that by not purchasing the front line vehicle this year would not burden the Police Department at this time, however, by not purchasing the vehicle this year could negatively affect a future City Council budget. He distributed a spreadsheet indicating the projected mileage cycle of the fleet over the next three years. *Councilor Lachapelle made some correction to the figures in the motion above and these were correctly stated in the motion to avoid confusion.*

Councilor Lauterborn asked if these cars would be purchased on July 1, 2015, or would the vehicle be replaced only when necessary. Chief Allen replied that the bid would be sent out to bid in July. Councilor Gray advised it would be a good idea to approve the vehicle in this year's budget with the knowledge that it could be replaced in the spring of 2016. Councilor Lachapelle requested a roll call vote. The **MOTION FAILED** by a roll call vote of 3 to 10. Councilors Lachapelle, Larochelle, and Councilor Bogan voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Keans, Walker, Varney, Gates, Collins, Lauterborn, Gray, Hamann, Torr, and Mayor Jean voted against the motion.

#### **FY 16 BUDGET AMENDMENT 32 [PASSED]:**

Councilor Keans **MOVED** to **AMEND** the City Manager's proposed CIP budget for the Public Works Department, as shown on page 136 of the CIP budget booklets, project entitled *Colonial Pines Sewer Construction Project* back to FY 16. She recalled that the City Council approved postponing this project by one year [FY 17] and it should be dealt with in FY 16. Councilor Walker seconded the motion.

Councilor Keans said the Public Works Department had met with the residents of this neighborhood and found that many of the homeowners' septic tanks were failing. She said this is a time sensitive issue, the sooner this project begins, the sooner the residents can connect to the City's sewer lines, which would assist with the cost of the overall project. She said the previous Commissioner of Public Works made this project a priority and now it has been postponed by one year. She said it is a mistake to postpone this project any longer. Councilors Torr and Walker strongly agreed. Councilor Varney gave reasons why this project is not ready at this time. He questioned how the project would be paid for. The City Council debated this matter. Deputy City Manager Cox said this project is only intended to focus on the sewer lines and subsequently to patch up the road along the way. The City Council discussed patching up the pavement as opposed to repaving the road.

Councilor Larochelle understood that at some point there would be



slight payback to the project as it relates to new sewer customers connecting to the sewer lines; however, this is more of an environmental issue.

Mayor Jean did not question that this is an ideal situation for both the City and the residents and it would include some payback to the sewer fund; however, this project would have a great financial impact on the debt service now. He named several other major CIP road projects that would add to the debt service as well.

City Manager Fitzpatrick said there are already sufficient funds available to complete the engineering work and prepare the bid documents. Councilor Keans asked for the completion date on the engineering work. Mr. Bezanson gave detailed reasons why this engineering project could take up to four more months for the final design and subsequent to that it would take another three months for the permitting process.

Councilor Lachapelle requested a roll call vote. Councilor Walker seconded the motion.

Mayor Jean asked for a roll call vote on the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a 10 to 3 roll call vote. Councilors Collins, Keans, Lauterborn, Walker, Gray, Larochelle, Torr, Hamann, Bogan, Gates, voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Lachapelle, Varney and Mayor Jean voted against the motion.

Deputy City Manager Cox asked for clarification on the motion. He asked if all the proposed CIP years would be shifted back by one year. Mayor Jean replied yes, and reminded that once the project is ready for construction the bonded project would be brought back to the City Council for final approval.

Councilor Torr complained about the engineering firm working on this project, and would like to know which engineering firm had been chosen. Mayor Jean said this could be discussed further at the next Public Works Committee meeting.

Mayor Jean reiterated that the City Manager's proposed budget is \$181,793 under the City/County portion of the Tax Cap.

#### **FY 16 BUDGET AMENDMENT 33 [PASSED]:**

Mayor Jean **MOVED** to **AMEND** the City Manager's proposed CIP budget for the Public Works Department as shown on Page 51 entitled

*Pavement Rehabilitation* from the current proposed cost of \$867,000 to be increased by 181,793 to a new amount of \$1,048,793. Councilor Varney seconded the motion.

Councilor Varney said the City Council should consider setting aside funding for the purpose of approving a \$50 increase to the annual Veterans Tax Credit. Deputy City Manager Cox said the cost to increase the annual Veterans Tax Credit by \$50 would be \$85,650. Councilor Varney **MOVED** to **AMEND** the increased amount from \$181,793 to be reduced by \$85,650 in order to set aside funding for the proposed increase to the Veterans Tax Credit to a new amount of \$96,143. Mayor Jean seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

Mayor Jean called for a vote on the main motion as amended as follows: That the City Manager's proposed CIP budget for the Public Works Department as shown on page 51 entitled *Pavement Rehabilitation* from the current proposed cost of \$867,000 be increased by \$96,143 to a new amount of \$ 963,143. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

#### **FY 16 BUDGET AMENDMENT 34 [PASSED]:**

Deputy City Manager Cox advised that the funding of \$85,650; which is being set aside for the Veterans Tax Credit should be left as a balance of \$85,650 below the Tax Cap because the amount of \$85,650 is not calculated into the approved budget until the City Assessor presents the MS 1 to the Department of Revenue Administration in the fall. Councilor Varney **MOVED** to **AMEND** the annual Standard Veterans Tax Credit for eligible veterans from \$200 to \$250. Councilor Walker seconded the motion.

A few City Councilors disclosed that they themselves are veterans. It was determined that this is not a conflict of interest for veterans to vote on the motion because all veterans living in the City of Rochester would receive the same tax relief. Mayor Jean said veterans should disclosure that they are veterans; however, there is no conflict of interest to prevent anyone on the City Council from voting on this matter. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a majority voice vote.

Mayor Jean understood that the City Manager's proposed budget is now \$85,650 under the Tax Cap. This would result in a \$0.04 reduction to the \$0.90 projected increase anticipated as the tax rate increase; the \$0.04 would be realized again at the time the DRA sets the City's Tax Rate in the fall.

## **FY 16 BUDGET DISCUSSION:**

Councilor Lauterborn asked about the annual Land Uses Change Tax Resolution and the Host Fees Resolution. Deputy City Manager Cox explained that in the past, these resolutions were voted upon prior to the closing of the fiscal year; however, this year these resolutions would be dealt with in July. He said in this way the resolutions provided to the City Council would be a clear picture of the entire fiscal year figures. He said this would not have an impact on the tax rate.

Council began to read the resolutions and began the budget adoption process. Councilor Keans said the actual figures of the budget adoption must be included with the resolution. Mayor Jean requested a recess at 8:39 PM in order to give the Finance Department time to finalize the budgetary figures. The meeting reconvened at 8:46 PM.

Mayor Jean noted that in order to adopt the budget properly the motions which have already been made relative to adopting the budget would be restated and would include the actual figures associated with the increases to both the Operating budget as well as the CIP budget. The original motions to adopt the budget are not included in the minutes so as to not to confuse the process. The motions as restated are as follows:

Councilor Lachapelle **MOVED** to read the resolution by title only for a second time. Councilor Walker seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote. Mayor Jean read the resolution by title only for the second time as follows:

### **RESOLUTION APPROVING 2015-2016 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE CITY OF ROCHESTER**

#### **BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCHESTER:**

That a twelve (12) month operating budget for the City of Rochester be, and hereby is, approved and appropriated for the period beginning July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2016 in the amounts and for the purposes more particularly set forth in the City of Rochester, Proposed Budget, Fiscal Year 2016 (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016), as amended, the provisions of which are incorporated herein by reference thereto by attached **Exhibit A**.

This budget may be reconsidered before the tax rate is set if City, School and/or County revenues are changed by the State of New Hampshire or by

the Federal Government. The budget appropriations contained in this Resolution are predicated upon projected revenues as more particularly set forth in the City of Rochester, Proposed Budget, Fiscal Year 2016 (July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016), as amended, the provisions of which are incorporated herein by reference thereto. **CC FY 15 AB 143**

Councilor Lachapelle **MOVED** to **ADOPT** the Operating Budget for FY 2016. Councilor Walker seconded the motion.

Mayor Jean **MOVED** to **AMEND** the Operating Budget Resolution by incorporating the changes made by the Committee of the Whole and by replacing Exhibit A with a Revised Exhibit A, which totals the amount of \$117,803,224. Councilor Lachapelle seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

Mayor Jean called for a roll call vote on the main motion to adopt the Operating Budget as amended. Councilor Lachapelle seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by an 11 to 2 roll call vote. Councilors Gray, Laroche, Lauterborn, Bogan, Varney, Hamann, Collins, Lachapelle, Gates, Walker, and Mayor Jean voted in favor of the motion. Councilors Keans and Torr voted against the motion.

8. **AB 144 Revised Resolution Authorizing and Approving 2015-2016 Capital Budget for the City of Rochester and Authorizing Borrowing in Connection Therewith Second Reading and Adoption**

Councilor Lachapelle **MOVED** to read the resolution by title only for a second time. Councilor Walker seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote. Mayor Jean read the resolution by title only for the second time as follows:

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING 2015-2016 CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE CITY OF ROCHESTER AND AUTHORIZING BORROWING IN CONNECTION THEREWITH**

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCHESTER:**

That the capital budget for the City of Rochester for the July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 fiscal year in the total amount specified in **Exhibit A** annexed hereto, be, and hereby is, authorized and appropriated, and, in accordance with the provisions of RSA 33:9, the City Treasurer, with the approval of the

City Manager, is hereby authorized to arrange borrowing to finance a portion of said capital budget appropriation as identified on Exhibit A annexed hereto.

The aforementioned borrowing is authorized subject to compliance with the provisions of RSA 33:9 and Section 45 of the Rochester City Charter. The useful lives of the capital projects for which borrowing is authorized by this resolution shall be more particularly set forth in the "City of Rochester, New Hampshire, Proposed CIP Budget, Fiscal Year 2016 (\*July 1, 2015 –June 30, 2016), as amended. **CC FY 15 AB 144**

Councilor Walker **MOVED** to **ADOPT** the Operating Budget for FY 2016. Councilor Lachapelle seconded the motion.

Mayor Jean **MOVED** to **AMEND** the CIP budget resolution by incorporating the changes made by the Committee of the Whole and by replacing Exhibit A with a Revised Exhibit A, which totals the amount of \$23,357,943. Councilor Lachapelle seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.

Mayor Jean called for a roll call vote on the main motion to adopt the Operating Budget as amended. Councilor Lachapelle seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a 13 to 0 roll call vote. Councilors Hamann Torr, Keans, Varney, Gray, Gates, Collins, Bogan, Lauterborn, Larochele, Walker, Lachapelle, and Mayor Jean voted in favor of the motion.

Mayor Jean thanked the Department Heads, Commissioner Peters, the City Council, and lastly he thanked the Finance Department for improving the budget adoption process each year.

## **9. Adjournment**

Councilor Walker **MOVED** to **ADJOURN** the Special City Council meeting at 8:52 PM. Councilor Hamann seconded the motion. The **MOTION CARRIED** by a unanimous voice vote.  
Respectfully submitted,

Kelly Walters  
City Clerk

2015-2016 City of Rochester Operating Budget Resolution-Exhibit A

<b>Operations</b>		<b>FY16 Mgr Proposed</b>
General Fund		
	City Operations	\$22,711,610
	County Taxes	\$6,077,984
	Debt Service	\$4,735,036
	Capital Projects Transfer	\$1,658,400
	<b>Total City General Fund Operations</b>	<b>\$35,183,030</b>
	School	\$58,649,913
	School State Education Tax	\$4,935,450
	City Grants & Special Rev Funds	\$610,562
	School Grants & Special Rev Funds	\$4,860,000
	Community Center	\$779,937
	<b>Total Operating Budgets</b>	<b>\$105,018,892</b>
Enterprise Funds		
	Water Fund	\$5,414,066
	Sewer Fund	\$6,828,247
	Arena Fund	\$592,653
	<b>Total Enterprise Operating Budgets</b>	<b>\$12,834,966</b>
	<b>Total Operations all Funds</b>	<b>\$117,853,858</b>

**FY 16 PROPOSED  
INFORMATION ONLY**

## 2015-2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS REVENUE SUMMARY-RESOLUTION EXHIBIT A

	PROJECT AMOUNT	BOND PROCEEDS	O&M CASH	FUND BAL RET EARN	DED REVENUE	OTHER SOURCES	GRANTS
<b><u>GENERAL FUND</u></b>							
CITY*	15,476,830	13,258,430	1,658,400	0	0	0	560,000
SCHOOL	1,093,400	901,000	192,400	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL GENERAL FUND</b>	<b>16,570,230</b>	<b>14,159,430</b>	<b>1,850,800</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>560,000</b>
<b><u>ENTERPRISE FUNDS</u></b>							
WATER	3,942,000	3,850,000	92,000	0	0	0	0
SEWER	6,658,000	6,500,000	158,000	0	0	0	0
ARENA	860,000	850,000	10,000				
<b>TOTAL ENTERPRISE FUNDS</b>	<b>11,460,000</b>	<b>11,200,000</b>	<b>260,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL ALL CIP</b>	<b>28,030,230</b>	<b>25,359,430</b>	<b>2,110,800</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>560,000</b>

\* Includes Community Center Cash CIP

**FY 16 PROPOSED  
INFORMATION ONLY**

2015-2016 CITY OF ROCHESTER OPERATING BUDGET RESOLUTION-EXHIBIT A

<b>Operations</b>	<b>FY16 Adopted</b>
Operating Budgets	
City Operations	22,638,823
County Taxes	6,077,984
Debt Service	4,535,036
Capital Projects Transfers	<u>1,874,543</u>
<b>Total City General Fund Operations</b>	<b>35,126,386</b>
School Operating Budget	58,663,463
School State Education Tax	4,935,450
School Grants & Special Rev Funds	4,860,000
Community Center	775,371
City Grants & Special Rev Funds	557,562
GSBP TIF	<u>70,000</u>
<b>Total Operating Budgets</b>	<b><u>104,988,232</u></b>
Water Operating Budget	5,403,531
Sewer Operating Budget	6,825,394
Arena Operating Budget	586,067
<b>Total Enterprise Operating Budgets</b>	<b><u>12,814,992</u></b>
<b>Total Operations all Funds</b>	<b><u><u>117,803,224</u></u></b>

**AMENDED AND ADOPTED ON JUNE 9, 2015**



---

**2015-2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS REVENUE SUMMARY-RESOLUTION EXHIBIT A****CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS REVENUE SUMMARY**

	<b>PROJECT AMOUNT</b>	<b>BOND PROCEEDS</b>	<b>O&amp;M CASH</b>	<b>FUND BAL RET EARN</b>	<b>DED REVENUE</b>	<b>OTHER SOURCES</b>	<b>GRANTS</b>
<b><u>GENERAL FUND</u></b>							
CITY*	11,689,543	9,255,000	1,874,543	0	0	0	560,000
SCHOOL	1,093,400	901,000	192,400	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL GENERAL FUND</b>	<b>12,782,943</b>	<b>10,156,000</b>	<b>2,066,943</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>560,000</b>
<b><u>ENTERPRISE FUNDS</u></b>							
WATER	2,542,000	2,450,000	92,000	0	0	0	0
SEWER	7,108,000	6,950,000	158,000	0	0	0	0
ARENA	855,000	850,000	5,000				
<b>TOTAL ENTERPRISE FUNDS</b>	<b>10,505,000</b>	<b>10,250,000</b>	<b>255,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
GSBP- TIF	70,000	0	70,000	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL GSBP TIF</b>	<b>70,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>70,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL ALL CIP</b>	<b>23,357,943</b>	<b>20,406,000</b>	<b>2,391,943</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>560,000</b>

\* Includes Community Center Cash CIP

**AMENDED AND ADOPTED ON JUNE 9, 2015**

---

---

